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#### Abstract

The aim of our work is to formulate and demonstrate the results of the normality, the Lipschitz continuity, of a nonlinear feedback system described by the monotone maximal operators and hemicontinuous, defined on real reflexive Banach spaces, as well as the approximation in a neighborhood of zero, of solutions of a feedback system $[A, B]$ assumed to be non-linear, by solutions of another linear, This approximation allows us to obtain appropriate estimates of the solutions. These estimates have a significant effect on the study of the robust stability and sensitivity of such a system see [1] [2] [3]. We then consider a linear $F S\left[A^{0}, B^{0}\right]$, and prove that, if $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f) ;(u, v) \mapsto\left(e^{0}, f^{0}\right)$, with $\|u\| \leq r,\|v\| \leq r \quad(r>0)$ and $(e, f),\left(e^{0}, f^{0}\right)$ the respective solutions of $\mathrm{FS} s[A, B]$ and $\left[A^{0}, B^{0}\right]$ corresponding to the given $(u, v)$ in $E \times E^{*}$. There exists, $k_{11}, k_{12}, k_{21}, k_{22}$, positive real constants such that, $\left\|e-e^{0}\right\| \leq k_{11}\|u\|+k_{12}\|v\|$ and $\left\|f-f^{0}\right\| \leq k_{21}\|u\|+k_{22}\|v\|$. These results are the subject of theorems 3.1, $\cdots, 3.3$. The proofs of these theorems are based on our lemmas 3.2, $\cdots, 3.5$, devoted according to the hypotheses on $A$ and $B$, to the existence of the inverse of the operator $I+B A$ and $M_{a}$. The results obtained and demonstrated along this document, present an extension in general Banach space of those in [4] on a Hilbert space $H$ and those in [5] on a extended Hilbert space $H_{e}$.
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## 1. Introduction

During these last decades, see [6] [7], functional analysis and the theory of mon-
otone operators, defined on Banach spaces have played, an important role in the study and analysis of systems. Reference [4], introduced the feedback systems described by monotone operators, defined on appropriate spaces. He has established, a series of existence and uniqueness results, of the solutions of this system on a Hilbert space $H$. These types of systems find their uses in several fields such as: control theory, network theory, solving the Hammerstein equation... etc. [8]. The techniques used are based, on the surjectivity theorem, of the monotonic and coercive maximal operators on a reflective Banach space. References [4] [5] introduced, the notion of extended Hilbert space $H_{e}$, and obtained, among others, a normality and linearization results for a feedback system, on this space.

One of our fundamental results is that, the behavior of the $F S[A, B]$ is completely determined, by the inverse of some application $M_{a}=I+B(a+A)$ (see (2)). Note that, in the case where the operators $A$ and $B$ are not linear, and if $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f)$, then $(e, f)=\left(M_{v}^{-1} u, v+A M_{v}^{-1} u\right)$. If one of the two operators is linear, the writing of the solution $(e, f)$, can take forms that do not necessarily depend, on the inverse of the operator $M_{a}$, see (4). This approximation allows us, to obtain suitable estimates of the solutions, in the sense of Section 3, these estimates have a significant effect, on the study of robust stability and sensitivity [1]. For more details, on the study of the inverse of such an operator, which is non linear, one consult [9] [10] [11] [12].

The subject of our work, is to proceed to the approximation method. Therefore, to find an approximate solution of $[A, B]$, supposed nonlinear by one linearizes, in the neighborhood of zero. We then consider a linear $\left[A^{0}, B^{0}\right]$, and prove that, if $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f) ;(u, v) \mapsto\left(e^{0}, f^{0}\right)$, with $\|u\| \leq r,\|v\| \leq r \quad(r>0)$ and $(e, f),\left(e^{0}, f^{0}\right)$ the respective solutions of $[A, B]$ and $\left[A^{0}, B^{0}\right]$ corresponding to the given $(u, v)$ in $E \times E^{*}$. There exists, $k_{11}, k_{12}, k_{21}, k_{22}$, positive real constants such that $\left\|e-e^{0}\right\| \leq k_{11}\|u\|+k_{12}\|v\|$ and $\left\|f-f^{0}\right\| \leq k_{21}\|u\|+k_{22}\|v\|$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some definitions, and we demonstrate results of normality of the $F S[A, B]$, according to whether the two operators are nonlinear or one of the operators is linear. Section 3, is reserved for our results of normality, Lipschitz continuity and approximate solution of $[A, B]$, supposed nonlinear, by one linearizes, in the neighborhood of zero. An example is presented, at the end of this section.

## 2. Definitions and Preliminary Results

Let $E$ be a real vector space, $2^{E}$ the set of all parts of $E, E^{*}$ the algebraic dual of $E$. Let $A: E \rightarrow 2^{E^{*}}$ and $B: E^{*} \rightarrow 2^{E}$, the pair $(A, B)$ is said feedback system and it is noted $F S[A, B]$ or $[A, B] . D(A)=\{x \in E ; A x \neq \varnothing\}$, the domain of $A$. We say that, $A$ is an operator, if $D(A)=E$ and $A x$ is a singleton. $A$ is said simple if, for every $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in E^{2}, x \neq x^{\prime}$ we have $A x \bigcap A x^{\prime}=\phi$. Note that, if $A$ is a simple operator it is injective.

The meaning of the following definition, can be understood, by looking at Figure 1.


Figure 1. 1 - ( $u, V)$ input; $2-(y, g)$ output; $3-(e, f)$ solution, $4-[A, B]$ a feedback system.

Definition 2.1. We say that, an element $(e, f)$ of $E \times E^{*}$ is a solution of the $F S[A, B]$, corresponding to the given $(u, v)$ (input) in $E \times E^{*}$ and we write $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f)$, if there exists $(y, g)$ (output) in $B f \times A e$ such that:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e=u-y ;  \tag{1}\\
f=v+g .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Definition 2.2. We say that the $F S[A, B]$ is:

1) Resoluble, if for all $(u, v) \in E \times E^{*}$, there exists $(e, f) \in E \times E^{*}$, checking (1).
2) Unambiguous, if each solution is unique.
3) Normal, if it is resoluble and unambiguous.

The existence and uniqueness results of the solutions of $[A, B]$, are based on the mapping $\quad M_{a}: E \mapsto 2^{E}$ defined for all $(x, a) \in E \times E^{*}$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{a} x=x+B(a+A x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.1. The $F S[A, B]$ is resoluble, iff $M_{a} E=E, \forall a \in E^{*}$.
Proof. Since $[A, B]$ is resoluble, for $(u, a) \in E \times E^{*}$, there are $(e, f) \in E \times E^{*}$ and $(y, g) \in B f \times A e$ verifying: $e+y=u$ and $f-g=a$. So $u \in e+B f=e+B(a+g) \in e+B(a+A e)=M_{a} e \subset \bigcup_{x \in E} M_{a} x=M_{a} E$ therefore
$E=M_{a} E$. Reciprocally, let $(u, v) \in E \times E^{*}$, since $E=M_{v}(E)$, it exists $e \in E$ such that $u=M_{v} e=e+B(v+A e)$, satisfying $u=e+y$, where $y \in B(v+A e)$, then $y \in B f$, with $f \in v+A e$. Therefore, there are $g \in A e$ verifying $f=v+g$.

## Proposition 2.2.

1) If $[A, B]$ is unambiguous, then for every $a \in E^{*}, M_{a}$ is simple.
2) If $A$ is an operator and for every $a \in E^{*}, M_{a}$ is simple. Then $[A, B]$ is unambiguous.

## Proof.

1) Suppose that, there are $a \in E^{*},\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in E^{2}$ such that $M_{a} x \bigcap M_{a} x^{\prime} \neq \phi$. Let $u \in M_{a} x \bigcap M_{a} x^{\prime}$, for $u \in x+B(a+A x)$, it exists $y \in B(a+A x)$ checking $u=x+y$, since $y \in B f$ where $f=a+g$, with $g \in A x$, then $(u, a) \mapsto(x, f)$. Likewise, when $u \in x^{\prime}+B\left(a+A x^{\prime}\right)$, there are $(u, a) \mapsto\left(x^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)$, as $(x, f)=\left(x^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)$ then $x=x^{\prime}$ so, for every $a \in E^{*}, M_{a}$ is simple.
2) Assume that, for $(u, a) \in E \times E^{*}$ there exists two solutions $(e, f),\left(e^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)$ of $[A, B]$, related to $(u, a)$. Then, there are $y \in B f, y^{\prime} \in B f^{\prime}$ such that:
$u=e+y=e^{\prime}+y^{\prime}$, and $a=f-A e=f^{\prime}-A e^{\prime}$. So, $u \in e+B f \in e+B(a+A e)=M_{a} e$, also $u \in M_{a} e^{\prime}$ hence $M_{a} e \cap M_{a} e^{\prime} \neq \phi$. As, for every $a \in E^{*}, M_{a}$ is simple, we have $e=e^{\prime}$ and $f=f^{\prime}$.

