

Existence and Stability Results for Impulsive Fractional q -Difference Equation

Min Jiang^{1*}, Rengang Huang²

¹College of Computer and Information Engineering, Guizhou Minzu University, Guiyang, China

²College of Business, Guizhou Minzu University, Guiyang, China

Email: *minjiang0701@163.com

How to cite this paper: Jiang, M. and Huang, R.G. (2020) Existence and Stability Results for Impulsive Fractional q -Difference Equation. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, 8, 1413-1423.

<https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2020.87107>

Received: June 21, 2020

Accepted: July 27, 2020

Published: July 30, 2020

Copyright © 2020 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

In this paper, we study the boundary value problem for an impulsive fractional q -difference equation. Based on Banach's contraction mapping principle, the existence and Hyers-Ulam stability of solutions for the equation which we considered are obtained. At last, an illustrative example is given for the main result.

Keywords

Impulsive Fractional q -Difference Equation, Hyers-Ulam Stability, Existence, q -Calculus

1. Introduction

The q -calculus or quantum calculus is an old subject that was initially developed by Jackson [1]; basic definitions and properties of q -calculus can be found in [2]. The fractional q -calculus had its origin in the works by Al-Salam [3] and Agarwal [4]. But the definitions mentioned above about q -calculus can't be applied to impulse points $t_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $t_k \in (qt, t)$. In [5], the authors defined the concepts of fractional q -calculus by defining a q -shifting operator ${}_a\Phi_q(m) = qm + (1-q)a, m, a \in \mathbb{R}$. Using the q -shifting operator, the fractional impulsive q -difference equation was defined. In paper [5] [6] [7], the authors discussed the existence of solutions for the fractional impulsive q -difference equation with Riemann-Liouville and Caputo fractional derivatives respectively. Some other results about q -difference equations can be found in papers [8]-[16] and the references cited therein. Dumitru Baleanu *et al.* discussed the stability of non-autonomous systems with the q -Caputo fractional derivatives in reference [17]. However, the existence and stability of solutions for the fractional impul-

sive q -difference have not been yet studied.

Motivated greatly by the above mentioned excellent works, in this paper we investigate the following fractional impulsive q -difference equation with q -integral boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_{t_k}^{\alpha_k} x(t) = f(t, x(t)), t \in J_k \subseteq J = [0, T], t \neq t_k, \\ \Delta x(t_k) = x(t_k^+) - x(t_k) = \varphi_k(x(t_k)), k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \eta_1 x(0) + \eta_2 x(T) = \mu \sum_{k=0}^m I_{t_k}^{\beta_k} x(t_{k+1}). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where ${}^c D_{t_k}^{\alpha_k}$ is the fractional q_k -derivative of the Caputo type of order α_k on J_k , $0 < \alpha_k < 1$, $0 < q_k < 1$, $J_0 = [0, t_1]$, $J_k = [0, t_k]$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $\varphi_k \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $f \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. $I_{t_k}^{\beta_k}$ denotes the Riemann-Liouville q_k -fractional integral of order $\beta_k > 0$ on $J_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$ and η_1, η_2, μ are three constants.

2. Preliminaries on q -Calculus and Lemmas

Here we recall some definitions and fundamental results on fractional q -integral and fractional q -derivative, for the full theory for which one is referred to [5] [6] [7].

For $q \in (0, 1)$, we define a q -shifting operator as ${}_a \Phi_q(m) = qm + (1 - q)a$. The new power of q -shifting operator is defined as ${}_a(n - m)_q^{(0)} = 1$,

${}_a(n - m)_q^{(k)} = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (n - {}_a \Phi_q^i(m))$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $n \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$${}_a(n - m)_q^{(\nu)} = n^\nu \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \frac{a}{n} \Phi_q^i\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)}{1 - \frac{a}{n} \Phi_q^{i+\nu}\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)}.$$

The q -derivative of a function f on interval $[a, b]$ is defined by

$$({}_a D_q f)(t) = \frac{f(t) - f({}_a \Phi_q(t))}{(1 - q)(t - a)}, t \neq a, ({}_a D_q f)(a) = \lim_{t \rightarrow a} ({}_a D_q f)(t).$$

The q -integral of a function f defined on the interval $[a, b]$ is given by

$$({}_a I_q f)(t) = \int_a^t f(s) {}_a ds = (1 - q)(t - a) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^i f({}_a \Phi_{q^i}(t)), t \in [a, b].$$

Some results about operator ${}_a D_q$ and ${}_a I_q$ can be found in references [5]. Let us define fractional q -derivative and q -integral on interval $[a, b]$ and outline some of their properties [5] [6] [7].

