

Level of Treatment Adherence in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes in Primary Care

Muñoz-Sol Mario Enrique, Guzmán-Priego Crystell Guadalupe*, Albarrán-Melzer Jorda Aleiria

Laboratory of Cardiometabolism, Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco, División Académica de Cienicas de la Salud, Tabasco, México

Email: *crystell_guzman@hotmail.com

How to cite this paper: Enrique, M.-S.M., Guadalupe, G.-P.C. and Aleiria, A.-M.J. (2021) Level of Treatment Adherence in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes in Primary Care. *Journal of Biosciences and Medicines*, **9**, 146-155. https://doi.org/10.4236/jbm.2021.910013

Received: September 8, 2021 Accepted: October 22, 2021 Published: October 25, 2021

Copyright © 2021 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

CC ① Open Access

Abstract

Introduction: The glycemic control of type 2 diabetes is a very important challenge that requires a multidisciplinary group of specialists, an adequate psychosocial environment and family support and searching for better measures for the control and prevention of complications, which encourages the patient to improve his lifestyle. Method: The analysis was made with two primary care medical units, the Mutual Aid Group (GAM) from the Los Pinos Medical center, and the Chronic disease Specialized Medical Unit (UNEME EC), which provided integral care in the municipality of San Cristóbal de Las Casas, Chiapas. A sample of 138 patients was obtained through a random sampling with 95% confidence and a margin of error of ± 5 . The recollection of data was made with the application of the questionnaire "Lifestyle and adherence to pharmacological treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes, which consists of four sections that evaluate 1) socio-demographic data; 2) anthropometric and clinical; Pharmacological adherence with Morisky's 8-item questionnaire (MMSA-8); 3) Lifestyle: with the instrument to Measure Lifestyle in Diabetics (IMEVID). Results: 37.6% had an HbA1c lesser than 7%, A prevalence of 50.7% was obtained in patients adhering to the pharmacological treatment, and 39.8% patients with a favorable lifestyle. The association of the patients' results with an adequate glycemic control demonstrated by an HbA1C lesser than 7% was obtained through Student's T test in which these patients are related with a better score on questionnaires by IMEVID and MMAS-8. Conclusions: The units with integral care and multidisciplinary treatment are fundamental in the care of patients with type 2 diabetes; the use of questionnaires such as IMEVID or MMAS-8 is useful in the daily clinical practice.

Keywords

Diabetes, Pharmacological Adherence, Lifestyle, Glycemic Control,

Multidisciplinary Care

1. Introduction

Mexico ranks fifth in the world on diabetes prevalence with approximately 12 million cases [1]. In 2019 a prevalence of 10.3% was reported on the National Health and Nutrition Survey 2018 with a 11.4% prevalence for the female gender and 9.1% for the male gender [2]. The glycemic control in diabetes is a very important challenge in the health system, which requires the attention of a multidisciplinary group of specialists. Previous studies conclude that education through a structured program in newly diagnosed patients results in improvements in weight control, knowledge of the disease, promotes a decrease in tobacco and alcohol consumption, and improves HbA1c levels and lifestyle [3], being group and individual interventions greater than 10 hours those associated with greater change in HbA1c [4], associating the lack of pharmacological adherence to higher health care costs, increased hospitalizations and emergency room admissions and lifestyle with glycemic values, large increases in the IMEVID questionnaire score in any of its domains correspond to small decreases in blood glucose [5]. Many governmental strategies have been implemented in Mexico such as, PREVENIMSS, PREVENISSSTE, Mutual Aid Groups and Chronic Disease Specialized Medical Units, in order to sensitize the patient in the control and prevention complications of Diabetes, as a result of that, it is estimated that the prevalence of the glycemic control via glycated hemoglobin a1c in patients only reaches 50% in patients and it is related to a non-favorable lifestyle and a low adherence to the treatment. As a result of this, the complications are increased, as well as the costs of care, from 22.8% to 46.9% causing a high wear to the patient, family and health system. Posing as a research question, what is the level of adherence to the treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes, in primary care?

