

# Erratum to “Influences on the Marking of Examinations” [Psychology 5 (2014) 91-98]

Christina Bermeitinger<sup>1</sup>, Benjamin Unger<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Psychology, University of Hildesheim, Hildesheim, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Law Firm Benjamin Unger, Hildesheim, Germany

Email: [bermeitinger@uni-hildesheim.de](mailto:bermeitinger@uni-hildesheim.de)

**How to cite this paper:** Bermeitinger, C., & Unger, B. (2022). Erratum to “Influences on the Marking of Examinations” [Psychology 5 (2014) 91-98]. *Psychology*, 13, 60-61. <https://doi.org/10.4236/psych.2022.131004>

**Received:** December 24, 2021

**Accepted:** January 16, 2022

**Published:** January 19, 2022

Copyright © 2022 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

---

The original online version of this article (Bermeitinger, C., & Unger, B. (2014). Influences on the Marking of Examinations. *Psychology*, 5, 91-98. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/psych.2014.52014>) unfortunately contains a mistake in Experiment 3. The data of one person were considered twice for the analysis. The authors wish to correct the errors.

---

- *Participants*: The sample consisted of 78 undergraduate students (67 female, 11 male).
- *Results* [the resulting pattern is exactly the same as before, essentially, decimal places have changed slightly]:
  - o There was a significant main effect of anchor,  $F(2, 69) = 17.04$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = .32$ . The main effect of feedback and the interaction of anchor and feedback were not significant, both  $F_s \leq 1$ ,  $p_s > .37$ .
  - o On average, participants who were confronted with the higher anchor (i.e., 4,3) gave higher marks than those who were confronted with the lower anchor (i.e., 2,7),  $t(49, 42.43) = 5.97$ ,  $p < .001$  ( $t$ -test for unequal variances),  $M_{\text{high anchor}} = 4.03$ ,  $SD = 0.45$ ,  $M_{\text{low anchor}} = 3.08$ ,  $SD = 0.65$ . Additionally, participants who were confronted with the higher anchor (i.e., 4,3) gave higher marks than those who were confronted with no anchor,  $t(51, 43.75) = 4.78$ ,  $p < .001$  ( $t$ -test for unequal variances),  $M_{\text{no anchor}} = 3.24$ ,  $SD = 0.73$ .
  - o As before, in the high anchor condition (4,3, i.e., “fail”) there was no significant difference ( $p = .86$ ) between the number of participants who evaluated the assignment as failed ( $n = 12$ ) and the number of participants who evaluated the assignment as passed ( $n = 14$ ).

*Figure 3:*