Definition 1 [5] The fractional q -derivative of Riemann-Liouville type of order $\nu \geq 0$ on interval $[a, b]$ is defined by $({}_a D_q^\nu f)(t) = f(t)$ and

$$({}_a D_q^\nu f)(t) = ({}_a D_q^l {}_a I_q^{l-\nu} f)(t), \nu > 0,$$

where l is the smallest integer greater than or equal to ν .

Definition 2 [5] Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and f be a function defined on $[a, b]$. The

fractional q -integral of Riemann-Liouville type is given by $({}_a I_q^\alpha f)(t) = f(t)$ and

$$({}_a I_q^\alpha f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t - {}_a \Phi_q(s))_q^{\alpha-1} f(s) {}_a d_q s, \alpha > 0, t \in [a, b].$$

Lemma 1 [5] Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and f be a continuous function on $[a, b], a \geq 0$. The Riemann-Liouville fractional q -integral has the following semi-group property

$${}_a I_q^\beta {}_a I_q^\alpha f(t) = {}_a I_q^\alpha {}_a I_q^\beta f(t) = {}_a I_q^{\alpha+\beta} f(t).$$

Lemma 2 [5] Let f be a q -integrable function on $[a, b]$. Then the following equality holds

$${}_a D_q^\alpha {}_a I_q^\alpha f(t) = f(t), \text{ for } \alpha > 0, t \in [a, b].$$

Lemma 3 [5] Let $\alpha > 0$ and p be a positive integer. Then for $t \in [a, b]$ the following equality holds

$${}_a I_q^\alpha {}_a D_q^p f(t) = {}_a D_q^p {}_a I_q^\alpha f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha-p+k}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+k-p+1)} {}_a D_q^k f(a).$$

Definition 3 [7] The fractional q -derivative of Caputo type of order $\alpha \geq 0$ on interval $[a, b]$ is defined by ${}_a^c D_q^\alpha f(t) = f(t)$ and

$$({}_a^c D_q^\alpha f)(t) = ({}_a I_q^{n-\alpha} {}_a D_q^n f)(t), \alpha > 0,$$

where n is the smallest integer greater than or equal to α .

Lemma 4 [7] Let $\alpha > 0$ and n be the smallest integer greater than or equal to α . Then for $t \in [a, b]$ the following equality holds

$${}_a I_q^\alpha {}_a^c D_q^\alpha f(t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(t-a)^k}{\Gamma_q(k+1)} {}_a D_q^k f(a).$$

3. Main Results

In this section, we will give the main results of this paper.

Let $PC(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{x: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x(t) \text{ is continuous everywhere except for some } t_k \text{ at which } x(t_k^+) \text{ and } x(t_k^-) \text{ exist, and } x(t_k^-) = x(t_k), k = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$. $PC(J, \mathbb{R})$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|x\| = \sup\{|x(t)|: t \in J\}.$$

First, for the sake of convenience, we introduce the following notations:

$$\Lambda = \eta_1 + \eta_2 - \mu \sum_{i=0}^m \Omega_{\beta_i} \neq 0, \quad \Omega_{\sigma_i} = \frac{t_i (t_{i+1} - t_i)_{q_i}^{(\sigma_i)}}{\Gamma_{q_i}(\sigma_i + 1)},$$

where $\sigma_i \in \{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \alpha_i + \beta_i\}, q_i \in (0, 1), i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$.