2. Method and Material

Analytical descriptive and prospective observational cross-sectional type. The analysis was made with two primary care medical units, the Mutual Aid Group (GAM) from Los Pinos Medical center, and the Chronic Disease Specialized Medical Unit (UNEME EC), which provided integral care in the municipality of San Cristóbal de Las Casas. Chiapas. A sample of 138 patients was obtained through a random sampling with 95% confidence and a margin of error of ± 5 . The inclusion criteria were: patient with a previous diagnosis of DT2, age < 20 years old, patients that presented for medical care at GAM and UNEME EC; exclusion criteria: diagnosis of type 1 diabetes, gestational or other specific types.

Data collection was made with the application of the questionnaire "Lifestyle and adherence to pharmacological treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes, which consists of four sections that evaluate 1) socio-demographic, age, gender, marital status, occupation, education level, 2) anthropometric and clinical; HbA1c, evolution time, number of prescribed medications, comorbidities and complications associated with T2D, weight, height and UNC; 3) Pharmacological adherence with Morisky's 8-item questionnaire (MMSA-8); 4) Lifestyle: with the instrument to Measure Lifestyle in Diabetics (IMEVID). Hemoglobin figures were considered adequate glycemic control <7%, the pharmacologic adherence was classified as adherent to the treatment with 7 or 8 points in the survey and non-adherent with less than 7 points, the lifestyle was classified as favorable with > 80 points, less favorable with <80 points and non-favorable with <60 points.

2.1. Statistic Analysis

The data was collected on an Excel 2013 sheet and subsequently recollected into the SPSS VERSION 22 program, for statistical analysis, measures of central tendency and dispersion were obtained, we used Student's T test for statistical correlation of data, considering a value of P < 0.05 as statistically significant.

2.2. Socio-Demographic Characteristics

The final sample consisted of 138 patients, of which 69.5% belonged to the UNEME EC. 72.4% of the population was established as female gender, 25.8% females were married; 60.8% were unemployed and the highest prevalence of the population had as its major degree elementary school with 51.4% (Table 1).

Population	Total (%)	GAM (%)	UNEME EC (%)
Female	138 (100)	42 (30.4)	96 (69.5)
Male	38 (27.5)	6 (4.3)	32 (23.1)
Age	54.78 (±10.5)	58 (±11.1)	53.3 (±10.1)
Marital status			
Single	8 (5.7)	3 (2.1)	5 (3.6)
Married	73 (25.8)	18 (13)	55 (39.8)
Widower	18 (13)	5 (3.6)	13 (9.4)
Divorced	16 (11.5)	4 (2.8)	12 (8.6)
Free Union	23 (16.6)	12 (8.6)	11 (7.9)
Occupation			
Employee	51 (36.9)	9 (6.5)	42 (30.4)
Unemployed	84 (60.8)	32 (23.1)	52 (37.6)
Retired	3 (2.1)	1 (0.7)	2 (1.4)
Scholarship			
Illiterate	19 (13.7)	10 (7.2)	9 (6.5)
Elementary School	71 (51.4)	22 (15.9)	49 (35.5)
Junior High school	34 (24.6)	22 (15.9	29 (21)
High School	11 (7.9)	5 (3.6)	7 (5)
University	3 (2.1)	1 (0.7)	2 (1.4)

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics.

2.3. Anthropometric, Clinical and HbA1c Characteristics

The average size was 1.5 ± 0.11 m, with a weight of 64.5 ± 13.2 Kg. and a BMI of 29.4 ± 19.1 kg/M²; 46.3% had overwheight and 26% had some degree of obesity. The 37.6% had an HbA1C lesser than 7%; the average age of evolution was 10.5 \pm 8; 43.4% had prescribed 3 or more drugs as treatment. Associated comorbidities were arterial hypertension systemic and dyslipidemia with 28.2% and 16.6% respectively and the secondary complications of diabetes with the highest prevalence was diabetic retinopathy with 15.9% (**Table 2**).

2.4. Drug Adherence and Lifestyle and Association with HbA1c

A prevalence of 50.7% of patients adherent to pharmacotherapy was obtained, and 39.8% had a favorable lifestyle meanwhile 39.8% had a less favorable lifestyle. Thereon it was found through Student's T test that in accordance to the association between patient outcomes and an adequate glycemic control (HbA1C lesser tan 7%), these patients were related to better score in questionnaires of IMEVID and MMAS-8. For the IMEVID questionnaire score, the mean age, the MMSA-8 questionnaire score and HbA1c were associated with the patients who qualified as a favorable, unfavorable and unfavorable lifestyle, finding a significant difference with the MMSA questionnaire score 8 and HbA1c, the higher the IMEVID score, the higher the MMSA-8 score and the lower the HbA1c percentage (Tables 3-6).

