

Microalgae Tolerance to High Concentrations of Carbon Dioxide: A Review

Fadhil M. Salih

ClearValue Inc. Sugar Land, Texas, U.S.A.
Email: fadhil@clearvalue.com

Received April 2nd, 2011; revised May 6th, 2011; accepted June 3rd, 2011.

ABSTRACT

The increasing concentration of carbon dioxide (CO₂) in the atmosphere is considered to be one of the main causes of the global warming problem. Moreover, there is an international movement to reduce the emission of CO₂ by imposing different measures such as carbon tax. Biological CO₂ fixation has been extensively investigated as part of efforts to solve the global warming problem. Microalgae are fast growing systems that can consume high quantities of CO₂ to produce different types of biomass. The efficiency of microalgae is highly related to the concentration of CO₂ in the growth atmosphere and the higher the concentration of CO₂ the better is the growth and hence productivity. The present review aimed at shedding some light upon microalgal capability to sustain their viability and propagate under high CO₂ concentration.

Keywords: Carbon Dioxide, Microalgae, Tolerance, Sequestration

1. Introduction and Methods

Global warming due to increased carbon dioxide concentration in the atmosphere is receiving a great deal of attention. Atmospheric increases of carbon dioxide are positively correlated with the amount of fossil fuels being burned [1]. In an effort to retard this increase and, therefore, the greenhouse effect, most industrialized countries have joined in a policy to hold carbon dioxide emissions [2]. However, it is not clear if technology exists to achieve this goal.

Generally, photosynthetic system provides critical oxygen renewal along with the recycling of carbon into potentially beneficial biomass [3,4]. The efficiency of such system depends on the type of the organism used [5,6]. Microalgae are the most promising production facilities. They are capable of fixing several-fold more CO₂ per unit area than trees or crops. Such CO₂ fixation by photoautotrophic algal cultures has the potential to diminish the release of CO₂ into the atmosphere, helping alleviate the trend toward global warming. To realize workable biological CO₂ fixation systems, selection of optimal microalgae species is vital. The selection of optimal microalgae species depends on specific strategies employed for CO₂ sequestration.

Viewing microalgae farms or bioreactors as means to reduce the effects of a greenhouse gas (CO₂) changes the

view of the economics of the process. Instead of requiring that microalgae-derived fuel be cost competitive with fossil fuels, the process economics must be compared with those of other technologies proposed to deal with the problem of CO₂ pollution. However, development of alternative, environmentally safer energy production technologies will benefit society whether or not global climate change actually occurs [7]. Microalgal biomass production has great potential to contribute to world energy supplies, and to control CO₂ emissions as the demand for energy increases. This technology makes productive use of arid and semi-arid lands and highly saline water, resources that are not suitable for agriculture and other biomass technologies [8].

Although CO₂ is still released when fuels derived from algal biomass are burned, integration of microalgal farms for flue gas capture approximately doubles the amount of energy produced per unit of CO₂ released. Materials derived from microalgal biomass also can be used for other long-term uses, serving to sequester CO₂. Flue gas has the potential to provide sufficient quantities of CO₂ for such large-scale microalgae farms [9].

2. CO₂ Tolerant Microalgae

Electrical power plants are responsible for over one-third of the U.S. emissions or about 2.2×10^9 tons CO₂ per

year [10]. Direct utilization of power plant flue gas has been considered for CO₂ sequestration systems [11]. The advantage of utilizing flue gas directly is the reduction of the cost of separating CO₂ gas. Since power plant flue gas contains a higher concentration of CO₂ [12,13] identifying high CO₂ tolerant species is important. Although CO₂ concentrations vary depending on the flue gas source [10], 15% - 20% v/v is typically assumed. Several species have been tested under CO₂ concentrations of over 15%, as given in **Table 1** [5].