To obtain our main results, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5 Let $\mu \sum_{i=0}^m \Omega_{\beta_i} \neq \eta_1 + \eta_2$ and $h(t) \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$. Then for any $t \in J_k$,

the solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_{t_k}^{\alpha_k} x(t) = h(t), t \in J_k \subseteq J = [0, T], t \neq t_k, \\ \Delta x(t_k) = x(t_k^+) - x(t_k) = \varphi_k(x(t_k)), k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \eta_1 x(0) + \eta_2 x(T) = \mu \sum_{k=0}^m I_{t_k}^{\beta_k} x(t_{k+1}) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) = & \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m (\mu I_{t_i}^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} h(t_{i+1}) - \eta_2 I_{t_i}^{\alpha_i} h(t_{i+1})) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\mu \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \varphi_j(x(t_j)) \right) + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} I_{t_j}^{\alpha_j} h(t_{j+1}) \right] \Omega_{\beta_i} - \eta_2 \varphi_i(x(t_i)) \right\} \quad (3) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i(x(t_i)) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} I_{t_i}^{\alpha_i} h(t_{i+1}) + I_{t_k}^{\alpha_k} h(t). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying the operator $I_{t_0}^{\alpha_0}$ on both sides of the first equation of (2) for $t \in J_0$ and using Lemma 4, we have

$$x(t) = x(t_0) + I_{t_0}^{\alpha_0} h(t).$$

Then we get for $t = t_1$ that

$$x(t_1) = x(t_0) + I_{t_0}^{\alpha_0} h(t_1). \quad (4)$$

For $t \in J_1$, again taking the $I_{t_1}^{\alpha_1}$ to (4) and using the above process, we get

$$x(t) = x(t_1^+) + I_{t_1}^{\alpha_1} h(t).$$

Applying the impulsive condition $x(t_1^+) = x(t_1) + \varphi_1(x(t_1))$, we get

$$x(t) = x(t_0) + \varphi_1(x(t_1)) + I_{t_0}^{\alpha_0} h(t_1) + I_{t_1}^{\alpha_1} h(t).$$

By the same way, for $t \in J_2$, we have

$$x(t) = x(t_0) + \varphi_1(x(t_1)) + \varphi_2(x(t_2)) + I_{t_0}^{\alpha_0} h(t_1) + I_{t_1}^{\alpha_1} h(t_2) + I_{t_2}^{\alpha_2} h(t).$$

Repeating the above process for $t \in J_k \subseteq J, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$, we get

$$x(t) = x(t_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i(x(t_i)) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} I_{t_i}^{\alpha_i} h(t_{i+1}) + I_{t_k}^{\alpha_k} h(t). \quad (5)$$

From (5), we find that

$$x(T) = x(t_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i(x(t_i)) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} I_{t_i}^{\alpha_i} h(t_{i+1}) + I_{t_k}^{\alpha_k} h(T).$$

From the boundary condition of (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} x(t_0) = & \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m (\mu I_{t_i}^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} h(t_{i+1}) - \eta_2 I_{t_i}^{\alpha_i} h(t_{i+1})) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\mu \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \varphi_j(x(t_j)) \right) + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} I_{t_j}^{\alpha_j} h(t_{j+1}) \right] \Omega_{\beta_i} - \eta_2 \varphi_i(x(t_i)) \right\}. \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (6) to (5), we obtain the solution (3). This completes the proof.

We define an operator $\mathcal{G} : PC(J, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow PC(J, \mathbb{R})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}x(t) = & \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m (\mu_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} f(s, x)(t_{i+1}) - \eta_2 I_{t_i}^{\alpha_i} f(s, x)(t_{i+1})) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\mu \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \varphi_j(x(t_j)) + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} I_{t_j}^{\alpha_j} f(s, x)(t_{j+1}) \right) \Omega_{\beta_i} - \eta_2 \varphi_i(x(t_i)) \right] \right\} \quad (7) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i(x(t_i)) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} I_{t_i}^{\alpha_i} f(s, x)(t_{i+1}) + I_{t_k}^{\alpha_k} f(s, x)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then, the existence of solutions of system (1) is equivalent to the problem of fixed point of operator \mathcal{G} in (7).