3. Discussion

It is important to point out the limitations that were found to carry out the study, which was, in the first place, the lack of regular control with HbA1C tests in the patients' records, there were also incomplete records, which made it difficult to obtain an important sample for patients to be able to carry out the pertinent statistical analyzes.

Population	Total (%)	GAM (%)	UNEME EC (%)
Size (m)	1.5 ± 0.11	1.50 ± 0.06	1.51 ± 0.13
Weight (Kg)	64.5 ± 13.2	61 ± 10.4	66 ± 14.1
BMI (Kg/m ²)	29.4 ± 19.1	27 ± 4	30.4 ± 22.7
Normopeso (%)	38 (27.5)	15 (10.8)	23 (16.6)
Overweight (%)	64 (46.3)	19 (13.7)	45 (32.6)
Obesity I (%)	29 (21)	7 (16.6)	22 (22.9)
Obesity II (%)	6 (4.3)	1 (2.3)	5 (5.2)
Obesity III (%)	1 (0.7)	0	1 (1)
HbA1c	7.7 ± 1.8	7.8 ± 1.5	7.7 ± 1.9
<7% (%)	52 (37.6)	14 (33.3)	38 (39.5)
≥7% (%)	86 (62.3)	28 (66.6)	58 (60.4)

Table 2. Anthropometric data and HbA1c.

BMI: Body Mass Index. HbA1c: Glycated hemoglobin a1c.

	HbA1c < 7% N = 52 (%)	HbA1c ≥ 7% N = 86 (%)	Total N = 138 (%)
MMAS			
Yes (≥7)	34 (65.3)	34 (39.5)	68 (49.2)
No (<7)	18 (34.6)	52 (60.3)	70 (50.7)
IMEVID			
Favorable	28 (53.8)	27 (31.3)	55 (39.8)
Less favorable	22 (41.2)	33 (38.3)	55 (39.8)
Unfavorable	2 (3.8)	26 (30.1)	28 (20.2)

Table 3. Association of MMAS-8, IMEVID and HbA1c.

Table 4. Statistical association according to therapeutic objectives.

	HbA	.1c	
	<7%	≥7%	р
Age	55 ± 1.4	54.6 ± 1.1	0.827
MMAS-8	6.6 ± 1.7	5.5 ± 1.9	0.001*
IMEVID	78.8 ± 1.5	67.2 ± 1.7	0.000*

Average, Standard error, *p < 0.05.

Tabl	e 5.	Statistical	association	according	to MMA	S-8.
------	------	-------------	-------------	-----------	--------	------

	No adherent	Adherent	р
Age	54.5 ± 1.2	55.0 ± 1.2	0.814
IMEVID	63.1 ± 1.8	80.3 ± 1.2	0.000*
HbA1c	8.5 ± 0.2	6.9 ± 0.1	0.000*

Average, Standard error, *p < 0.05.

Table 6. Statistical association according to IMEVID.

	Favorable	Less favorable	Unfavorable	р
Age	56.9 ± 1.3	54.2 ± 1.3	51.6 ± 12.4	0.085
MMAS-8	7.1 ± 0.1	5.8 ± 0.2	4.1 ± 1.7	0.000*
HbA1c	7.1 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.2	9.0 ± 1.6	0.000*

Average, Standard error, *p < 0.05, Post hoc Bonferroni.

It highlights the 72.5% prevalence of the female sex with average age of 54 ± 10.5 years, with at least a degree of Elementary school, most were married and unemployed, similar to reports of different authors, 60% of females take care of their home [6] and 59.9% and 70.9% of women are of indigenous and non-indigenous population respectively [7]; population with the highest risk of development of diabetes were of female sex and an age over 40 years.

In 2019, ENSANUT 2018 reported a prevalence combined of overweight and

obesity of 75.2% [2], in the present study 72.3% was obtained.

The average number of drugs prescribed for a day is highly variable according to the literature found, from 2.5 ± 1.2 in this study to 4 [8] or 5.6 ± 2.9 [9], concluding that a higher number of drugs difficulties the patient's knowledge of his schedule, drugs name, indications or it is related to non-adherence.