One of the high CO₂ tolerant species is *Euglena gracilis*. Growth of this species was enhanced under 5% - 45% concentration of CO₂. The best growth was observed with 5% CO₂ concentration. However, the species did not grow under greater than 45% CO₂ [18]. Hirata *et al.*, [23,24] reported that *Chlorella* sp. UK001 could grow successfully under 10% CO₂ conditions. It is also reported that *Chlorella* sp. can be grown under 40% CO₂ conditions [15]. Furthermore, Maeda *et al.*, [25] found a strain of *Chlorella* sp. T-1 which could grow under 100% CO₂, although the maximum growth rate occurred under a 10% concentration, while *Scenedesmus* sp. could grow under 80% CO₂ conditions but the maximum cell mass was observed in 10% - 20% CO₂ concentrations [15]. *Cyanidium caldarium* [14] and some other species of *Cyanidium* can grow in pure CO₂ [26].

Generally, phototrophic microalgal growth requires a supply of carbon dioxide as a carbon source. CO₂ supply contributes to control the pH of the culture [27]. Chemical analysis has shown that algal biomass consists of 40% to 50% carbon, which suggests that about 1.5 to 2.0 kg of CO₂ is required to produce 1.0 kg of biomass [28]. According to previous studies, the supply of carbon to microalgal mass culture systems is one of the principal difficulties and limitations that must be solved [11,29,30]. The principal point of all considerations relating to the CO₂ budget is that, on the one hand, CO₂ must not reach

the upper concentration that produces inhibition and, on the other hand, must never fall below the minimum concentration that limits growth [31]. These maximum (inhibition) and minimum (limitation) concentrations vary from one species to another and are not yet adequately known, ranging from 2.3×10^{-2} M to 2.3×10^{-4} M [31, 32].

3. Sustainability of Photosynthetic Organisms

The previously held notion that unlike terrestrial plants, submerged plants like algae will not show any response to an increase of atmospheric carbon dioxide. This view may be biased by a neglect of the effects of the plants themselves on the water chemistry [33]. If this effect is included, productivity may double due to a doubling of the atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration. In practice productivity increase will usually be less, however, under nutrient rich conditions, doubling of atmospheric carbon dioxide may result in a productivity increase up to 40% in saltwater species and up to 50% in freshwater species [34]. These results indicate that the carbon uptake by fresh and saltwater systems may increase more than expected, and that nuisance algal blooms may be aggravated at elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations.

Moreover, plants grown in elevated atmospheric CO₂ environments typically exhibit increased rates of photosynthesis and biomass production [35]. Most of the studies that have established this fact have historically utilized CO₂ concentration increases on the order of 300 - 400 ppm, which represents an approximate doubling of the air's current CO₂ concentration; and they have been conducted on terrestrial plants [36,37].

Andersen *et al.* [38] grew specimens of *Littorella uniflora*, one of the *isoetids* (small slow-growing evergreen perennials that live submerged along the shores of numerous freshwater lakes and rely primarily on sediment-derived CO₂ for their photosynthesis) in sediment cores removed from Lake Hampen (Denmark) in 75-liter tanks. The end result of these experiments was that the ultra-CO₂-enriched water led to an approximate 30% increase in plant biomass. In a different study Anderson and Anderson [39] measured the CO₂-induced *in situ* growth response of a mixture of several species of filamentous freshwater algae (dominated by *Zygnema* species, but containing some *Mougeotia* and *Spirogyra*), as well as an isoetid community of *macrophytes* (dominated by *Littorella uniflora*, but containing some *Myriophyllum alterniflorum* and a few other species). After one full growing season (May to November), they determined that the ten-fold increase in aquatic CO₂ enhanced the biomass production of *Littorella uniflora* by approximately 78%. Simultaneously, the biomass of filamentous algae was also enhanced by

Table 1. CO₂ tolerance of various species [5].