Theorem 1 Let $f : J \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ be continuous functions. Assume that $\mu \sum_{i=0}^m \Omega_{\beta_i} \neq \eta_1 + \eta_2$ and the following conditions are satisfied:

(H₁) There exists a positive constant L such that $|\varphi_k(x) - \varphi_k(y)| \leq L|x - y|$ for each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

(H₂) There exists a function $M(t) \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq M(t)|x - y|, \forall t \in J, x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(H₃) $\Delta < 1$.

Then problem (1) has a unique solution on J , where $M = \sup_{t \in J} M(t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta = & \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\mu M \Omega_{\alpha_i + \beta_i} + (\eta_2 + M) \Omega_{\alpha_i} + \mu M \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \Omega_{\alpha_j} \Omega_{\beta_i} + \mu L i \Omega_{\beta_i} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{\Lambda} (\mu \Omega_{\alpha_0 + \beta_0} + \eta_2 \Omega_{\alpha_0}) + mL \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda} \eta_2 + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The conclusion will follow once we have shown that the operator \mathcal{G} defined (7) is a contraction with respect to a suitable norm on $PC(J, \mathbb{R})$.

For any functions $x, y \in PC(J, \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\mathcal{G}x)(t) - (\mathcal{G}y)(t)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m (\mu_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} |f(s, x) - f(s, y)|(t_{i+1}) + \eta_2 I_{t_i}^{\alpha_i} |f(s, x) - f(s, y)|(t_{i+1})) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\mu \left(\sum_{j=1}^i |\varphi_j(x(t_j)) - \varphi_j(y(t_j))| + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} I_{t_j}^{\alpha_j} |f(s, x) - f(s, y)|(t_{j+1}) \right) \Omega_{\beta_i} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \eta_2 |\varphi_i(x(t_i)) - \varphi_i(y(t_i))| \right] \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^m |\varphi_i(x(t_i)) - \varphi_i(y(t_i))| \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} I_{t_i}^{\alpha_i} |f(s, x) - f(s, y)|(t_{i+1}) + I_{t_m}^{\alpha_m} |f(s, x) - f(s, y)|(t). \end{aligned}$$

By conditions (H₁) and (H₂), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\mathcal{G}x)(t) - (\mathcal{G}y)(t)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m (\mu_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} (M \|x - y\|)(t_{i+1}) + \eta_2 I_{t_i}^{\alpha_i} (M \|x - y\|)(t_{i+1})) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\mu \left(\sum_{j=1}^i L \|x - y\| + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} I_{t_j}^{\alpha_j} (M \|x - y\|) \right) \Omega_{\beta_i} + \eta_2 L \|x - y\| \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{i=1}^m L \|x - y\| + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} {}_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i} (M \|x - y\|)(t_{i+1}) + {}_{t_m} I_{q_m}^{\alpha_m} (M \|x - y\|)(t_{m+1}) \\
 & \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\mu M \Omega_{\alpha_i + \beta_i} + \eta_2 \Omega_{\alpha_i} + \mu L i \Omega_{\beta_i} + \mu M \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \Omega_{\alpha_j} \Omega_{\beta_i} + M \Omega_{\alpha_i} \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Lambda} (\mu \Omega_{\alpha_0 + \beta_0} + \eta_2 \Omega_{\alpha_0}) + mL \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda} \eta_2 + 1 \right) \right\} \|x - y\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|\mathcal{G}x - \mathcal{G}y\| \leq \Delta \|x - y\|.$$

Thus the operator \mathcal{G} is a contraction in view of the condition (H_3) . By Banach's contraction mapping principle, the problem (1) has a unique solution on J . This completes the proof.