Corollary 2.1. Let $A$ and $B$ be two operators, the $F S[A, B]$ is:

1) Resoluble, iff $\forall a \in E^{*} \quad M_{a}$ is surjective.
2) Unambiguous, iff $\forall a \in E^{*} \quad M_{a}$ is injective.
3) Normal, iff $\forall a \in E^{*} \quad M_{a}$ is invertible. In this case, if $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f)$ then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(e, f)=\left(M_{v}^{-1} u, v+A M_{v}^{-1} u\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof.

1) Let $Y \in 2^{E}$, so $Y \subset M_{a} E, \forall a \in E^{*}$, (see, proposition 2.1), then for $y \in Y$, it exists $x \in E$ such that $\forall a \in E^{*}, M_{a} x=y$. Reciprocally, if $\forall a \in E^{*}, M_{a} E=2^{E}$ as $E \in 2^{E}$, there is $x \in E$, such that $M_{a} x=E$. Since $\bigcup_{x \in E} M_{a} x=M_{a} E$, it follows that $E \subset M_{a} E, \forall a \in E^{*}$ the reverse inclusion is obvious, hence $[A, B]$ is resoluble.
2) If, $[A, B]$ is unambiguous, from the proposition 2.2 (1), $\forall a \in E^{*} \quad M_{a}$ is simple, hence it is injective. Conversely, since $\forall a \in E^{*} \quad M_{a}$ is injective, then for $x, x^{\prime}$ in $E, x \neq x^{\prime}$ we have $\forall a \in E^{*} \quad M_{a} x \neq M_{a} x^{\prime}$, then $\forall a \in E^{*}$ $M_{a} x \cap M_{a} x^{\prime}=\phi$, so $M_{a}$ is simple, from proposition 2.2 (2) $[A, B]$ is unambiguous.
3) Direct consequence of 1 ) and 2 ).

Let's demonstrate the Formula (3). If $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f)$ it exists $y \in B f$, $g \in A e$ satisfying $e=u-y=u-B f$, because $B$ is an operator, and $f=v+g=v+A e$. Then, $e=u-B(v+A e) \Leftrightarrow u=e+B(v+A e)=M_{v} e$, from where $e=M_{v}^{-1} u$, this implies that $f=v+A M_{v}^{-1} u$.
Proposition 2.3. Let $A$ and $B$ be two operators, $I: E \rightarrow E$ the identity. If $B$ is linear, then $I+B A$ is bijective iff $\forall a \in E^{*}, M_{a}$ is bijective.

## Proof.

Proof that, $I+B A$ is surjective $\Leftrightarrow \forall a \in E^{*}, M_{a}$ is surjective. Let $(a, y) \in E^{*} \times E$, since $y+B a \in E, B$ is linear and $\forall a \in E^{*}, M_{a}$ is surjective, it exists $x \in E$ such that $\forall a \in E^{*}$,
$M_{a} x=y+B a=x+B(a+A x)=x+B a+B A x=B a+(I+B A) x$, then
$(I+B A) x=y$ and $I+B A$ is surjective. Reciprocally, since $y-B a \in E$, and $I+B A$ is surjective, it exists $x \in E$ such that $(I+B A) x=y-B a, \forall a \in E^{*}$, this implies $y=(I+B A) x+B a=x+B(a+A x)=M_{a} x, \quad \forall a \in E^{*}$ therefore $\forall a \in E^{*}, M_{a}$ is surjective.
Proof that, $I+B A$ is injective $\Leftrightarrow \forall a \in E^{*}, M_{a}$ is injective. Let $x, x^{\prime}$ in $E$, with $(I+B A) x=(I+B A) x^{\prime}$, then $\forall a \in E^{*}$,
$M_{a} x=(I+B A) x+B a=(I+B A) x^{\prime}+B a=M_{a} x^{\prime}$, as $\forall a \in E^{*}, M_{a}$ is injective, then $x=x^{\prime}$. Conversely, Let $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in E^{2}$ such that $\forall a \in E^{*}, M_{a} x=M_{a} x^{\prime}$ that is to say $\forall a \in E^{*},(I+B A) x+B a=(I+B A) x^{\prime}+B a$, so $(I+B A) x=(I+B A) x^{\prime}$, since $I+B A$ is injective then $x=x^{\prime}$.

Corollary 2.2. Let $A$ and $B$ be two operators, and $I: E \rightarrow E$ the identity. If $B$ is linear, the $F S[A, B]$ is:

1) Resoluble iff $I+B A$ is surjective.
2) Unambiguous iff $I+B A$ is injective.
3) Normal iff $I+B A$ is invertible. In this case, if $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f)$ then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(e, f)=\left((I+B A)^{-1}(u-B v), v+A(I+B A)^{-1}(u-B v)\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Direct consequence of proposition 2.3 and corollary 2.1. To demonstrate (4), let $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f)$, there are $y=B f$ and $g=A e$ satisfying $e=u-B f$ and $f=v+A e$, from where $e=u-B(v+A e)=u-B v-B A e$, so $(I+B A) e=u-B v$, hence $e=(I+B A)^{-1}(u-B v)$ and $f=v+A(I+B A)^{-1}(u-B v)$.

## 3. Linearization Results

Let $E^{*}, E^{* *}$ be, the dual and the bidual of a real normed space $E$. Since the canonical application $\pi: E \rightarrow E^{* *}$, defined by: for every $(x, f) \in E \times E^{*}$; $\langle f, \pi x\rangle_{E^{*}, E^{* *}}:=\langle x, f\rangle_{E, E^{*}}=f(x)$ is linear and isometric, then it's continuous and injective. If the range $R(E)=E^{* *}$, we say that $E$ is reflexive, then $E$ is topologically identical at $E^{* *}$ and $x \in E$ can be considered as a linear form on $E^{*}$, it is natural to write for any $(x, f) \in E \times E^{*} ;\langle f, x\rangle_{E^{*}, E}=\langle x, f\rangle_{E, E^{*}}$. Since $E^{*}$ is a Banach space, then $E$ is also Banach. Note that, if $E$ is the real Hilbert space then $E=E^{*}$. In the sequel, we assume that $E$ is reflexive, and we denote indifferently by $\langle.,$.$\rangle the scalar product in the duality between these spaces, and$ $\|$.$\| their norms.$

Definition 3.1. $A$ is said:

1) Monotone if, for every $(x, y) \in E^{2},(f, g) \in A x \times A y ;\langle x-y, f-g\rangle \geq 0$ or $\langle x-y, A x-A y\rangle \geq 0$ if $A$ is an operator. It's strictly monotone if $\langle x-y, f-g\rangle=0$ implies $x=y$ or $\langle x-y, f-g\rangle>0$, whenever $x \neq y$.
2) Maximal monotone, if $A$ is monotone and the following property holds: $\left(S: E \rightarrow 2^{E^{*}} ; G(A) \subset G(S), S\right.$ monotone) then $A=S$, where $G(A)=\left\{(x, f) \in E \times E^{*} ; f \in A x\right\}$ the graph of $A$.

Definition 3.2. $B$ is said:

1) Monotone if, for every $(f, g) \in E^{*^{2}},(x, y) \in B f \times B g ;\langle f-g, x-y\rangle \geq 0$ or $\langle f-g, B f-B g\rangle \geq 0$ if $A$ is an operator. It's strictly monotone if $\langle f-g, x-y\rangle=0$ implies $f=g$, or $\langle f-g, x-y\rangle>0$, whenever $x \neq y$.
2) Maximal monotone, if $B$ is monotone and the following property holds: ( $T: E^{*} \rightarrow 2^{E} ; G(B) \subset G(T), T$ monotone) then $B=T$, where $G(B)=\left\{(f, x) \in E^{*} \times E ; x \in B f\right\}$ the graph of $B$.

Corollary 3.1. If $A$ is strictly monotone, or $B$ is an operator strictly monotone. Then $N=I+B A$ is simple.

Proof. Let $x, x^{\prime}$ in $E$, and $y \in(I+B A) x \cap(I+B A) x^{\prime} \neq \phi$, there are $f \in A x$ and $g \in A x^{\prime}$ such that $y \in(x+B f) \cap\left(x^{\prime}+B g\right)$, hence it exists $\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \in B f \times B g$ verifying $y=x+z=x^{\prime}+z^{\prime}$, which implies $x-x^{\prime}+z-z^{\prime}=0$ and
$\left\langle f-g, x-x^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle f-g, z-z^{\prime}\right\rangle=0$. As $\left\langle f-g, x-x^{\prime}\right\rangle \geq 0$ and $\left\langle f-g, z-z^{\prime}\right\rangle \geq 0$ because $A$ and $B$ are monotone, then $\left\langle f-g, x-x^{\prime}\right\rangle=0$ and
$\langle f-g, B f-B g\rangle=0$. Therefore, if $A$ is strictly monotone or $B$ is strictly monotone, we have $f=g$ which implies, $z=B f=B g=z^{\prime}$, replacing in $y$ we get $x=x^{\prime}$.