Species	Known Maximum CO ₂	Concentration References
<i>Cyanidium caldarium</i>	100%	[14]
<i>Scenedesmus</i> sp.	80%	[15]
<i>Chlorococcum littorale</i>	60%	[16]
<i>Synechococcus elongatus</i>	60%	[17]
<i>Euglena gracilis</i>	45%	[18]
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	40%	[19]
<i>Eudorina</i> spp.	20%	[20]
<i>Dunaliella tertiolecta</i>	15%	[21]
<i>Nannochloris</i> sp.	15%	[22]
<i>Chlamydomonas</i> sp.	15%	[23]
<i>Tetraselmis</i> sp.	14%	[24]

the elevated CO₂: by 220% in early July, by 90% in mid-August, and by a whopping 3,750% in mid-November.

A red seaweed common to the Northeast Atlantic intertidal zone, *Lomentaria articulata*, was grown for three weeks in hydroponic cultures subjected to various atmospheric CO₂ and O₂ concentrations to determine the effects of these gases on growth [40]. In doing so, they found that oxygen concentrations ranging from 10 to 200% of ambient had no significant effects on daily net carbon gain or total wet biomass production rates in this particular seaweed. In contrast, CO₂ concentrations ranging from 67 to 500% of ambient had highly significant effects on these parameters. At twice the current ambient CO₂ concentration, for example, daily net carbon gain and total wet biomass production rates were 52 and 314% greater than they were under ambient CO₂ conditions. Likewise, Tisserat [41] grew water mint (*Mentha aquatica*) plants for four weeks at ambient and enriched atmospheric CO₂ conditions, finding that compared to plants exposed to air of 350 ppm CO₂, those grown in air of 3,000 ppm CO₂ produced 220% more fresh weight.

Microalgae response to varying CO₂ concentrations has been widely investigated. *Chlorella vulgaris* was cultivated under various light intensities in a gas recycling photobioreactor. The light intensity affected the algal growth and the CO₂ concentration in the exit gas. In the linear growth phase, CO₂ concentration in the exit gas ranged between 4.6% to 6.0% (v/v) when 20% (v/v) CO₂ balanced with 80% (v/v) N₂ was introduced into the photobioreactor [42].

In search of a simple method for removing CO₂ from high-CO₂-concentration stack gases, Yue and Chen [43] isolated and cultured a freshwater microalga of the genus *Chlorella* for periods of six days in vessels filled with growth media through which air of a variety of different CO₂ concentrations was continuously bubbled. Data revealed that algal growth rates some 200% greater than those observed in ambient air were common at 100,000 ppm CO₂. Thereafter, however, at higher CO₂ concentrations, algal growth rates began to slowly decline; but they continued to remain greater than the growth rate observed in ambient air. Relative to that baseline, for example, the algal growth rate at 200,000 ppm CO₂ was 170% greater, that at 300,000 ppm was 125% greater, and that at 500,000 ppm was about 40% greater. Similar results were obtained by Watanabe *et al.* [44] for another *Chlorella* alga, by Hanagata *et al.* [15] for both *Chlorella* and *Scenedesmus* species, and by Kodama *et al.* [16] for the marine microalga *Chlorococcum littorale*.

Euglena cells were cultured to determine the maximum CO₂ elimination rate under a high concentration of CO₂ with stirring of the supplied gas by bubbling. It was found that the maximum CO₂ elimination rate or gas ex-

change performance under a 10% concentration of CO₂ was 2.3 times higher than 0.03% of CO₂ concentration. The results suggest that the CO₂ concentration in the supplied gas rate limits the algal system performance [45].

The effect of increased CO₂ concentration on the growth rate of three planktonic algae (*Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *Chlorella pyrenoidosa*, and *Scenedesmus obliquus*) enhanced significantly [46]. Specific growth rates reached maximal values at 30, 100, and 60 μM CO₂ in *C. reinhardtii*, *C. pyrenoidosa*, and *S. obliquus*, respectively. Such significant enhancement of growth rate with enriched CO₂ was also confirmed at different levels of inorganic N and P. The maximal rates of net photosynthesis, photosynthetic efficiency and light-saturating point increased significantly in high-CO₂-grown cells. The authors concluded that increased CO₂ concentrations with decreased pH could affect the growth rate and photosynthetic physiology of the three algae species.