In the following, we study the Hyers-Ulam stability of impulsive fractional q -difference Equation (1). Let $\varepsilon > 0, \epsilon > 0$ and $\delta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Consider the inequalities:

$$\begin{cases}
 \left| {}^c D_{q_k}^{\alpha_k} \bar{x}(t) - f(t, \bar{x}(t)) \right| \leq \delta(t) \varepsilon, t \in J_k \subseteq J = [0, T], t \neq t_k, k = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\
 \left| \Delta \bar{x}(t_k) - \phi_k(\bar{x}(t_k)) \right| \leq \epsilon \varepsilon, k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\
 \eta_1 \bar{x}(0) + \eta_2 \bar{x}(T) = \mu \sum_{k=0}^m {}_{t_k} I_{q_k}^{\beta_k} \bar{x}(t_{k+1}).
 \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Now, we give out the definition of Hyers-Ulam stability of system (1).

Definition 4 System (1) is Hyers-Ulam stable with respect to system (8), if there exists $A_f > 0$ such that

$$|\bar{x} - \tilde{x}| \leq A_f \varepsilon$$

for all $t \in J$, where \bar{x} is the solution of (8), and \tilde{x} of the solution for system (1).

Theorem 2 Assume $f : J \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy assumption (H_2) , $\varphi_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are continuous functions and satisfy assumption (H_1) and the condition (H_3) holds, $\sup_{t \in J} \delta(t) \leq 1$. Then the system (1) is Hyers-Ulam stable with respect to system (8).

Proof. Let ${}^c D_{q_k}^{\alpha_k} \bar{x}(t) = f(t, \bar{x}(t)) + g(t), k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ and $\Delta \bar{x}(t_k) = \varphi_k(\bar{x}(t_k)) + g_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Consider the system

$$\begin{cases}
 {}^c D_{q_k}^{\alpha_k} \bar{x}(t) = f(t, \bar{x}(t)) + g(t), t \in J_k \subseteq J = [0, T], t \neq t_k, \\
 \Delta \bar{x}(t_k) = \varphi_k(\bar{x}(t_k)) + g_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, m. \\
 \eta_1 \bar{x}(0) + \eta_2 \bar{x}(T) = \mu \sum_{k=0}^m {}_{t_k} I_{q_k}^{\beta_k} \bar{x}(t_{k+1}).
 \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

Similarly to the system in Theorem 1, system (9) is equivalent to the following integral equation in Lemma 5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{x}(t) = & \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m (\mu {}_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} (f(s, \bar{x}) + g(s))(t_{i+1}) - \eta_2 {}_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i} (f(s, \bar{x}) + g(s))(t_{i+1})) \right. \\
 & \left. + \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\mu \left(\sum_{j=1}^i (\varphi_j(\bar{x}(t_j)) + g_j) + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} {}_{t_j} I_{q_j}^{\alpha_j} (f(s, \bar{x}) + g(s))(t_{j+1}) \right) \Omega_{\beta_i} \right] \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\eta_2(\varphi_i(\bar{x}(t_i)) + g_i) \Big] \Big\} + \sum_{i=1}^k (\varphi_i(\bar{x}(t_i)) + g_i) \\
& + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} {}_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i} (f(s, \bar{x}) + g(s))(t_{i+1}) + {}_{t_k} I_{q_k}^{\alpha_k} (f(t, \bar{x}) + g(t))
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Now, we define the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ as following

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{G}}x(t) &= \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m (\mu {}_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} f(s, x)(t_{i+1}) - \eta_2 {}_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i} f(s, x)(t_{i+1})) \right. \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\mu \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \varphi_j(x(t_j)) + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} {}_{t_j} I_{q_j}^{\alpha_j} f(s, x)(t_{j+1}) \right) \Omega_{\beta_i} - \eta_2 \varphi_i(x(t_i)) \right] \Big\} \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i(x(t_i)) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} {}_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i} f(s, x)(t_{i+1}) + {}_{t_k} I_{q_k}^{\alpha_k} f(s, x)(t) + G(t) \\
& = \mathcal{G}x + G(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
G(t) &= \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m (\mu {}_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} g(t_{i+1}) - \eta_2 {}_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i} g(t_{i+1})) \right. \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\mu \left(\sum_{j=1}^i g_j + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} {}_{t_j} I_{q_j}^{\alpha_j} g(t_{j+1}) \right) \Omega_{\beta_i} - \eta_2 g_i \right] \Big\} \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^k g_i + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} {}_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i} g(t_{i+1}) + {}_{t_k} I_{q_k}^{\alpha_k} g(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Note that

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{G}}x - \tilde{\mathcal{G}}y\| = \|\mathcal{G}x - \mathcal{G}y\|.$$

Then the existence of a solution of (1) implies the existence of a solution to (9), it follows from Theorem 1 that $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is a contraction. Thus there is a unique fixed point \bar{x} of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, and respectively \tilde{x} of \mathcal{G} .