Corollary 3.2. If $A$ or $B$ is an operator strictly monotone, $[A, B]$ is unambiguous.

Proof. According to proposition 2.2, and corollary 3.1, this amounts to demonstrating that, for every $a \in E^{*}, \quad M_{a}=I+B(a+A)=I+B C_{a}$ $\left(C_{a}=a+A\right)$ is simple. It suffices to note that $C_{a}$ is monotone (respectively strictly monotone) iff $A$ is strictly monotone (respectively strictly monotone).

Definition 3.3. An operator $A: E \rightarrow E^{*}$ is said:

1) Coersive, if $\lim _{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle x, A x\rangle}{\|x\|}=+\infty$.
2) Hemicontinuous, if for any $x_{0}, x \in E, t_{n} \rightarrow 0$ we have $A\left(x_{0}+t_{n} x\right)$ weakly converges to $A x_{0}$.

Note that hemicontinuity is operational, continuity implies hemicontinuity, and see [13] [14] [15] [16].

If $A$ is monotone, bounded and hemicontinuous, then $A$ is maximal monotone.

If $A$ is maximal monotone and coercive, then $R(A)=E^{*}$.
If $A$ is monotone, hemicontinuous and coercive, then $R(A)=E^{*}$.
If $A$ is hemicontinuous, and there exists $c>0$ such that $\langle x-y, A x-A y\rangle \geq c\|x-y\|^{2}$, for all $x, y \in E$. Then, $A$ is invertible and the inverse $A^{-1}: E^{*} \rightarrow E$ is monotone continuous.

To simplify the statements of linearization theorems, we note by:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{M}=\left\{N: E \rightarrow E^{*} \text { such that } \mu_{N}=\inf _{\substack{x, y \in E \\
x \neq y}} \frac{\langle x-y, N x-N y\rangle}{\|x-y\|^{2}}>-\infty\right\} ; \\
\operatorname{Lip}=\left\{N: E \rightarrow E^{*} \text { such that }\|N\|^{*}:=\sup _{\substack{x, y \in E \\
x \neq y}} \frac{\|N x-N y\|}{\|x-y\|}<+\infty\right\} ; \\
\mathcal{M}_{*}=\left\{T: E^{*} \rightarrow E \text { such that } \mu_{T}:=\inf _{\substack{f, g \in E^{*} \\
f \neq g}} \frac{\langle f-g, T f-T g\rangle}{\|f-g\|^{2}}>-\infty\right\} ; \\
\operatorname{Lip}_{*}=\left\{T: E^{*} \rightarrow E \text { such that }\|T\|^{*}:=\sup _{\substack{f, g \in E^{*} \\
f \neq g}} \frac{\|T f-T g\|}{\|f-g\|}<+\infty\right\} ;
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Lip}=\left\{S: E \rightarrow E \text { such that }\|S\|^{*}:=\inf _{\substack{x, y \in E \\ x \neq y}} \frac{\|S x-S y\|}{\|x-y\|}<+\infty\right\}
$$

The following assertions (which are also valid for $\mathcal{M}_{*}$ and $L_{*} p_{*}$ ) are true:
Proposition 3.1.

1) $L i p \subset \mathcal{M}$.
2) $\forall M, N \in \mathcal{M}, \forall \alpha \geq 0, \quad M+N, \alpha N \in \mathcal{M}, \mu_{M+N} \geq \mu_{M}+\mu_{N}$ and $\mu_{\alpha N}=\alpha \mu_{N}$.
3) $\forall N \in \mathcal{M}, N$ is monotone (respectively strictly monotone) iff $\mu_{N} \geq 0$ (respectively $\mu_{N}>0$ ).
4) $\|N\|^{*} \geq\left|\mu_{N}\right|, \quad$ Lip $\subset \mathcal{M}$ and $\|N\|^{*}=0$ iff $N$ is constant.
5) $\forall M, N \in L i p, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha N, \quad N+M \in L i p, \quad\|\alpha N\|^{*}=|\alpha|\|N\|^{*}$, $\|N+M\|^{*} \leq\|N\|^{*}+\|M\|^{*}$.
6) If $N \in \mathcal{M}$, and $N$ is linear, then $N \in \operatorname{Lip}$ iff $N$ is linear. In this case $\|N\|^{*}=\|N\|$.
7) If $N \in L i p$ and $M \in L^{2} p_{*}$, then $M N \in \operatorname{Lip}$ and $\|M N\|^{*} \leq\|N\|^{*}\|M\|^{*}$.

The numbers $\mu_{N}$ and $\|N\|^{*}$ can be interpreted crudely as a "gain" and "minimal slope" of the operator $N$, respectively.
Lemma 3.1. Let $N \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\mu_{N}>0$; if $N$ is hemicontinuous, then $N$ is invertible, $N^{-1} \in$ Lip $_{*_{*}}, \quad \mu_{N^{-1}} \geq 0$ and $\left\|N^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq \mu_{N}^{-1}$. If in addition $N \in$ Lip, then $\mu_{N^{-1}} \geq \mu_{N}\|N\|^{t^{-2}}$.

