Scientific
Research
Publishing

Gedanken Experiment for Delineating the Regime for the Start of Quantum Effects, and Their End, Using Turok's Perfect Bounce Criteria and Radii of a Bounce Maintaining Quantum Effects, as Delineated by Haggard and Rovelli

Andrew Walcott Beckwith

Physics Department, College of Physics, Chongqing University, Chongqing, China
Email: Rwill9955b@gmail.com

Abstract

Haggard and Rovelli delineated an outer radius as to the range of quantum effects, which extends past the Schwartzshield radius. This is defined as 7/3 times the mass of the initial cosmological system. We also have a range of perturbative effects as delineated by Turok's article which gives a range of values of $\tilde{k}_0 \cdot \eta$ for which second order perturbative terms in cosmological evolution may play a role, where we have second order perturbation terms for which $\varepsilon < \tilde{k}_0 \cdot \eta < 1/\varepsilon$. Right afterwards, there are no perturbative behavior and no perturbation if $\tilde{k}_0 \cdot |\eta| \sim 1/\varepsilon$. This is the 2nd order term for perturbing term for GW (Gravitational wave) as denoted by $h^{T(n)}$, and near the "zero point" of cosmological expansion, and from there we determine the size of the quantum effects, *i.e.* when they initiate, the relevant initial entropy, so as to determine the radii of initial cosmology, so quantum gravity may initiate its activity, in our toy universe. The criteria of Turok is used to obtain the relevant mass, *m*, used in the initial radii so that it is 7/3 times the mass of the initial cosmological system. We use the "Criteria of Turok" to delineate the start of quantum gravity effects. Mass *m* is done via appealing to graviton mass, and that times initial entropy, which is commented upon in Equation (9).

Keywords

Quantum Effects, Haggard and Rovelli

1. Introduction

We start with what Turok [1] wrote up as to the initial starting point of analysis, as to where he described the cosmological evolution to describe a perfect bounce, "in which the universe passes smoothly through the initial singularity". A perfect bounce is a way to describe an interference free, simple matter-energy transition from a prior universe to the present universe. What we analyze for our purposes, is the 2nd order perturbative term of $hT(n)$. We find that for cosmological perturbations, the cosmological perturbations have a 2nd order contribution. We set this 2nd order contribution as follows:

$$\psi^{(2)}(\eta, x) \sim \frac{A^2}{12} \cdot \left[\exp\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} i\tilde{k}_0 \eta \right) \right] \cdot \left(1 + 2\cos(2k_0 x) \right) + \cdots \tag{1}$$

which is a 2nd order perturbative term for the equation for the evolution of h, if $J^n(\eta, x)$ is nonlinear

$$\frac{\partial^2 h^{T(n)}}{\partial \eta^2} + \frac{2}{\eta} \cdot \frac{\partial h^{T(n)}}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\partial^2 h^{T(n)}}{\partial x^2} = -J^n(\eta, x) \tag{2}$$

Then setting a conformal time as approaching early universe conditions requires that

$$\eta \xrightarrow[a \to a_{\text{INITIAL}} \sim 10^{-55}]{} -10^{\xi}; \xi \approx \text{very big} \neq \infty \tag{3}$$

Our supposition is, then that we have the following for well-behaved GW (gravitational waves) and early cosmological perturbations being viable, in the face of cosmological evolution with modifying the formalism of Turok [1] to obtain

$$\tilde{k}_0 |\eta| \sim \tilde{k}_0 \times 10^{\xi} < 1/\varepsilon \Leftrightarrow \tilde{k}_0 < 10^{-\xi}/\varepsilon \tag{4}$$

In practical terms near the initial expansion point it would mean that near the beginning of cosmological expansion we would have an initial energy density of the order of

$$\rho(\text{initial energy density}) \sim \hbar \cdot 10^{-\xi}/l_P^3 \varepsilon \tag{5}$$

If so then, if we assume that gravitons have an initial (at about the start of inflation) rest mass of about 10^{-62} grams, and that Planck mass is about 10^{-5} grams, if gravitons were the only "information" passed into a new universe, making use of the following expression for the initiation of quantum effects, *i.e.* by Haggard and Rovelli [2] of

$$r \sim \frac{7}{3} m \tag{6}$$

We should reflect upon what Equation (6) is saying. It is stating that quantum effects, in the early universe are proportional to mass, and below, we are bringing up what the particulars of the quantum effect inducing mass should be.