Since $t \in [0, T]$ and $\sup \delta(t) \leq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G\| &= \max_{t \in J} |G(t)| \\
&= \max_{t \in J} \left| \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m (\mu {}_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} g(t_{i+1}) - \eta_2 {}_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i} g(t_{i+1})) \right. \right. \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\mu \left(\sum_{j=1}^i g_j + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} {}_{t_j} I_{q_j}^{\alpha_j} g(t_{j+1}) \right) \Omega_{\beta_i} - \eta_2 g_i \right] \Big\} \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^k g_i + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} {}_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i} g(t_{i+1}) + {}_{t_k} I_{q_k}^{\alpha_k} g(t) \Big| \\
&\leq \max_{t \in J} \left| \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m (\mu {}_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} g(t_{i+1}) - \eta_2 {}_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i} g(t_{i+1})) \right. \right. \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\mu \left(\sum_{j=1}^i g_j + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} {}_{t_j} I_{q_j}^{\alpha_j} g(t_{j+1}) \right) \Omega_{\beta_i} - \eta_2 g_i \right] \Big\} \Big|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| + \sum_{i=1}^m g_i + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} {}_{t_i} I_{q_i}^{\alpha_i} g(t_{i+1}) + {}_{t_m} I_{q_m}^{\alpha_m} g(t) \right| \\
 & \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\mu \Omega_{\alpha_i + \beta_i} + \eta_2 \Omega_{\alpha_i} + \mu \epsilon i \Omega_{\beta_i} + \mu \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \Omega_{\alpha_j} \Omega_{\beta_i} + \Omega_{\alpha_i} \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left(\mu \Omega_{\alpha_0 + \beta_0} + \eta_2 \Omega_{\alpha_0} \right) + m \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda} \eta_2 + 1 \right) \right\} \epsilon.
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\bar{x} - \tilde{x}\| &= \|\tilde{\mathcal{G}}\bar{x} - \mathcal{G}\tilde{x}\| = \|\mathcal{G}\bar{x} - \mathcal{G}\tilde{x} + G(t)\| \leq \|\mathcal{G}\bar{x} - \mathcal{G}\tilde{x}\| + \|G\| \\
 & \leq \Delta \|\bar{x} - \tilde{x}\| + \left\{ \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{i=0}^m \left(\mu \Omega_{\alpha_i + \beta_i} + \eta_2 \Omega_{\alpha_i} + \mu \epsilon i \Omega_{\beta_i} + \mu \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \Omega_{\alpha_j} \Omega_{\beta_i} + \Omega_{\alpha_i} \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left(\mu \Omega_{\alpha_0 + \beta_0} + \eta_2 \Omega_{\alpha_0} \right) + m \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda} \eta_2 + 1 \right) \right\} \epsilon.
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

By condition (H₃), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\bar{x} - \tilde{x}\| & \leq (1 - \Delta)^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{i=0}^m \left(\mu \Omega_{\alpha_i + \beta_i} + \eta_2 \Omega_{\alpha_i} + \mu \epsilon i \Omega_{\beta_i} + \mu \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \Omega_{\alpha_j} \Omega_{\beta_i} + \Omega_{\alpha_i} \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + m \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda} \eta_2 + 1 \right) \right\} \epsilon.
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Let $A_f = (1 - \Delta)^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{i=0}^m \left(\mu \Omega_{\alpha_i + \beta_i} + \eta_2 \Omega_{\alpha_i} + \mu \epsilon i \Omega_{\beta_i} + \mu \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \Omega_{\alpha_j} \Omega_{\beta_i} + \Omega_{\alpha_i} \right) + m \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda} \eta_2 + 1 \right) \right\}$, then