In a different study [15] *Chlorella* species showed much higher log phase growth rates, while *Scenedesmus* species was better able to tolerate very high CO₂ concentrations than *Chlorella*. However, both algae had about the same growth rate when the CO₂ concentration was in the range 10% - 30%. *Scenedesmus* was completely inhibited by 100% CO₂. This inhibition was reversible since growth was resumed when CO₂ concentration was returned to 20%. Other microalgae species, *Chlorella minutissima*, grown under extreme carbon dioxide concentrations (0.036% - 100%), strongly increase the microalgal biomass through photochemical and non-photochemical changes in the photosynthetic apparatus [47]. In conclusion, these extreme CO₂ concentrations—about 1,000 times higher than the ambient one—can be easily metabolized from the unicellular green alga to biomass and can be used, on a local scale at least, for the future development of microalgal photobioreactors for the mitigation of the point source-produced carbon dioxide. Similar conclusion was derived by Prof. Shiraiwa's Laboratory [48] that some microalgae could grow very rapidly at a CO₂ concentration higher than 40%, those cells being referred to as extremely high-CO₂ cells.

Yun, *et al.*, [42] cultivated *Chlorella vulgaris* in wastewater discharged from a steel-making plant with the aim of developing an economically feasible system to remove ammonia from wastewater and CO₂ from flue gas simultaneously (since no phosphorus compounds existed in wastewater, external phosphate (15.3 - 46.0 g·m⁻³) was added to the wastewater). After adaptation to 5% (v/v) CO₂, the growth of *C. vulgaris* was significantly improved at a typical concentration of CO₂ in flue gas of 15% (v/v). Growth of *C. vulgaris* in raw wastewater was

better than that in wastewater buffered with HEPES at 15% (v/v) CO₂. CO₂ fixation and ammonia removal rates were estimated as 260 g CO₂ m⁻³·h⁻¹ and 0.92 g NH₃ m⁻³·h⁻¹, respectively, when the alga was cultivated in wastewater supplemented with 460 g PO₄⁻³·m⁻³ without pH control at 15% (v/v) CO₂.

4. Mechanisms of CO₂ Tolerance

The mechanistic implications of the effect of the elevated CO₂ concentration on algal growth and productivity was previously studied [15,47,49]. The cells of *Dunaliella tertiolecta* grown under ordinary air (low-CO₂ cells) had a well developed pyrenoid with many more starch granules than those grown under air enriched with CO₂ (high-CO₂ cells). The chloroplast was located close to the plasma membrane in low-CO₂ cells, while that in high-CO₂ cells was located in the inner area of the cells. Chloroplast envelope was electronically denser in low-CO₂ cells than in high-CO₂ cells, while the opposite effect of CO₂ was observed for the plasma membrane [50]. This implies that microalgae can possibly tolerate high concentration of CO₂ by adjusting their structural anatomy and redistribution of certain cellular organelles [47].

5. Factors Influencing CO₂ Tolerance

The presence of oxygen plays a role in controlling the efficiency of CO₂ uptake. Becker [51] calculated that a concentration of CO₂, as low as 10⁻⁶ mol/l (30 ppm) is sufficient to maintain unlimited photosynthesis of the algae. On the other hand, experiments with different O₂ concentrations in the medium have shown the photosynthetic efficiency is increased by 14% if almost no O₂ is present in the medium but is reduced to about 35% when the medium is saturated with 100% O₂. However, another study showed that oxygen concentrations ranging from 10 to 200% of ambient had no significant effects on daily net carbon gain or total wet biomass production rates in this particular seaweed [40].

Generally speaking, the effects of various combinations of CO₂ concentration, light intensity and oxygen concentration on photosynthesis and growth in several algal types suggest the following.