Proof. Since, $\mu_{N}>0, \forall(x, y) \in E^{2} \quad\langle x-y, N x-N y\rangle \geq \mu_{N}\|x-y\|^{2} \quad(*)$, as $N$ is hemicontinuous then $N$ is invertible. From (*) and because $\forall(f, x) \in E^{*} \times E$, $|\langle x, f\rangle| \leq\|x\|\|f\|$, we have $\forall(x, y) \in E^{2}$
$\mu_{N}\|x-y\|^{2} \leq\langle x-y, N x-N y\rangle \leq\|x-y\|\|N x-N y\|$, then $\forall(x, y) \in E^{2} \quad(x \neq y)$, $\mu_{N}\|x-y\| \leq\|N x-N y\| \Leftrightarrow \forall(f, g) \in E^{*^{2}} \quad(f \neq g)$,
$\mu_{N}\left\|N^{-1} f-N^{-1} g\right\| \leq\|f-g\| \Leftrightarrow \forall(f, g) \in E^{2} \quad(f \neq g), \quad \frac{\left\|N^{-1} f-N^{-1} g\right\|}{\|f-g\|} \leq \mu_{N}^{-1}$,
witch implies $N^{-1} \in$ Lip $_{*}$ and $\left\|N^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq \mu_{N}^{-1}$. If $N \in \operatorname{Lip}$,
$\|N x-N y\|^{2} \leq\|N\|^{2}\|x-y\|^{2} \quad \forall(x, y) \in E^{2}$, returning to (*) we obtain $\forall(x, y) \in E^{2} \quad\langle x-y, N x-N y\rangle \geq \mu_{N}\|x-y\|^{2} \geq \mu_{N}\|N x-N y\|^{2}\|N\|^{\pi^{-2}}$. It follows that, $\forall(f, g) \in E^{*^{2}} \quad(f \neq g)$,
$\frac{\left\langle N^{-1} f-N^{-1} g, f-g\right\rangle}{\|f-g\|^{2}}=\frac{\left\langle f-g, N^{-1} f-N^{-1} g\right\rangle}{\|f-g\|^{2}} \geq \mu_{N}\|N\|^{*^{-2}}$, which leads to $\mu_{N^{-1}} \geq \mu_{N}\|N\|^{\|^{-2}}$.
Lemma 3.2. Let $B \in \mathcal{M}_{*}$ which is hemicontinuous and let $A \in \operatorname{Lip}$, with $\mu_{A}>0$. If, $\mu_{B}+\mu_{A}\|A\|^{u-2}>0$, then $A^{-1}+B$ and $I+B A$ are invertible, $(I+B A)^{-1} \in L i p$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(I+B A)^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq \mu_{A}^{-1}\left(\mu_{B}+\mu_{A}\|A\|^{*-2}\right)^{-1} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $A \in L i p$, then $\forall(x, y) \in E^{2},\|A x-A y\| \leq\|A\|^{*}\|x-y\|$, so $A$ is hemicontinuous, with $\mu_{\mathrm{A}}>0$. By lemma $3.1 \quad A^{-1}$ exists, $A^{-1} \in L_{i p_{*}}$, $\left\|A^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq \mu_{A}^{-1}$ and $\mu_{A^{-1}} \geq \mu_{A}\|A\|^{u-2}$. Thus $A^{-1}+B$ is hemicontinuous with $\mu_{A^{-1}+B} \geq \mu_{B}+\mu_{A}\|A\|^{s^{-2}}>0$, using again lemma 3.1, $\left(A^{-1}+B\right)^{-1}$ exists,
$\left(A^{-1}+B\right)^{-1} \in L i p$ and $\left\|\left(A^{-1}+B\right)^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq \mu_{A^{-1}+B}^{-1} \leq\left(\mu_{B}+\mu_{A}\|A\|^{*^{-2}}\right)^{-1}$. As
$I+B A=\left(A^{-1}+B\right) A$. then $I+B A$ is invertible, $(I+B A)^{-1}=A^{-1}\left(A^{-1}+B\right)^{-1}$ and $\left\|(I+B A)^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq\left\|A^{-1}\right\|^{*}\left\|\left(A^{-1}+B\right)^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq \mu_{A}^{-1}\left(\mu_{B}+\mu_{A}\|A\|^{*^{-2}}\right)^{-1}$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $B \in \mathcal{M}_{*}$ be linear, with $\mu_{B}>0$ and let $A \in \mathcal{M}$ be hemicontinuous, with $\mu_{A} \leq 0$. If, $\mu_{B}+\mu_{A}\|B\|^{2}>0$, then $B^{-1}+A$ and $I+B A$ are invertible, $(I+B A)^{-1} \in L i p$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(I+B A)^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq\|B\|\left(\mu_{B}+\mu_{A}\|B\|^{2}\right)^{-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $B \in \mathcal{M}_{*}$ is linear, $\mu_{B}>0$, then $B$ is bounded $B \in L i p_{*}$, $\|B\|=\|B\|^{*}=\left\|B^{*}\right\|$, where $B^{*}$ is the conjugate of $B$. Hence $B$ is hemicontinuous, by lemma $3.1 \quad B^{-1}$ exists, $B^{-1} \in L i p$, and $\left\|B^{-1}\right\| \leq \mu_{B}^{-1}$. The open mapping theorem ensures the continuity of $B^{-1}$, and hence its hemicontinuity. To continue, let $D=(I+B A) B^{*}=B^{*}+B A B^{*}$, since for any $f_{0}, f \in E^{*}, t_{n} \rightarrow 0$ we have $A B^{*}\left(f_{0}+t_{n} f\right)=A\left(B^{*} f_{0}+t_{n} B^{*} f\right)$ weakly converges to $A B^{*} f_{0}$, taking into account the continuity of $B$, we have $B A B^{*}\left(f_{0}+t_{n} f\right)$ weakly converges to $B A B^{*} f_{0}$, which gives the hemicontinuity of $D$. Moreover, for $f, g \in E^{*}$ $\langle f-g, D f-D g\rangle=\left\langle f-g, B^{*}(f-g)\right\rangle+\left\langle f-g, B A B^{*} f-B A B^{*} g\right\rangle$. As, $=\langle B(f-g),(f-g)\rangle+\left\langle B^{*} f-B^{*} g, A B^{*} f-A B^{*} g\right\rangle$ $\langle B(f-g),(f-g)\rangle \geq \mu_{B}\|f-g\|^{2} ;$ $\left\langle B^{*} f-B^{*} g, A B^{*} f-A B^{*} g\right\rangle \geq \mu_{A}\left\|B^{*}(f-g)\right\|^{2} \quad$ and $\quad\left\|B^{*}(f-g)\right\| \leq\|B\|\|f-g\|$, then $\mu_{A}\left\|B^{*}(f-g)\right\|^{2} \geq \mu_{A}\|B\|^{2}\|f-g\|^{2}$, hence $\langle f-g, D f-D g\rangle \geq\left(\mu_{B}+\mu_{A}\|B\|^{2}\right)\|f-g\|^{2}$, so $\mu_{D} \geq \mu_{B}+\mu_{A}\|B\|^{2}>0$. Lemma 3.1 confirms that, $D$ is invertible, $D^{-1} \in \operatorname{Lip}$ and $\left\|D^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq \mu_{D}^{-1} \leq\left(\mu_{B}+\mu_{A}\|B\|^{2}\right)^{-1}$. As $B^{*}$ is invertible, $\left\|B^{*^{-1}}\right\| \leq \mu_{B}^{-1}$; then $D B^{*^{-1}}=I+B A$ is invertible, $(I+B A)^{-1}=B^{*} D^{-1}$, so $\left\|(I+B A)^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq\|B\|\left\|D^{-1}\right\|^{*}$, which give (6).

Lemma 3.4. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}$ be linear, with $\mu_{A}>0$ and let $B \in \mathcal{M}$ be hemicontinuous, with $\mu_{B} \leq 0$. If, $\mu_{A}+\mu_{B}\|A\|^{2}>0$, then $A^{-1}+B$ and $I+B A$ are invertible, $(I+B A)^{-1} \in L i p$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(I+B A)^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq\|A\|\left(\mu_{A}+\mu_{B}\|A\|^{2}\right)^{-1} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $A \in \mathcal{M}$ is linear, $\mu_{A}>0$, then $A$ is bounded $A \in L i p$, $\|A\|=\|A\|^{*}=\left\|A^{*}\right\|$, where $A^{*}$ is the conjugate of $A$. Hence $A$ is hemicontinuous, by lemma $3.1 \quad A^{-1}$ exists, $A^{-1} \in \operatorname{Lip}$, and $\left\|A^{-1}\right\| \leq \mu_{A}^{-1}$. The open mapping theorem ensures the continuity of $A^{-1}$, and hence its hemicontinuity. To continue, let $C=A^{*}(I+B A)=A^{*}+A^{*} B A$, since for any $x_{0}, x \in E, t_{n} \rightarrow 0$ we have $B A\left(x_{0}+t_{n} x\right)=B\left(A x_{0}+t_{n} A x\right)$ weakly converges to $B A x_{0}$, taking into account the continuity of $A$, we have $A^{*} B A\left(x_{0}+t_{n} x\right)$ weakly converges to $A^{*} B A x_{0}$, which gives the hemicontinuity of $C$. Moreover, for $x, y \in E$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle x-y, C x-C y\rangle=\left\langle x-y, A^{*}(x-y)\right\rangle+\left\langle x-y, A^{*} B A x-A^{*} B A y\right\rangle \text {. Since, for any } \\
& =\langle A(x-y),(x-y)\rangle+\langle A x-A y, B A x-B A y\rangle \\
& (x, f) \in E \times E^{*} ;\langle f, x\rangle_{E^{*}, E}=\langle x, f\rangle_{E, E^{*}} \text { then, } \\
& \langle A(x-y), x-y\rangle=\langle x-y, A(x-y)\rangle \geq \mu_{A}\|x-y\|^{2} ; \\
& \langle A x-A y, B A x-B A y\rangle \geq \mu_{B}\|A(x-y)\|^{2} \text { and }\|A(x-y)\| \leq\|A\|\|x-y\| \text {, then } \\
& \mu_{B}\|A(x-y)\|^{2} \geq \mu_{B}\|A\|^{2}\|x-y\|^{2}, \text { hence } \forall(x, y) \in E^{2} ; \\
& \langle x-y, C x-C y\rangle \geq\left(\mu_{A}+\mu_{B}\|A\|^{2}\right)\|x-y\|^{2}, \text { so } \mu_{C} \geq \mu_{A}+\mu_{B}\|A\|^{2}>0 \text {. Lemma 3.1 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { confirms that, } C \text { is invertible, } C^{-1} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{*} \text { and }\left\|C^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq \mu_{C}^{-1} \leq\left(\mu_{A}+\mu_{B}\|A\|^{2}\right)^{-1}
$$

$$
\text { As } A^{*} \text { is invertible, }\left\|A_{\|}^{*-1}\right\| \leq \mu_{A}^{-1} \text {; then } A^{*^{-1}} C=I+B A \text { is invertible, }
$$

$$
(I+B A)^{-1}=C^{-1} A^{*} \text {, so }\left\|(I+B A)^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq\|A\|\left\|C^{-1}\right\|^{*} \text {, which give (7) }
$$