$$\|\bar{x} - \tilde{x}\| \leq A_f \epsilon.$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 1 Note that (1) has a very general form, as special instances results from (1), when, $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = 1, \mu = 0$, (1) reduces to the antiperiodic boundary value problem of the impulsive fractional q -difference equation:

$$\begin{cases}
 {}^c D_{t_k}^{\alpha_k} x(t) = f(t, x(t)), t \in J_k \subseteq J = [0, T], t \neq t_k, \\
 \Delta x(t_k) = x(t_k^+) - x(t_k) = \varphi_k(x(t_k)), k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\
 x(0) + x(T) = 0.
 \end{cases}$$

4. Example

Consider the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases}
 {}^c D_{t_k}^{\frac{k+1}{3k+1}} x(t) = \frac{\sin^2 t}{t^2 + 50} \frac{2|x(t)|}{1+|x(t)|} + \frac{3t}{4}, t \in \left[0, \frac{3}{2}\right] \setminus \{t_1, t_2\}, \\
 \Delta x(t_k) = \frac{1}{200k} \frac{x^2(t_k) + 2|x(t_k)|}{1+|x(t_k)|} + \frac{k}{5}, t_k = \frac{k}{2}, k = 1, 2, \\
 \frac{8}{3}x(0) + \frac{1}{6}x\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^2 {}_{t_k} I_{\frac{3k+1}{4k+3}}^{\frac{k+1}{3k+1}} x(t_{k+1}).
 \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

Corresponding to boundary value problem (1), one see that $\alpha_k = \frac{k+1}{3k+2}$,

$$\beta_k = \frac{k+1}{k^2+2}, \quad q_k = \frac{3k+1}{4k+3}, \quad t_k = \frac{k}{2}, \quad f(t, x) = \frac{\sin^2 t}{t^2+50} \frac{2|x(t)|}{1+|x(t)|} + \frac{3}{4},$$

$$\varphi_k(x(t_k)) = \frac{1}{200k} \frac{x^2(t_k) + 2|x(t_k)|}{1+|x(t_k)|}. \text{ Through a simple calculation, we get}$$

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq \frac{\sin^2 t}{t^2+25} |x-y|, M(t) = \frac{\sin^2 t}{t^2+25} \leq \frac{1}{25} = M,$$

$$|\varphi_k(x) - \varphi_k(y)| \leq \frac{1}{200k} |x-y| \leq \frac{1}{200} |x-y|, L = \frac{1}{200},$$

$$\Lambda \doteq 1.7875 > 0, \quad \Delta \doteq 0.4873 < 1.$$

From Theorem 1, the problem (16) has a unique solution x on $\left[0, \frac{3}{2}\right]$. Furthermore, the solution x is Hyers-Ulam stable with respect to the following system

$$\begin{cases} \left| {}^c D_{t_k}^{\frac{k+1}{3k+2}} x(t) - \frac{\sin^2 t}{t^2+50} \frac{2|x(t)|}{1+|x(t)|} - \frac{3t}{4} \right| \leq \delta(t) \varepsilon, t \in \left[0, \frac{3}{2}\right] \setminus \{t_1, t_2\}, \\ \left| \Delta x(t_k) - \frac{1}{200k} \frac{x^2(t_k) + 2|x(t_k)|}{1+|x(t_k)|} - \frac{k}{5} \right| \leq \varepsilon \varepsilon, t_k = \frac{k}{2}, k=1, 2, \\ \frac{8}{3} x(0) + \frac{1}{6} x\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^2 I_{t_k}^{\frac{k+1}{3k+2}} x(t_{k+1}), \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0, \varepsilon > 0, \sup_{t \in \left[0, \frac{3}{2}\right]} \delta(t) < 1$.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we study the existence and Hyers-Ulam stability of solutions for impulsive fractional q -difference equation. We obtain some results as following: 1) Using the q -shifting operator, the results of existence of solutions for impulsive fractional q -difference equation with q -integral boundary conditions are obtained. 2) The Hyers-Ulam stability of the nonlinear impulsive fractional q -difference equations was obtained.