The gold standard for measuring glycemic control in patients is HbA1c, a prevalence of control patients with less than 7% HbA1c of 37.6% was obtained, prevalence that only exceeds what was reported by another author with 25.3% [10] and still it was lower than it was reported by other authors with lesser than 50% of patients in control, with 43.9% [11], 40.8% [12], to which the results that report a prevalence of 52.6% differ [13], due to this one had some elimination criteria such as not meeting an 80% attendance, it reflected on the fact that at the beginning of the study they had a prevalence of 21% of patients in control.

In relation to pharmacological adherence, an adhesion prevalence of 48.5% was obtained, according to the information found the prevalence varies from 80% in the municipality of Chiapas [14], to 37% in the Michoacan state [15] being the cause of variability in the results the use of different instruments to measure the pharmacological adhesion, as well as the difference between regions and cultures, which can be underestimated when self-administered surveys are used; following the same and using a technique of quantification of medicinal products used in each delivery of medicinal products, a prevalence of 42% was obtained.

About lifestyle, a 40% favorable rating prevalence was obtained, which contrasts with the results of other authors with 15% [16], or the 23.5% [17], the cause of this might be the lack of comprehensive care, being that the studied population of these authors, were patients who only came to control consultation.

In the Results obtained in this work, a statistically significant relationship was found in terms of the relationship between glycemic control, lifestyle and therapeutic adherence, HbA1c results are therapeutic targets in patients who qualify as adherents to pharmacological treatment and with a favorable lifestyle, similar to other studies that conclude that there is a relationship between these two variables [17], with a better adherence there will be a better control [15], or that there is greater adherence in those who had hba1c levels between 6% and 6.9% [18], that favorable lifestyle is a protective factor for glycemic control [11], a good lifestyle contributes to a good metabolic control [19], however there are studies that did not find a significant relationship between these variables [20] [21].

4. Conclusion

Type 2 diabetes continues to be a difficult disease to control, with a not very encouraging prevalence in glycemic control, which in the long term has repercussions on the health system and the patient. The use of instruments such as MMSA-8 and IMEVID in clinical practice, where the use of HbA1c is limited, could favor the screening of patients prone to glycemic dyscontrol and be able to act effectively on this risk group. The importance of comprehensive and multidisciplinary care in patients with DT2 in the first level of care medical units is highlighted.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- Karuranga-suvi, Rocha-Joao, Huang-Yadi and Malanda-Belma (2017) IDF Diabetes Atlas. Eighth Edition, International Diabetes Federation, Brussels, 40-80. <u>http://www.diabetesatlas.org</u>
- Shamah, T., Cuevas, L., Romero, M., Berenice, E., Gómez, L., Mendoza, L., Gómez, I. and Rivera, J. (2019) Encuesta Nacional de Salud y Nutricion. Instituno de Salud Publica.
- [3] Davies, M.J., Heller, S., Skinne, T.C., Campberll, M.J., Carey, M.E., Cradock, S., Khunti, K., *et al.* (2008) Effectiveness of the Diabetes Education and Self-Management for Ongoing and Newly Diagnosed (DESMOND) Programme for People with Newly Diagnosed Type 2 Diabetes: Cluster Randomised Controlled Trial. *BMJ*, 336, 491. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39553.528299.AD</u>
- [4] Chrvala, C., Sherr, D. and Lipman, R. (2016) Diabetes Self-Management Education for Adults with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review of the Effect on Glycemic Control. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 99, 926-943. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2015.11.003
- [5] Kennedy, M.T., Boye, K.S. and Peng, X. (2017) Cost of Medication Adherence and Persistence in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Literature Review. *Patient Preference and Adherence*, **11**, 1103-1117. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S136639</u>
- [6] Navarro, O.A., Lindon, C.M., Martinez, N.A., Martinez, N.M., Ruiz, M.G. and Leal, H.M. (2015) Conocimiento sobre los factores de riesgo cardiovascular y el grado de control de la Diabetes Mellitus tipo 2 en un grupo de diabéticos españoles. *Atención Familiar*, 22, 97-101. <u>https://doi.org/10.22201/facmed.14058871p.2015.4.52702</u>
- [7] Terechenko, L.N., Baute, G.A. and Zamonsky, A.J. (2015) Adherencia al tratamiento en pacientes con diagnostico de Diabetes Mellitus tipo II. *Biomedicina*, **10**, 20-33.
- [8] Shamah, T., Cuevas, L., Rivera, J. and Hernandez, M. (2016) Encuesta Nacional de Salud y Nutrición medio camino 2016. Informe de resultados. Instituto de Salud Publica. 1. 45-54.
- [9] Rodríguez, B.R., Reynales, S.L., Jimenez, R.J., Juarez, M.S. and Hernandez, A.M. (2010) Costos directos de la atención medica en pacientes con diabetes mellitus tipo 2 en México: Análisis de micro costeó. *Revista Panamericana de Salud Pública*, 28, 412-420. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/S1020-49892010001200002</u>
- [10] Islam, S.M., Biswas, T., Bhuiyan, F., Mustafa, K. and Islam, A. (2017) Patients Perspective of Disease and Medication Adherence for Type 2 Diabetes in an Urban Area in Bangladesh: A Qualitative Study. *BMC Research Notes*, **10**, 131. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-017-2454-7
- [11] Koyanagi, K., Kubota, T., Kobayashi, D., Kihara, T., Yoshida, T., Misho, T., Shima-