Then, we would have, the initiation of quantum effects as of about [2]

$$r_{\text{entropy gravitons.contribution}} \sim \frac{7}{3} \times S(\text{entropy count}) \times 10^{-57} \times l_P \tag{7}$$

Then by making use of Equation (5) we could, by dimensional analysis, start the comparison by setting values from Equation (4) and Equation (7) to obtain.

$$10^{-\xi}/\varepsilon \sim \frac{7}{3} \times S(\text{entropy count}) \times 10^{-57} \tag{8}$$

So that to first order, a graviton count, for a radii of about the order of l_P (Planck length at the start of inflation is, approximately 1.6 times 10^{-33} centimeters) would be if we take the entropy as dimensionally scaled by the expression given in Equation (9).

$$S\left(\text{entropy count}\right) \sim 10^{57} \times \frac{3}{7} \times 10^{-\xi} \Big/ \varepsilon \tag{9}$$

Depending upon what we use from the values given in Equation (5) above, as well as the values given by $\varepsilon < k_0 \cdot \eta < 1/\varepsilon$, we then obtain Equation (9). The information as given in Equation (9) is closely in correspondence with Equation (7) above. We hope then that Equation (1) vanishes, *i.e.* the wave function, so then this vanishing of Equation (1) is the subsequent topic of the next topic we raise in our manuscript. This will then lead to a condition for which Equation (1) vanishes, which is the next chapter to consider.

2. Considerations of What Could Lead to Equation (1), *I.E.* 2nd Order Perturbation to Cosmological Evolution, Vanishing

The simple short course as to the radius achieving its starting point to being quantum mechanical in its effects, from the big bang initiating from a quantum bounce is to have the following threshold for quantum effects to be in action, to the vanishing of Equation (1). Here the quantum effects start with a value of

$$r\left(\text{quantum effects}\right) \sim \left(10^{-\xi}/\varepsilon\right) \times l_P \tag{10}$$

Note that the term, *l* with subcript p is for the Planck Length. Equation (10) is indicating that the quantum effects start at the beginning of cosmological expansion.

If Equation (1) is zero due to $x = r\left(\text{quantum effects}\right)$ and we want Equation (1) to vanish, it leads to the following for the vanishing of the 2nd order perturbative effect, with λ the critical value of wavelength for which Equation (1) vanishes, *i.e.* hence, borrowing from the spin offs of [1]

$$\cos\left(k_0 \cdot r\left(\text{quantum effects}\right)\right) = -1/2$$
$$\Leftrightarrow k_0 \cdot r\left(\text{quantum effects}\right) = \frac{2\pi}{3}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow k_0 = \left(\frac{2\pi}{3} \times \frac{\varepsilon}{l_P} \times 10^{\xi}\right) \sim \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \tag{11}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \lambda \sim \frac{3 \cdot l_P}{\varepsilon} \times 10^{-\xi}$$

It means that there is the following interval may be our best Quantum Mechanical perturbative indicator in terms of Equation (1), that is

$$\frac{l_P}{\varepsilon} \times 10^{-\xi} < x < \frac{3 \cdot l_P}{\varepsilon} \times 10^{-\xi} \tag{12}$$

3. Comparing the Variance in Position Given in Equation (12) with Modified HUP

Note this very small value of spatial variable x comes from a scale factor, if we use a very large red shift [3] [4] $z \sim 10^{55} \Leftrightarrow a_{\text{scale factor}} \sim 10^{-55}$, *i.e.* 55 orders of magnitude smaller than what would normally consider, but here note that the scale factor is not zero, so we do not have a space-time singularity [3] [4]. The scale factor is 1 in the present era, so this tiny scale factor as given by $z \sim 10^{55} \Leftrightarrow a_{\text{scale factor}} \sim 10^{-55}$, is at the onset of cosmological expansion.