Funding

This research was supported by Science and Technology Foundation of Guizhou Province (Grant No. [2016] 7075), by the Project for Young Talents Growth of Guizhou Provincial Department of Education under (Grant No. Ky [2017] 133), and by the project of Guizhou Minzu University under (Grant No.16yjrxc002).

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Jackson, F.H. (1910) On q -Definite Integrals. *The Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **41**, 193-203.
- [2] Kac, V. and Cheung, P. (2002) Quantum Calculus. Springer, New York.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-0071-7>
- [3] Al-Salam, W.A. (1966) Some Fractional q -Integral and q -Derivatives. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, **15**, 135-140.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0013091500011469>
- [4] Agarwal, R.P. (1969) Certain Fractional q -Integrals and q -Derivatives. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, **66**, 365-370.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100045060>
- [5] Tariboom, T., Ntouvas, S.K. and Agarwal, R. (2015) New Concepts of Fractional Quantum Calculus and Applications to Impulsive Fractional q -Difference Equation. *Advances in Difference Equations*, **2015**, Article No. 18.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-014-0348-8>
- [6] Tariboom, T. and Ntouvas, S.K. (2013) Quantum Calculus on Finite Intervals and Applications to Impulsive Difference Equations. *Advances in Difference Equations*, **2013**, Article No. 282. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1847-2013-282>
- [7] Ahmad, B., Ntouyas, S.K., Tariboom, J., Alsaedi, A. and Alsulami, H.H. (2016) Impulsive Fractional q -Integro-Difference Equations with Separated Boundary Conditions. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **281**, 199-213.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2016.01.051>
- [8] Agarwal, R.P., Wang, G., Ahmad, B., Zhang, L., Hobiny, A. and Monaque, S. (2015) On Existence of Solutions for Nonlinear q -Difference Equations with Nonlocal q -Integral Boundary Conditions. *Mathematical Modelling and Analysis*, **20**, 604-618.
<https://doi.org/10.3846/13926292.2015.1088483>
- [9] Li, X.H., Han, Z.L., Sun, S.R. and Sun, L.Y. (2016) Eigenvalue Problems of Fractional q -Difference Equations with Generalized p -Laplacian. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **57**, 46-53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2016.01.003>
- [10] Ferreira, R. (2011) Positive Solutions for a Class of Boundary Value Problems with Fractional q -Differences. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, **61**, 367-373.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2010.11.012>
- [11] Ge, Q. and Hou, C.M. (2015) Positive Solution for a Class of p -Laplacian Fractional q -Difference Equations Involving the Integral Boundary Condition. *Mathematica Aeterna*, **5**, 927-944.
- [12] Balkani, N., Rezapour, S. and Haghi, R.H. (2019) Approximate Solutions for a Fractional q -Integro-Difference Equation. *Journal of Mathematical Extension*, **13**, 201-214.
- [13] Samei, M.E. and Khalilzadeh Ranjbar, G. (2019) Some Theorems of Existence of Solutions for Fractional Hybrid q -Difference Inclusion. *Journal of Advanced Mathematical Studies*, **12**, 63-76. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-019-2090-8>
- [14] Kalvandi, V. and Samei, M.E. (2019) New Stability Results for a Sum-Type Fractional q -Integro-Differential Equation. *Journal of Advanced Mathematical Studies*, **12**, 201-209.
- [15] Liang, S.H. and Samei, M.E. (2020) New Approach to Solutions of a Class of Singular Fractional q -Differential Problem via Quantum Calculus. *Advances in Difference Equations*, **2020**, Article No. 14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-019-2489-2>
- [16] Zhai, C.B. and Ren, J. (2018) The Unique Solution for a Fractional q -Difference Equation with Three-Point Boundary Conditions. *Indagationes Mathematicae*, **29**, 948-961. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2018.02.002>

- [17] Fahd, J., Thabet, A. and Dumitru, B. (2013) Stability of q -Fractional Non-Autonomous Systems. *Nonlinear Analysis*, **14**, 780-784.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2012.08.001>