Lemma 3.5. Let $A$ be linear, and let $A, N=I+B A$ be invertible, then $\forall(x, a) \in E \times E^{*}$, the operator $M_{a} x=x+B(a+A x)$ is invertible and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{a}^{-1} x=N^{-1}\left(x+A^{-1} a\right)-A^{-1} a \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Indeed, $\forall x \in E$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{a}^{-1} M_{a} x & =N^{-1}\left(x+A^{-1} a+B(a+A x)\right)-A^{-1} a \\
& =N^{-1}\left(x+A^{-1} a+B A\left(x+A^{-1} a\right)\right)-A^{-1} a \\
& =N^{-1} N\left(x+A^{-1} a\right)-A^{-1} a=x
\end{aligned}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{a} M_{a}^{-1} x & =M_{a}^{-1} x+B\left(a+A M_{a}^{-1} x\right) \\
& =N^{-1}\left(x+A^{-1} a\right)+B A N^{-1}\left(x+A^{-1} a\right)-A^{-1} a \\
& =N N^{-1}\left(x+A^{-1} a\right)-A^{-1} a=x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 3.4. We say that, a normal $F S[A, B]$ :

1) is Lipschitz continuous for the first inputs, if there are positive numbers $k_{11}$ and $k_{12}$ such that

$$
\left\|e-e^{\prime}\right\| \leq k_{11}\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\|
$$

and

$$
\left\|f-f^{\prime}\right\| \leq k_{12}\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\|
$$

where $\left(u, v^{*}\right) \mapsto(e, f), \quad\left(u, v^{*}\right) \mapsto\left(e^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)$.
2) is Lipschitz continuous for both inputs, if there are positive numbers $k_{11}$, $k_{12}, k_{21}$ and $k_{22}$ such that:

$$
\left\|e-e^{\prime}\right\| \leq k_{11}\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\|+k_{12}\left\|v-v^{\prime}\right\|
$$

and

$$
\left\|f-f^{\prime}\right\| \leq k_{21}\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\|+k_{22}\left\|v-v^{\prime}\right\|,
$$

where $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f), \quad\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right) \mapsto\left(e^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)$.
New, let a non linear $F S[A, B]$ be. The main idea in this section is to linearize $[A, B]$ in the neighborhood of the zero. We then consider a linear $F S$ $\left[A^{0}, B^{0}\right]$ and prove that, if $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f)$ and $(u, v) \mapsto\left(e^{0}, f^{0}\right)$ where
$(u, v) \in E \times E^{*}$ with $\|u\|,\|v\| \leq r \quad(r>0)$ and $(e, f), \quad\left(e^{0}, f^{0}\right)$ the respective solutions of $[A, B]$ and $\left[A^{0}, B^{0}\right]$, corresponding to the given $(u, v) \in E \times E^{*}$. There exists $k_{11}, k_{12}, k_{21}$ and $k_{22}$ positive real constants such that $\left\|e-e^{0}\right\| \leq k_{11}\|u\|+k_{12}\|v\|$ and $\left\|f-f^{0}\right\| \leq k_{21}\|u\|+k_{22}\|v\|$.

The inequalities above are given by theorem 3.1. To have suitable estimates, in the sense that the solutions of the two systems become sufficiently close. It is assumed that, one of the two operators of $[A, B]$ is linear, this is the subject of theorems 3.2 and 3.3.

Before establishing the first linearization result of this paper, let us denote by $B_{r}$ the closed ball of $E$, and $B_{r}^{*}$ the closed ball of $E^{*}$, which are centered in zero and of radius $r>0$.

## Theorem 3.1. Assume that:

1) $A \in L i p$, such that there exist a linear $A^{0}: E \rightarrow E^{*}, a>0$ verifying $0<\mu_{A}-a$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(A-A^{0}\right) x\right\| \leq a\|x\|, \forall x \in B_{v r} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) $B \in L i p_{*}$, such that there exist a linear $B^{0}: E^{*} \rightarrow E, \quad b>0$ verifying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(B-B^{0}\right) f\right\| \leq b\|f\|, \forall f \in B_{\left(1+\nu \mid A \|^{*}\right) r}^{*} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v=\mu_{A}^{-1}\left(\mu_{B}+\mu_{A}\|A\|^{-2}\right)^{-1}$.
3) $\mu_{B}-b+\left(\mu_{A}-a\right)\left(a+\|A\|^{*}\right)^{-2}>0$.

Then
a) $[A, B]$ and $\left[A^{0}, B^{0}\right]$ are normal and Lipschitz continuous in the first input.
b) if $(u, v) \in B_{r} \times B_{r}^{*}$, and $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f)$ for $[A, B] ;(u, v) \mapsto\left(e^{0}, f^{0}\right)$ for $\left[A^{0}, B^{0}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e-e^{0}\right\| \leq k_{11}\|u\|+k_{12}\|v\| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-f^{0}\right\| \leq k_{21}\|u\|+k_{22}\|v\| \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad k_{11}=\kappa v\left(b\|A\|^{*}+a\left\|B^{0}\right\|\right) ; \quad k_{12}=\kappa b ; \quad k_{21}=a v+\kappa v\left\|A^{0}\right\|\left(b\|A\|^{*}+a\left\|B^{0}\right\|\right) ;$ $k_{22}=\kappa\left\|A^{0}\right\| b$ with $\kappa=\left(\mu_{A}-a\right)^{-1}\left(\mu_{B}-b+\left(\mu_{A}-a\right)\left\|A^{0}\right\|^{-2}\right)^{-1}$.

Proof. Beginning by demonstrating (a). The linearity of $A^{0}$ and (9) implies that $A_{0}=0$, and $\forall x \in E,\left\|A^{0} x\right\| \leq\left\|\left(A-A^{0}\right) x\right\|+\|A x\| \leq\left(a+\|A\|^{*}\right)\|x\|$, hence $A^{0}$ is bounded and $\left\|A^{0}\right\| \leq a+\|A\|^{*}$. As $\forall x \in B_{v r}$, $\left\langle x, A^{0} x\right\rangle=\langle x, A x\rangle-\left\langle x,\left(A-A^{0}\right) x\right\rangle ;\langle x, A x\rangle \geq \mu_{A}\|x\|^{2}$, $\left\langle x,\left(A-A^{0}\right) x\right\rangle \leq\left\|\left(A-A^{0}\right) x\right\|\|x\| \leq a\|x\|^{2}$, then $\forall x \in E, \quad\left\langle x, A^{0} x\right\rangle \geq\left(\mu_{A}-a\right)\|x\|^{2}$. Therefore $\mu_{A^{0}} \geq \mu_{A}-a>0$, returning to the lemma 3.1, $A^{0}$ is invertible. By the same arguments and since for any $(x, f) \in E \times E^{*} ;\langle f, x\rangle_{E^{*}, E}=\langle x, f\rangle_{E, E^{*}}$, we have, $B^{0}$ is bounded, $\left\|B^{0}\right\| \leq b+\|B\|^{*}$ and $\mu_{B^{0}} \geq \mu_{B}-b$. Now, let's pose
for $(x, z) \in E \times E^{*}, \quad M_{z} x=x+B(z+A x) ; \quad B_{z} x=z+A x$ and $M_{z}^{0} x=x+B^{0}\left(z+A^{0} x\right) ; \quad B_{z}^{0} x=z+A^{0} x$. It is clear that $M_{z}=I+B B_{z}$, $M_{z}^{0}=I+B^{0} B_{z}^{0}, \quad \mu_{B_{z}}=\mu_{A}>0, \mu_{B_{z}^{0}}=\mu_{A^{0}}>0,\left\|B_{z}\right\|^{*}=\|A\|^{*}$ and $\left\|B_{z}^{0}\right\|^{*}=\left\|A^{0}\right\|$, these with (3) then give,
$\mu_{B}+\mu_{B_{z}}\left\|B_{z}\right\|^{w^{-2}}=\mu_{B}+\mu_{A}\|A\|^{*-2}>\mu_{B}-b+\left(\mu_{A}-a\right)\left(a+\|A\|^{*}\right)^{-2}>0$. By lemma 3.2, $\quad M_{z}$ is invertible $M_{z}^{-1} \in \operatorname{Lip}$ and $\left\|M_{z}^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq \mu_{A}^{-1}\left(\mu_{B}+\mu_{A}\|A\|^{*^{-2}}\right)^{-1}=v$. Then corollary 2.1, implies that the $F S[A, B]$ is normal. Since see (3), for $\left(u, v^{*}\right) \mapsto(e, f),\left(u^{\prime}, v^{*}\right) \mapsto\left(e^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)$ we have $e-e^{\prime}=M_{v^{*}}^{-1} u-M_{v^{*}}^{-1} u^{\prime}$; $f-f^{\prime}=A M_{v^{*}}^{-1} u-A M_{v^{*}}^{-1} u^{\prime}$, then

$$
\left\|e-e^{\prime}\right\|=\left\|M_{v^{*}}^{-1} u-M_{v^{*}}^{-1} u^{\prime}\right\| \leq\left\|M_{z}^{-1}\right\|^{*}\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\| \leq v\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\|
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f-f^{\prime}\right\| & =\left\|A M_{v^{*}}^{-1} u-A M_{v^{*}}^{-1} u^{\prime}\right\| \leq\left\|A M_{v^{*}}^{-1}\right\|^{*}\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\| \\
& \leq\|A\|^{*}\left\|M_{v^{*}}^{-1}\right\|^{*}\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\| \leq\|A\|^{*} v\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