Then

$$\frac{l_P}{\varepsilon} \times 10^{-\xi} < \left(x = \Delta l\right) < \frac{3 \cdot l_P}{\varepsilon} \times 10^{-\xi}$$
$$\Delta l \cdot \Delta p \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} \tag{13}$$

We will next discuss the implications of this point in the next section, of a nonzero smallest scale factor. We will be using the approximation given by Unruh [5], of a generalization we will write as

$$\left(\Delta l\right)_{ij} = \frac{\delta g_{ij}}{g_{ij}} \cdot \frac{l}{2}$$

$$\left(\Delta p\right)_{ij} = \Delta T_{ij} \cdot \delta t \cdot \Delta A \tag{14}$$

If we use the following, from the Roberson-Walker metric [3] [4].

$$g_{tt} = 1$$

$$g_{rr} = \frac{-a^2(t)}{1 - k \cdot r^2}$$

$$g_{\theta\theta} = -a^2(t) \cdot r^2$$

$$g_{\phi\phi} = -a^2(t) \cdot \sin^2 \theta \cdot d\phi^2 \tag{15}$$

Following Unruh [5], write then, an uncertainty of metric tensor as, with the following inputs

$$a^2(t) \sim 10^{-110}, r \equiv l_P \sim 10^{-35} \text{ meters} \tag{16}$$

Then, the surviving version of Equation (7) and Equation (8) is, then, if $\Delta T_{tt} \sim \Delta\rho$ [3] [4]

$$V^{(4)} = \delta t \cdot \Delta A \cdot r$$

$$\delta g_{tt} \cdot \Delta T_{tt} \cdot \delta t \cdot \Delta A \cdot \frac{r}{2} \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \delta g_{tt} \cdot \Delta T_{tt} \geq \frac{\hbar}{V^{(4)}} \tag{17}$$

4. Conclusion. Equation (17) May, with Refinements of R = X, in the Four Dimensional Volume Delineate the New HUP, in Our Problem

If from Giovannini [6] we can write

$$\delta g_{tt} \sim a^2(t) \cdot \phi \ll 1 \tag{18}$$

Refining the inputs from Equation (18) means more study as to the possibility of a nonzero minimum scale factor, as well as the nature of an inflation like scalar field of ϕ as specified by Giovannini [6]. Then we will assert that if **r = x then** if we use

$$\frac{l_P}{\varepsilon} \times 10^{-\xi} < (x = r) < \frac{3 \cdot l_P}{\varepsilon} \times 10^{-\xi}$$

and then the volume $V^{(4)} = \delta t \cdot \Delta A \cdot r$, as used in [3] [5]

$$\left(\delta t \cdot \Delta A\right) \times \frac{l_P}{\varepsilon} \times 10^{-\xi} < V^{(4)} < \left(\delta t \cdot \Delta A\right) \times \frac{3 \cdot l_P}{\varepsilon} \times 10^{-\xi} \tag{19}$$

This Equation (19) will be put into $\delta g_{tt} \cdot \Delta T_{tt} \geq \frac{\hbar}{V^{(4)}}$, if $\Delta T_{tt} \sim \Delta\rho$, it means that

$$\delta g_{tt} \cdot \Delta T_{tt} \geq \frac{\hbar}{V^{(4)}}$$

that this is defined for all x as to where and when

$$\frac{l_P}{\varepsilon} \times 10^{-\xi} < (x = r) < \frac{3 \cdot l_P}{\varepsilon} \times 10^{-\xi}$$

holds, with the lower value for x signifying the spatial range of x for which quantum mechanics is valid, with

three times that value connected as to when the perturbative methods break down. Thereby influencing the range of values for $V^{(4)} = \delta t \cdot \Delta A \cdot r$ in $\delta g_{tt} \cdot \Delta T_{tt} \geq \dfrac{\hbar}{V^{(4)}}$. Furthermore we have, if there is an eventual weak field approximation according to Katti [7] gravitational spin off according to $g_{ij} = \eta_{ij} + h_{ij}$, with a gravitational wave signal according to, if $V^{(3)} = \Delta A \cdot r$ [3] [4].

$$h_{kl}\left(x^i\right) = -\frac{4G}{c^2} \cdot \int_{V^{(3)}} \frac{T_{kl}^*\left(x'^i\right)}{R} \cdot \mathrm{d}^3 x' \equiv -\frac{4G}{c^2} \cdot \int_{V^{(3)}} \frac{T_{kl}^*\left(x'^i\right)}{\left[\eta_{ij} \cdot \left(x^i - x'^i\right) \cdot \left(x^j - x'^j\right)\right]^{1/2}} \cdot \mathrm{d}^3 x' \tag{20}$$