- Different algae show different responses to high oxygen concentrations and high light intensities. Generally, inhibition of photosynthesis (CO₂ fixation and growth), increases with increasing oxygen concentration and with increasing light intensity (at light intensities greater than saturation) [51-55]. This is very much in favor of using these biological systems for the production of biofuel in various climates.
- The environmental conditions play a determining role in promoting CO₂ fixation and cellular propagation. For example, a hot spring alga (HSA) purified from

an alkaline hot spring (pH 9.3 and 62°C) in Taiwan grows well over pH 11.5 and 50°C. For performance of HSA, CO₂ removal efficiencies in the packed tower increase about 5-fold in a suitable growth condition compared to that without adding alkaline salt such as potassium hydroxide. In addition, HSA also exhibits a high growth rate under the controlled pHs from 7 to 11 [56].

- Basic growth nutrients must be available in order to maintain proper physiological integration of the culture. This can reasonably be overcome using wastewater [57-59].
- Temperature can be a determining factor in the selection of the algal species [60,61]. However, the diversity in the optimum temperature required to maintain the best growth rates makes it possible to choose the organism with given physical needs [62].

6. Conclusions

In considering the results of the studies described above, it would appear that super-elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations are not detrimental to freshwater and marine microalgae and macrophytes. In fact, they suggest that huge increases in aquatic CO₂ concentration can sometimes lead to equally huge increases in aquatic plant growth. For the purpose of CO₂ sequestration, the use of microalgae is a unique technology. In fact microalgae sit on the top of the choices for its exceptionally high efficiency in energy conversion, CO₂ removal and the size and usefulness of other byproducts. The technology, which is an environmentally friendly, works under limited O₂ concentrations, and a wide range of thermal and light conditions. It requires selecting the proper type of microalgae and then adapting to higher CO₂ concentrations that could have the potential to produce useful byproducts, and function multi-purposely.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. J. Stepan, R. E. Shockey, T. A. Moe and R. Dorn. "SUBTASK, 2.3-Carbon Dioxide Sequestering Using Microalgal Systems," U.S. Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory, 2001.
- [2] B. Hileman, "U.S. Urged to Change CO₂ Emissions Policy," *Chemical Engineering News*, Vol. 70, No. 1, 1992, pp. 16-22. [doi:10.1021/cen-v070n008.p016](https://doi.org/10.1021/cen-v070n008.p016)
- [3] O. Pulz and W. Gross, "Valuable Products from Biotechnology of Microalgae," *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, Vol. 65, No. 3, 2004, pp. 635-648. [doi:10.1007/s00253-004-1647-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-004-1647-x)
- [4] Y. Chisti, "Biodiesel from Microalgae," *Biotechnology Advances*, Vol. 25, No. 3, 2007, pp. 294-306. [doi:10.1016/j.biotechadv.2007.02.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2007.02.001)
- [5] E. Ono and J. L. Cuello, "Selection of Optimal Microal-