where, $k_{11}=v$ and $k_{12}=\|A\|^{*} v$ in definition 3.1, (a); i.e. $[A, B]$ is Lipschitz continuous for the first inputs. Using the same for $M_{z}^{0}$, we obtain $\mu_{B^{0}}+\mu_{B_{z}^{0}}\left\|B_{z}^{0}\right\|^{*^{-2}}=\mu_{B^{0}}+\mu_{A^{0}}\left\|A^{0}\right\|^{-2}>\mu_{B}-b+\left(\mu_{A}-a\right)\left(a+\|A\|^{*}\right)^{-2}>0$. By lem ma 3.2, $M_{z}^{0}$ is invertible $M_{z}^{0-1} \in \operatorname{Lip}$ and

$$
\left\|M_{z}^{0-1}\right\|^{*} \leq \mu_{A^{0}}^{-1}\left(\mu_{B^{0}}+\mu_{A^{0}}\left\|A^{0}\right\|^{-2}\right)^{-1} \leq\left(\mu_{A}-a\right)^{-1}\left(\mu_{B}-b+\left(\mu_{A}-a\right)\left\|A^{0}\right\|^{-2}\right)^{-1}=\kappa
$$

Then corollary 2.1 with (3) imply that, the linear $F S\left[A^{0}, B^{0}\right]$ is normal, and for $\left(u, v^{*}\right) \mapsto\left(e^{0}, f^{0}\right),\left(u^{\prime}, v^{*}\right) \mapsto\left(e^{\prime 0}, f^{\prime 0}\right)$, we have $e^{0}-e^{\prime 0}=M_{v^{*}}^{0-1} u-M_{v^{*}}^{0-1} u^{\prime}$; $f-f^{\prime 0}=A^{0} M_{v^{*}}^{0-1} u-A^{0} M_{v^{*}}^{0-1} u^{\prime}$, then

$$
\left\|e^{0}-e^{\prime 0}\right\|=\left\|M_{v^{*}}^{0-1} u-M_{v^{*}}^{0-1} u^{\prime}\right\| \leq\left\|M_{v^{*}}^{0-1}\right\|^{*}\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\| \leq \kappa\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\|,
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f-f^{\prime 0}\right\| & =\left\|A^{0} M_{v^{*}}^{0-1} u-A^{0} M_{v^{*}}^{0-1} u^{\prime}\right\| \leq\left\|A^{0} M_{v^{*}}^{0-1}\right\| * u-u^{\prime} \| \\
& \leq\left\|A^{0}\right\|\left\|M_{v^{*}}^{0-1}\right\|\left\|^{*}\right\| u-u^{\prime}\|\leq\| A^{0}\|\kappa\| u-u^{\prime} \|
\end{aligned}
$$

where, $k_{11}=\kappa$ and $k_{12}=\left\|A^{0}\right\| \kappa$ in definition 3.1, (a); i.e. $\left[A^{0}, B^{0}\right]$ is Lipschitz continuous for the first inputs.

To demonstrate (b), let $N=I+B^{0} A^{0}$, since $A^{0} \in L i p$ is linear with $\mu_{A^{0}}>0, B^{0} \in L_{i p}$ is linear and $\mu_{B^{0}}+\mu_{A^{0}}\left\|A^{0}\right\|_{1}^{-2}>0$. By lemma 3.2, $N^{-1}$ exists, $\quad N^{-1} \in \operatorname{Lip}, \quad\left\|N^{-1}\right\| \leq \mu_{A^{0}}^{-1}\left(\mu_{B^{0}}+\mu_{A^{0}}\left\|A^{0}\right\|^{-2}\right)^{-1} \quad$ therefore $\left\|N^{-1}\right\| \leq \kappa$. Let now, $(x, z) \in B_{r} \times B_{r}^{*}, \quad M_{z}^{-1} x=w$, it is obvious that $\|w\|=\left\|M_{z}^{-1} x\right\| \leq\left\|M_{z}^{-1}\right\|^{*}\|x\| \leq v\|x\| \leq v r$, witch implies that $w \in B_{v r}$. By lemma 3.5 and (8), we have $M_{z}^{-1} x-M_{z}^{0-1} x=M_{z}^{-1} x-N^{-1}\left(x+A_{0}^{-1} z\right)+A_{0}^{-1} z$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{z}^{-1} x-M_{z}^{0-1} x & =M_{z}^{-1} x-N^{-1} x-N^{-1} A_{0}^{-1} z+A_{0}^{-1} z \\
& =M_{z}^{-1} x-N^{-1} x+\left(I-N^{-1}\right) A_{0}^{-1} z \\
& =\left[-N^{-1}\left(M_{z}-N\right) M_{z}^{-1} x\right]+\left[N^{-1}(N-I) A_{0}^{-1} z\right] \\
& =-N^{-1}\left(M_{z} w-N w\right)+N^{-1} B^{0} z
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-N^{-1}\left[B(z+A w)-B^{0} A^{0} w-B^{0} z\right] \\
& =-N^{-1}\left[B(z+A w)-B^{0}(z+A w)+B^{0}(z+A w)-B^{0}\left(z+A^{0} w\right)\right] \\
& =-N^{-1}\left[\left(B-B^{0}\right)(z+A w)+B^{0}\left(A-A^{0}\right) w\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

As, $\|z+A w\| \leq\|z\|+\|A\|^{*}\|w\| \leq r+\|A\|^{*} v r=\left(1+\|A\|^{*} v\right) r$, then $z+A w \in B_{\left(1+\|A\|^{*} v\right)_{r}}^{*}$ hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|M_{z}^{-1} x-M_{z}^{0-1} x\right\| & \leq\left\|N^{-1}\right\|\left\|\left[\left(B-B^{0}\right)(z+A w)+B^{0}\left(A-A^{0}\right) w\right]\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|N^{-1}\right\|\left[b\|z+A w\|+\left\|B^{0}\right\| a\|w\|\right] \\
& \leq \kappa\left[b\|z\|+\left(b\|A\|^{*}+\left\|B^{0}\right\| a\right) v\|x\|\right]  \tag{13}\\
& \leq \kappa v\left(b\|A\|^{*}+a\left\|B^{0}\right\|\right)\|x\|+\kappa b\|z\|=k_{11}\|x\|+k_{12}\|z\|,
\end{align*}
$$

New, if $(u, v) \in B_{r} \times B_{r}^{*}$, and $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f)$ for $[A, B] ;(u, v) \mapsto\left(e^{0}, f^{0}\right)$ for $\left[A^{0}, B^{0}\right]$, by (3) we have, $e-e^{\prime}=M_{v}^{-1} u-M_{z}^{0-1} u$ and
$f-f^{\prime}=A M_{v}^{-1} u-A^{0} M_{z}^{0-1} u$, to get (11) just replace $x$ by $u$ and $z$ by $v$ in (13). Finally, to have (12) and complete the demonstration of (b), it suffices to notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f-f^{\prime}\right\| & =\left\|A M_{v}^{-1} u-A^{0} M_{v}^{-1} u+A^{0} M_{v}^{-1} u-A^{0} M_{z}^{0-1} u\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|A M_{v}^{-1} u-A^{0} M_{v}^{-1} u\right\|+\left\|A^{0} M_{v}^{-1} u-A^{0} M_{z}^{0-1} u\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\left(A-A^{0}\right) M_{v}^{-1} u\right\|+\left\|A^{0}\right\|\left\|M_{v}^{-1} u-M_{z}^{0-1} u\right\| \\
& \leq a\left\|M_{v}^{-1} u\right\|+\left\|A^{0}\right\|\left\|M_{v}^{-1} u-M_{z}^{0-1} u\right\| \leq a v\|u\|+\left\|A^{0}\right\|\left(k_{11}\|u\|+k_{12}\|v\|\right) \\
& =\left(a v+\left\|A^{0}\right\| k_{11}\right)\|u\|+\left\|A^{0}\right\| k_{12}\|v\|=k_{21}\|u\|+k_{22}\|v\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimates (11) and (12) in theorem 3.1, can be improved if one of the operators of $F S[A, B]$ is linear. Starting with

Theorem 3.2. Assume that:

1) $B \in \mathcal{M}_{\text {* }}$ with $\mu_{B}>0$ be linear and $A \in \operatorname{Lip}$ with $\mu_{A} \leq 0$, such that there exist a linear $A^{0}: E \rightarrow E^{*}, a>0$ verifying $\mu_{A^{0}} \leq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(A-A^{0}\right) x\right\| \leq a\|x\|, \forall x \in B_{\omega(1+v\|B\|) r}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega=\|B\|\left(\mu_{B}+\mu_{A}\|B\|^{2}\right)^{-1}$.
2) $\mu_{B}+\left(\mu_{A}-a\right)\|B\|^{2}>0$.