zoe, T., *et al.* (2016) Prescripción Factors Associated with Medication Non-Adherence in Japan Assessed from Leftover Drugs in the SETSUKAYU-BAG Campaign: Focus on Oral Anti-Diabetic Drugs. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, **7**, 212. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2016.00212

- [12] Guzmán, G., Arce, A., Saavedra, H., Rojas, M., Solarte, J., Mina, M., Martínez, V., *et al.* (2018) Adherencia al tatamiento farcmacologico y control glucémico en pacientes adultos con diabetes mellitus tipo 2. *Revista ALAD*, 8, 35-43. https://doi.org/10.24875/ALAD.18000319
- [13] Jiménez, C.A., Aguilar, S.C., Roas, M.R. and Hernández, A.M. (2013) Diabetes mellitus tipo 2 y frecuencia de acciones para su prevención y control. *Salud Pública de México*, 55, 137-147. <u>https://doi.org/10.21149/spm.v55s2.5109</u>
- [14] Vásquez, A.S., Roy, G.I., Velázquez, L.L. and Navarro, S.L. (2019) Impacto del estilo de vida en el descontrol glucémico en pacientes con diabetes mellitus tipo 2. *Atención Familiar*, 26, 18-22. <u>https://doi.org/10.22201/facmed.14058871p.2019.1.67712</u>
- [15] Aguinaga, R.G. and Barrera, F. (2014) Determinación de factores que afectan la adherencia al tratamiento en pacientes con diabetes mellitus 2, que acuden a un club de diabéticos. *Revista de la Facultad de Medicina*, **39**, 78-79.
- [16] Fernández, V.A., Abdala, C.T., Alvara, S.E., Tenorio, F.G., Lopez, V.E., Cruz, C.S., Pedraza, A.A., *et al.* (2012) Estrategias de autocuidado enpacientes con diabetes mellitus tipo 2. *Revista de especialidades médico-quirúrgicas*, **12**, 94-99.
- [17] Zuart, R., Ruiz, H., Vázquez, J., Matínez, J. and Linaldi, F. (2010) Adherencia a hipoglucemiantes en diabeticos de una unidad medica familiar del estado de Chiapas. *Revista Salud Publica y Nutricion*, **11**, 9-17.
- [18] Vargas, C. and Toledo, A. (2018) Adherencia al tratamiento y su relacion con el control metavolico en pacientes con diabetes mellitus tipo 2, en una unidad de micidna familiar en Cuernavaca, Morelos. México. Atención Familiar, 25, 146-150. https://doi.org/10.22201/facmed.14058871p.2018.4.67258
- [19] Saldivar, R.S., Platas, A.S., Delgado, K.A. and Rubio, R.P. (2017) Estilo de vida en pacientes con diabetes tipo 2. *RedNutrición*, 8, 440-443.
- [20] Jimenez, C.A., Robert, G.N., Jimenez, C.M., Franks, P., Aguilar, S.C., Graue, H.E., Hernandez, A.M., *et al.* (2019) Disparities in Prediabetes and Type 2 Diabetes Prevalence between Indigenous and Nonindigenous Populations from Southeastern Mexico: The Comitan Study. *Journal of Clinical and Translational Endocrinology*, **16**, Article ID: 100191. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcte.2019.100191</u>
- [21] Urbán, R.B., Coghlan, L.J. and Castañeda, D.O. (2015) Estilo de vida y control glucémico en pacientes con diabetes mellitus en el primer niel de atención. *Atención Familiar*, 22, 68-71. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1405-8871(16)30054-2</u>