- gae Species for CO₂ Sequestration,” *Proceedings 2nd Annual Conference on Carbon Sequestration*, Alexandria, 2003, pp. 1-7.
- [6] Oilgae, “Algae Oil Yield,” 2011. <http://www.oilgae.com/algae/oil/yield/yield.html>
- [7] Biofpr, “The Promise and Challenges of Microalgal-Derived Biofuels,” 2009. http://www.afdc.energy.gov/afdc/pdfs/microbial_biofuels_darzens.pdf
- [8] J. H. Fike, D. J. Parrish, J. Alwang and J. S. Cundiff, “Challenges for Deploying Dedicated, Large-Scale, Bioenergy Systems in the USA,” *Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources*, Vol. 2, No. 64, 2007, pp. 1-28.
- [9] P. Chelf, L. M. Brown and C. E. Wyman, “Aquatic Biomass Resources and Carbon Dioxide,” *Biomass and Bioenergy*, Vol. 4, No. 3, 1993, pp. 175-183. [doi:10.1016/0961-9534\(93\)90057-B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0961-9534(93)90057-B)
- [10] K. L. Kada, “Microalgae Production from Power Plant Flue Gas: Environmental Implications on a Life Cycle Basis,” *National Renewable Energy Laboratory publications*, NREL/TP-510-29417, Golden, CO, 2001. [doi:10.2172/783405](https://doi.org/10.2172/783405)
- [11] J. R. Beneman, D. M. Tillett and J. C. Weissman, “Microalgae Biotechnology,” *Trends in Biotechnology*, Vol. 5, No. 2, 1987, pp.47-53.
- [12] E. S. Kikkini, R. T. Yang and S. H. Cho, “Concentration and recovery of carbon dioxide from flue gas by pressure swing adsorption,” *Industrial Engineering Chemistry Research*, Vol. 32, No. 11, 1993, pp. 2714-2720. [doi:10.1021/ie00023a038](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie00023a038)
- [13] D. Kilowatts, “America’s Most Polluting Power Plants,” Environmental, Integrity Project, National Renewable Energy Laboratory Publications, Washington DC, July 2007.
- [14] J. Seckbach, H. Gross and M. B. Nathan, “Growth and Photosynthesis of *Cyanidium Caldarium* Cultured under Pure CO₂,” *Israel Journal of Botany*, Vol. 20, 1971, pp. 84-90.
- [15] N. Nagata, T. Takeuchi and Y. Fukuju, “Tolerance of Microalgae to High CO₂ and High Temperature,” *Phytochemistry*, Vol. 31, No. 10, 1992, pp. 3345-3348. [doi:10.1016/0031-9422\(92\)83682-O](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422(92)83682-O)
- [16] M. Kodama, H. Ikemoto and S. Miyachi, “A New Species of Highly CO₂-Tolerant Fast-Growing Marine Microalga Suitable for High-Density Culture,” *Journal of Marine Biotechnology*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1993, pp. 21-25.
- [17] S. Miyairi, “CO₂ Assimilation in a Thermophilic Cyanobacterium,” *Energy Conversion and Management*, Vol. 36, No. 6-9, 1995, pp. 763-766. [doi:10.1016/0196-8904\(95\)00116-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0196-8904(95)00116-U)
- [18] Y. Nakano, K. Miyatake, H. Okuno, K. Hamazaki, S. Takenaka, N. Honami, M. Kiyota, I. Aiga and J. Kondo, “Growth of Photosynthetic Algae *Euglena* in High CO₂ Conditions and Its Photosynthetic Characteristics,” *Acta Horticulturae*, Vol. 440, No. 9, 1996, pp. 49-54.
- [19] H. Nagase, K. Eguchi, K. Yoshihara, K. Hirata and K. Miyamoto, “Improvement of Microalgal NO_x Removal in Bubble Column and Airlift Reactors,” *Journal of Fermentation and Bioengineering*, Vol. 86, No. 4, 1998, pp. 421-423. [doi:10.1016/S0922-338X\(99\)89018-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0922-338X(99)89018-7)
- [20] K. Yoshihara, H. Nagase, K. Eguchi, K. Hirata and K. Miyamoto, “Biological Elimination of Nitric Oxide and Carbon Dioxide from Flue Gas by Marine Microalga NOA-113 Cultivation in a Long Tubular Photobioreactor,” *Journal of Fermentation and Bioengineering*, Vol. 82, No. 4, 1996, pp. 351-354. [doi:10.1016/0922-338X\(96\)89149-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0922-338X(96)89149-5)
- [21] Y. Miura, W. Yamada, K. Hirata, K., Miyamoto and M. Kiyohara, “Stimulation of Hydrogen Production in Algal Cells Grown under High CO₂ Concentration and Low Temperature,” *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, Vol. 39-40, No. 1, 1993, pp. 753-761. [doi:10.1007/BF02919033](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02919033)
- [22] H. Matsumoto, N. Shioji, A. Hamasaki, Y. Ikuta, Y. Fukuda, M. Sato, N. Endo and T. Tsukamoto, “Carbon Dioxide Fixation by Microalgae Photosynthesis Using Actual Flue Gas Discharged from a Boiler,” *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, Vol. 51-52, No. 1, 1995, 681-692. [doi:10.1007/BF02933469](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02933469)
- [23] S. Hirata, M. Hayashitani, M. Taya and S. Tone, “Carbon Dioxide Fixation in Batch Culture of *Chlorella* sp. Using a Photobioreactor with a Sunlight-Collection Device,” *Journal of fermentation and bioengineering*, Vol. 81, No. 5, 1996, pp. 470-472. [doi:10.1016/0922-338X\(96\)85151-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0922-338X(96)85151-8)
- [24] S. Hirata, M. Taya and S. Tone, “Characterization of *Chlorella* Cell Cultures in Batch and Continuous Operations under a Photoautotrophic Condition,” *Journal of Chemical Engineering of Japan*, Vol. 29, No. 6, 1996, pp. 953-959. [doi:10.1252/jcej.29.953](https://doi.org/10.1252/jcej.29.953)
- [25] K. Maeda, M. Owada, N. Kimura, L. Omata, and I. Karube, “CO₂ Fixation from the Flue Gas on Coal-fired Thermal Power Plant by Microalgae,” *Energy Conversion Management*, Vol. 36, No. 6-9, 1995, pp. 717-720. [doi:10.1016/0196-8904\(95\)00105-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0196-8904(95)00105-M)
- [26] L. E. Graham and L. W. Wilcox, “Algae,” Prentice-Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, 2000.
- [27] L. M. Brown, “Uptake of Carbon Dioxide from Flue Gas by Microalgae,” *Energy Conversion and Management*, Vol. 37, No. 6-8, 1996, pp. 1363-1367.
- [28] T. M. Sobczuk, F. G. Camacho, F. C. Rubio, F. G. A. Fernandez and E. M. Grima, “Carbon Dioxide Uptake Efficiency by Outdoor Microalgal Cultures in Tubular Airlift Photobioreactors,” *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, Vol. 67, No. 4, 2000, pp. 465-475. [doi:10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0290\(20000220\)67:4<465::AID-BIT10>3.0.CO;2-9](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0290(20000220)67:4<465::AID-BIT10>3.0.CO;2-9)
- [29] J. A. Oswald, “Large-Scale Algal Culture Systems (Engineering Aspects),” In: L. J. Borowitzka and M. A. Borowitzka, Eds., *Microalgal biotechnology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988, pp. 357-395.