Then
a) $[A, B]$ and $\left[A^{0}, B\right]$ are normal and Lipschitz continuous in both inputs.
b) if $(u, v) \in B_{r} \times B_{r}^{*}$, and $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f)$ for $[A, B] ;(u, v) \mapsto\left(e^{0}, f^{0}\right)$ for $\left[A^{0}, B\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e-e^{0}\right\| \leq \lambda\|u\|+\lambda\|B\|\|v\| \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-f^{0}\right\| \leq\left(a \omega+\left\|A^{0}\right\| \lambda\right)(\|u\|+\|B\|\|v\|) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=a\|B\|^{3}\left(\mu_{B}+\mu_{A}\|B\|^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\mu_{B}+\mu_{A^{0}}\|B\|^{2}\right)^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $N=I+B A, \quad N^{0}=I+B A^{0}$, an in the proof of theorem 3.1 (a) we have $A^{0}=0$, therefore $N^{0}=0$. Also, $A^{0}$ is bounded, $\left\|A^{0}\right\| \leq a+\|A\|^{*}$, $\mu_{A}-a \leq \mu_{A^{0}} \leq 0$, then $A^{0} \in \mathcal{M}$, since by (2) $\mu_{B}+\mu_{A}\|B\|^{2}>a\|B\|^{2} \geq 0$ we have see lemma 3.3, $N$ is invertible, $N^{-1} \in$ Lip, and $\left\|N^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq \omega$. Since $B$ is linear, then by the corollary 2.2, $[A, B]$ is normal. By using (4), and because $A \in L i p, B \in L i p_{*}$ and $N^{-1} \in \operatorname{Lip}$, then $[A, B]$ is Lipschitz continuous in both inputs. On the other hand, by (2), $\mu_{B}+\mu_{A^{0}}\|B\|^{2}>\mu_{B}+\left(\mu_{A}-a\right)\|B\|^{2}>0$, so lemma 3.3 implies that $N^{0}$ is invertible, $N^{0-1} \in \operatorname{Lip}$, and $\left\|N^{0-1}\right\| \leq\|B\|\left(\mu_{B}+\mu_{A^{0}}\|B\|^{2}\right)^{-1}=k$. Always by the corollary $2.2,\left[A^{0}, B\right]$ is normal and Lipschitz continuous in both inputs. To demonstrate (b), let $x \in B_{(1+\|B\| \mid) r}$ then $\left\|N^{-1} x\right\| \leq\|N\|^{*}\|x\| \leq \omega\|x\| \leq \omega(1+\|B\|) r$, hence $N^{-1} x \in B_{\omega(1+\|B\|) r}$, by using (14) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|N^{-1} x-N^{0-1} x\right\| & =\left\|N^{0-1}\left(N^{0}-N\right) N^{-1} x\right\| \\
& =\left\|N^{0-1} B\left(A^{0}-A\right) N^{-1} x\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|N^{0-1}\right\|\|B\|\left\|\left(A^{0}-A\right) N^{-1} x\right\|  \tag{17}\\
& \leq k\|B\| a\left\|N^{-1} x\right\| \\
& \leq a k\|B\| \omega\|x\|=\lambda\|x\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, if $(u, v) \in B_{r} \times B_{r}^{*}$, and $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f)$ for $[A, B] ;(u, v) \mapsto\left(e^{0}, f^{0}\right)$ for $\left[A^{0}, B\right]$, we have $\|u-B v\| \leq\|u\|+\|B\|\|v\| \leq(1+\|B\|) r$, then $s=u-B v \in B_{(1+\| \| \|) r}$ so by (4), in corollary 2.2 and (17) we get

$$
\left\|e-e^{0}\right\|=\left\|\left(N^{-1}-N^{0-1}\right) s\right\| \leq \lambda\|s\| \leq \lambda\|u\|+\lambda\|B\|\|v\|
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f-f^{0}\right\| & =\left\|A N^{-1} s-A^{0} N^{0-1} s\right\| \\
& =\left\|A N^{-1} s-A^{0} N^{-1} s+A^{0} N^{-1} s-A^{0} N^{0-1} s\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\left(A-A^{0}\right) N^{-1} s\right\|+\left\|A^{0}\right\|\left\|\left(N^{-1}-N^{0-1}\right) s\right\| \\
& \leq a \omega\|u-B v\|+\left\|A^{0}\right\|(\lambda\|u\|+\lambda\|B\|\|v\|) \\
& \leq\left(a \omega+\lambda\left\|A^{0}\right\|\right)\|u\|+\left(a \omega+\lambda\left\|A^{0}\right\|\right)\|B\|\|v\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last linearization result in this work is to assume that the operator $A$ in the $F S[A, B]$ is linear.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that

1) Let $A \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\mu_{A}>0$ be linear and $B \in \operatorname{Lip}$ with $\mu_{B} \leq 0$, such that there exist a linear $B^{0}: E^{*} \rightarrow E, \quad b>0$ verifying $\mu_{B^{0}} \leq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(B-B^{0}\right) f\right\| \leq b\|f\|, \forall f \in B_{\rho\|A\| \|\left(1+\mu_{A}^{-1}\right) r}^{*} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho=\|A\|\left(\mu_{A}+\mu_{B}\|A\|^{2}\right)^{-1}$.
2) $\mu_{A}+\left(\mu_{B}-b\right)\|A\|^{2}>0$.

Then
a) $[A, B]$ and $\left[A, B^{0}\right]$ are normal and Lipschitz continuous in both inputs. b) if $(u, v) \in B_{r} \times B_{r}^{*}$, and $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f)$ for $[A, B] ;(u, v) \mapsto\left(e^{0}, f^{0}\right)$ for $\left[A, B^{0}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e-e^{0}\right\| \leq \gamma\|u\|+\gamma \mu_{A}^{-1}\|v\| \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-f^{0}\right\| \leq \gamma\|A\|\|u\|+\gamma\|A\| \mu_{A}^{-1}\|v\| \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=b\|A\|^{3}\left(\mu_{A}+\mu_{B}\|A\|^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\mu_{A}+\mu_{B^{0}}\|A\|^{2}\right)^{-1}$.
Proof. By (18), we have $B^{0}=0,\left\|B^{0}\right\| \leq b+\|B\|^{*}$ furthermore $B$ is bounded, and $\mu_{B}-b \leq \mu_{B^{0}} \leq 0$. by lemma 3.1, $A$ is bounded, then it is invertible, $A^{-1} \in L_{i p}, \quad \mu_{A^{-1}} \geq 0 \quad$ and $\quad\left\|A^{-1}\right\| \leq \mu_{A}^{-1}$. The operators $N=I+B A$, $N^{0}=I+B A^{0}$, they are such that: $\mu_{A}+\mu_{B}\|A\|^{2} \geq \mu_{A}+\left(\mu_{b}-b\right)\|A\|^{2}>0 \quad$ (see (2)) then, lemma 3.4 with (7) imply that $N$ is invertible, $N^{-1} \in \operatorname{Lip}$ and $\left\|N^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq\|A\|\left(\mu_{A}+\mu_{B}\|A\|^{2}\right)^{-1}=\rho$. Likewise, $\mu_{A}+\mu_{B^{0}}\|A\|^{2} \geq \mu_{A}+\left(\mu_{b}-b\right)\|A\|^{2}>0$ (see (2)) then, lemma 3.4 with (7) imply that $\quad N^{0}$ is invertible, $N^{0-1} \in \operatorname{Lip}$ and $\left\|N^{0-1}\right\| \leq\|A\|\left(\mu_{A}+\mu_{B^{0}}\|A\|^{2}\right)^{-1}=\eta$. Now, let's for $(x, z) \in E \times E^{*} \quad, \quad M_{z} x=x+B(z+A x)$ and $M_{z}^{0} x=x+B^{0}(z+A x)$. Since $N^{-1}, N^{0-1}$ exist, $A$ is linear and it is invertible, lemma 3.5 implies that, $M_{z}^{-1}$ and $M_{z}^{0-1}$ exist. Moreover by (8), we have $M_{z}^{-1} x=N^{-1}\left(x+A^{-1} z\right)-A^{-1} z$ and $M_{z}^{0-1} x=N^{0-1}\left(x+A^{-1} z\right)-A^{-1} z$. It's easy to see that, $\quad M_{z}^{-1}, M_{z}^{0-1} \in L i p ;\left\|M_{z}^{-1}\right\|^{*}=\left\|N^{-1}\right\|^{*} \leq \rho$ and $\left\|M_{z}^{0-1}\right\|^{*}=\left\|N^{0-1}\right\| \leq \eta$. Returning to corollary 2.1, $[A, B]$ and $\left[A, B^{0}\right]$ are normal. Now, assume that for $[A, B],(u, v) \mapsto(e, f)$ and $\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right) \mapsto\left(e^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)$ it follows, by (3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e-e^{\prime}\right\| & =\left\|M_{v}^{-1} u-M_{v^{\prime}}^{-1} u^{\prime}\right\| \\
& =\left\|N^{-1}\left(u+A^{-1} v\right)-A^{-1} v-N^{-1}\left(u^{\prime}+A^{-1} v^{\prime}\right)+A^{-1} v^{\prime}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|N^{-1}\right\|^{*}\left(\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|A^{-1}\right\|\left\|v-v^{\prime}\right\|\right)+\left\|A^{-1}\right\|\left\|v-v^{\prime}\right\| \\
& \leq \rho\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\|+(\rho+1)\left\|A^{-1}\right\|\left\|v-v^{\prime}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f-f^{\prime}\right\| & =\left\|u-u^{\prime}+A\left(M_{v}^{-1} u-M_{v^{\prime}}^{-1} u^{\prime}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\|+\|A\|\left\|M_{v}^{-1} u-M_{v^{\prime}}^{-1} u^{\prime}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\|+\|A\|\left(\rho\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\|+(\rho+1)\left\|A^{-1}\right\|\left\|v-v^{\prime}\right\|\right) \\
& \leq(1+\rho\|A\|)\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\|+\left(\|A\|\left\|A^{-1}\right\|(\rho+1)\right)\left\|v-v^{\prime}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $[A, B]$ is Lipschitz continuous in both inputs. We prove in the same way that $\left[A, B^{0}\right]$ is Lipschitz continuous in both inputs, the proof of a) is then complete.