If the contribution from Pre Planckian to Planckian is due to the stress energy tensor as given in $\Delta T_{tt} \sim \Delta\rho$ form [3] [4], it means that the relevant relic GW signal will be of the form, with D^{ij} a small quadrupole tensor.

$$h_{00}\left(= h_{44}, Katti\right) \approx 2G \cdot \left(\frac{m}{r} + \frac{3}{2r^5} \cdot D^{ij} \cdot x_i \cdot x_j\right) \tag{21}$$

The m here is the mass of a graviton, times the relic entropy. Here in picking relic entropy we are approximating entropy as a strict numerical counting of "particles". The entropy is given by Equation (9) with an estimated magnitude of about 10^{20} to at most a peak value of 10^{36}. This Equation (21), plus its consequences will be examined later on, while we assume, r is the radial distance variable.

We wish to investigate generalizing the initial conditions as given in reference [8]. Changing of these initial conditions may change the fluctuations we cite in the form of Equation (21). Alteration of the initial conditions may lead to further refinement of the Turok criteria we have worked with in the formulation of our paper's research assumptions. Further care must be taken to keep whatever initial conditions and our choice of inputs into Equation (21) as being in fidelity with [9] experimental considerations of relativity and cosmology. While also reviewing [10]. In addition, it is important to note that fine tuning of Equation (21) has to take into consideration inputs from [11] as to the epoch making discovery of gravitational waves, by LIGO, for experimental veracity, and that also, the input from Equation (21), if suitably dealt with would be vital for the purpose of determination of if scalar-tensor gravity, or General Relativity is the definitive theory of gravity. Dr. Corda's work in [12] will be vital in terms of determination of the significance of both Equation (20) and Equation (21) and a thorough understanding of Equation (21) and Equation (20) may enable fuller comprehension of [4] to foundational cosmology and particle astrophysics.

Acknowledgements

References

[1] Turok, N. (2015) A Perfect Bounce. http://www.researchgate.net/publication/282580937_A_Perfect_Bounce

[2] Haggard, H.M. and Rovelli, C. (2015) Black Hole Fireworks: Quantum Gravity Effects outside the Horizon Spark Black to White Hole Tunneling. *Physical Review D*, **92**, 104020. http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.0989

[3] Beckwith, A. (2015) Geddankerexperiment for Initial Temperature, Particle Count and Entropy Affected by Initial D.O.F and Fluctuations of Metric Tensor and the Riemannian Penrose Inequality, with Application. http://vixra.org/abs/1509.0273

[4] Beckwith, A. (2015) Geddankenexperiment for Refining the Unruh Metric Tensor Uncertainty Principle Via Schwartzshield Geometry and Planckian Space-Time with Initial Non Zero Entropy and Applying the Riemannian-Penrose Inequality and the Initial Kinetic Energy. http://vixra.org/abs/1509.0173

[5] Unruh, W.G. (1986) Why Study Quantum Theory? *Canadian Journal of Physics*, **64**, 128-130. http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/p86-019
Unruh, W.G. (1986) Erratum: Why Study Quantum Gravity? *Canadian Journal of Physics*, **64**, 128

[6] Giovannini, M. (2008) A Primer on the Physics of the Cosmic Microwave Background. World Press Scientific, Hackensack. http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/6730

[7] Katti, A. (2013) The Mathematical Theory of Special and General Relativity. CreateSpace Independent Publishing, North Charleston.

[8]   Galloway, G., Miao, P. and Schoen, R. (2015) Initial Data and the Einstein Constraints. In: Ashtekar, A., (Editor in Chief), Berger, B., Isenberg, J. and MacCallum, M., Eds., *General Relativity and Gravitation*, *A Centennial Perspective*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 20, 412-448.

[9]   Will, C. (2014) The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment. http://relativity.livingreviews.org/Articles/lrr-2014-4/download/lrr-2014-4Color.pdf

[10]   Downes, T.G. and Milburn, G.J. (2011) Optimal Quantum Estimation for Gravitation. gr-qc arXiv:1108.5220

[11]   Abbott, B.P., *et al.*, LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration (2016) Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger. *Physical Review Letters*, **116**, Article ID: 061102. https://physics.aps.org/featured-article-pdf/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102

[12]   Corda, C. (2009) Interferometric Detection of Gravitational Waves: The Definitive Test for General Relativity. *International Journal of Modern Physics D*, **18**, 2275-2282. http://arxiv.org/abs/0905.2502 http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218271809015904