Appendix

Questionnaire Lifestyle and adherence to pharmacological treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Identification card		
1) Age:years		
2) Sex		
Male Famale		
3) Marital status		
Married Widower Divorced	Free	union
4) Occupation		
Employed Unemployed Retired		
5) Education level		
Illiterate Elementary school J	unior Hi	gh School
High school University		
Anthropometric and clinical		
6) Evolution timeyear		
7) HbA1c		
8) Number of prescribed medication		
9) Comorbidities 🗌 No 📄 Yes which?		
10) Complications associated with Diabetes:		
Diabetic retinopathy Diabetic foot		
lower limb amputation cardiovascular disea	se	
diabetic nephropathy diabetic neuropathy		
11) Wiight (kg)		
12) Size (m)		
13) BIM		
Morisky's 8-item.		
14) Do you comptimes forget to take your medication?		
14) Do you sometimes norget to take your medications 15) Deople sometimes miss taking their medications for reasons other		
than forgetting.		
Over the past 2 weeks, were there any days when you did not take your medication?	Yes	L] NO
16) Have you ever cut back or stopped taking your medication without telling your doctor because you felt worse when you took it?	Yes	🗌 No
17) When you travel or leave home, do you sometimes forget to bring your medication?	Yes	🗌 No
18) Did you take all your medication yesterday?	Yes	🗌 No
19) When you feel like your symptoms are under control, do you sometimes stop taking your medication?	Yes	🗌 No
20) Taking medication every day is a real inconvenience for some people. Do you ever feel hassled about sticking to your treatment plan?	Yes	🗌 No
	Never	/Rarely
21) How often do you have difficulty remembering to take all your		in a while
medication?		ly
	All the	e time

Instrument to Measure Lifestyle in Diabetics

22) how often do you eat vegetables	Every day of the week	some days	rarely
23) how often do you eat fruits	Every day of the week	some days	rarely
24) How many pieces of bread do you eat a day	0 a 1	2	3 or more
25) how many tortillas do you eat a day	0 a 3	4 a 6	7 or more frecuentemente
26) Do you add sugar to your food or drinks?	rarely	sometimes	frequently
27) Do you add salt to food when you are eating it?	rarely	sometimes	Usually
28) Do you eat food between meals?	rarely	sometimes	frequently
29) Do you eat food outside the home?	rarely	sometimes	frequently
30) When you finish eating the amount initially served, do you ask for more?	rarely	sometimes	Usually
31) How often do you exercise at leas 15 minutes? (fast walk, run or some other)	3 or more for week	1 or 2 for week	rarely
32) Keep busy outside of your normal work activities?	frequently	sometimes	rarely
33) What do you do most often in your free time?	leaving home	Work at home	Watch TV
34) Do you smoke?	No	sometimes	Daily
35) How many cigarettes do you smoke per day?	None	1 a 5	6 or more
36) Do you drink alcohol?	Never	rarely	1 or more for week
37) How many alcoholic drinks do you drink on each occasion?	None	1 a 2	3 or more
38) How many talks for people with diabetes have you attended?	4 or more	1 a 3	None
39) Do you try to get information about diabetes?	Usually	sometimes	rarely
40) Get angry easily?	rarely	sometimes	Usually
41) Do you feel sad?	rarely	sometimes	Usually
42) Do you have pessimistic thoughts about your future?	rarely	sometimes	Usually
43) Do you do your best to get your diabetes under control?	Usually	sometimes	rarely
44) Do you follow diets for diabetics?	Usually	sometimes	rarely
45) Forget to take your diabetes medications or take your insulin?	rarely	sometimes	Frequently
46) Do you follow the medical instructions for your care?	Usually	sometimes	rarely