Now, if $(u, v) \in B_{r} \times B_{r}^{*}$, and $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f)$ for $[A, B] ;(u, v) \mapsto\left(e^{0}, f^{0}\right)$ for $\left[A, B^{0}\right]$. Let $y=u+A^{-1} v$ then $\|y\| \leq\|u\|+\mu_{A}^{-1}\|v\|$ and

$$
\left\|A N^{-1} y\right\| \leq\|A\|\left\|N^{-1}\right\|^{*}\left\|u+A^{-1} v\right\| \leq\|A\| \rho\left(1+\left\|A^{-1}\right\|\right) r \leq\|A\| \rho\left(1+\mu_{A}^{-1}\right) r
$$

then $A N^{-1} y \in B_{\rho\|A\| \mid\left(1+\mu_{A}^{-1}\right) r}^{*}$. Using, (3) and (18) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e-e^{0}\right\| & =\left\|M_{v}^{-1} u-M_{v}^{0-1} u\right\|=\left\|\left(N^{-1}-N^{0-1}\right) y\right\| \\
& =\left\|N^{0-1}\left(N^{0}-N\right) N^{-1} y\right\|=\left\|N^{0-1}\left(B^{0}-B\right) A N^{-1} y\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|N^{0-1}\right\|\left\|\left(B^{0}-B\right) A N^{-1} y\right\| \leq \eta b\left\|A N^{-1} y\right\| \leq \eta b \rho\|y\| \\
& \leq \eta b \rho\left(\|u\|+\mu_{A}^{-1}\|v\|\right)=\gamma\|u\|+\gamma \mu_{A}^{-1}\|v\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore (19) is checked. Finally,

$$
\left\|f-f^{0}\right\|=A M_{v}^{-1} u-A^{0} M_{v}^{0-1} u \leq\|A\|\left\|M_{v}^{-1} u-M_{v}^{0-1} u\right\| \leq \gamma\|A\|\|u\|+\gamma\|A\| \mu_{A}^{-1}\|v\|,
$$

hence (20) is established and the proof is finished.
Example Reference [4]. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, E=L_{2}^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$be, where $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is the Lebesgue space, equipped with the natural inner product, then $E$ is the Hilbert space. Let $D$ be a real $n \times n$ matrix, denote by $S(0,1)=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ;\|\xi\|=1\right\}$ and $d=\inf _{\xi \in S(0,1)} \xi^{\mathrm{T}} D \xi$, where $\xi^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the transposed of $\xi$. Let $K(t)=\left(k_{i, j}(t)\right)$ be $n \times n$ matrix, with $k_{i, j}(t) \in L_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cap L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and let $\hat{K}(i w)$ be the Fourier transform of $K(t)$, (defined as 0 if $t<0$ ). Denote $k=\frac{1}{2} \inf _{w \in \mathbb{R}} \inf _{\xi \in S(0,1)} \xi^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\hat{K}(i w)+\overline{\hat{K}(i w)^{\mathrm{T}}}\right) \xi$ and $\kappa=\sup _{w \in \mathbb{R}} \Lambda(\hat{K}(i w))$, where $\Lambda(M)$ denotes the square root of the largest eigenvalue of the matrix $\bar{M}^{\mathrm{T}} M$, where $\bar{M}$ is the complex conjugate martix of $M$ (note that $-\infty<k \leq 0$ and $0 \leq \kappa<+\infty)$. Furthermore, let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be defined by: it exists $\alpha>0$ such that $\left\|\psi(\xi)-\psi\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq \alpha\left\|\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right\|$, for every $\left.\xi, \xi^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ; \psi(0)=0 \quad{ }^{*}\right)$.

And $\inf _{\substack{\xi, \xi^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \\ \xi^{\prime} \neq \xi^{\prime}}}\left(\psi(\xi)-\psi\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right) \frac{1}{\left\|\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}=a_{2} \leq 0$. Now define operators $A$ and $B$ as follows, for any $x \in E,(A x)(t)=D x(t)+\int_{0}^{t} K(t-\tau) x(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau ; t \geq 0$, and $(B x)(t)=\psi(x(t))$. Moreover, let $a_{0}=\inf _{\xi \in S(0,1)} \xi^{\mathrm{T}} F \xi \leq 0$, where $F$ is the constant $n \times n$ matrix, suppose that, it exists $\beta>0$ such that $|\psi(\xi)-F \xi| \leq \beta\|\zeta\|, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \quad\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ and define $B^{0}: E \rightarrow E$ by: $\left(B^{0} x\right)(t)=F x(t)$ when $t \geq 0$. Clearly, $A$ is linear and bounded, using Parseval's equality and the number $k$, we have $A \in \mathcal{M}, \mu_{A} \geq d+k>0$. Also, it is known [12] that $\|A\| \leq\|D\|+\kappa$. On the other hand $\left(^{*}\right)$ shows that $B$ is continuous, it is also easy to see that, $B \in \mathcal{M}, \mu_{B}=a_{2} \leq 0$. Thus, if $d+k+\left(a_{2}-\beta\right)(\|D\|+\kappa)^{2}>0$, we have, $\mu_{A}+\left(\mu_{B}-\beta\right)\|A\|^{2}>0$. In the other hand, $\mu_{B^{0}}=a_{0} \leq 0,\left\|\left(B-B^{0}\right) x\right\| \leq b\|x\| \quad \forall x \in E$ by virtue of (**), then by theorem 3.3, $[A, B]$ and $\left[A, B^{0}\right]$ are normal and Lipschitz continuous in both inputs, with

$$
\left\|e-e^{0}\right\| \leq \delta\|u\|+\delta(d+k)^{-1}\|v\|
$$

and

$$
\left\|f-f^{0}\right\| \leq \delta(\|D\|+\kappa)\|u\|+\delta(\|D\|+\kappa)(d+k)^{-1}\|v\|
$$

whenever $(u, v) \in B_{r} \times B_{r}^{*}$, and $(u, v) \mapsto(e, f)$ for $[A, B] ;(u, v) \mapsto\left(e^{0}, f^{0}\right)$ for $\left[A, B^{0}\right]$, with $\delta=\alpha(\|D\|+\kappa)^{3}\left[d+k+\mu_{B}(\|D\|+\kappa)^{2}\right]^{-1}\left[d+k+a_{0}(\|D\|+\kappa)^{2}\right]^{-1}$.

## 4. Conclusion

The aim of this work is to extend the results obtained in [4] [5], concerning the normality, Lipschitz continuity, of a non linear feedback system described by the monotone maximal operators, defined on real reflexive Banach spaces. In addition, the results of approximation of the solutions of the feedback system assumed to be nonlinear, by solutions of another linear are established. These types of systems find their uses in several fields such as: control theory, network theory, solving the Hammerstein equation... etc. The techniques used are based, on the surjectivity theorem, of the monotone maximal operator and hemicontinuous, defined on real reflexive Banach spaces [14].
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