- [30] P. Tapie and A. Bernard, "Microalgae Production Technical and Economic Evaluations," *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, Vol. 32, No. 7, 1988, pp. 873-885. doi:10.1002/bit.260320705
- [31] S. Rados, B. Vaclav and D. Frantisek D, "CO₂ Balance in Industrial Cultivation of Algae," *Archives of Hydrobiology*, Vol. 46, No. 12, 1975, pp. 297-310.
- [32] Y. K. Lee and H. K. Hing, "Supplying CO₂ to Photosynthetic Algal Cultures by Diffusion through Gas-Permeable Membranes," *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, Vol. 31, No. 3, 1989, pp.298-301. doi:10.1007/BF00258413
- [33] J. Beardall, S. Beer and J. A. Raven, "Biodiversity of Marine Plants in an Era of Climate Change: Some Predictions Based on Physiological Performance," *Botanica Marina*, Vol. 41, No. 1-6, 1998, pp. 113-124.
- [34] P. Schippers, M. Lüring and M. Scheffer, "Increase of Atmospheric CO₂ Promotes Phytoplankton Productivity," *Ecology Letters*, Vol. 7, No. 6, 2004, pp. 446-451. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00597.x
- [35] J. K. Ward and B. R. Strain, "Elevated CO₂ Studies: Past, Present and Future," *Tree Physiology*, Vol. 19, 1999, pp. 211-220.
- [36] S. Beer and E. Koch, "Photosynthesis of Marine Microalgae and Seagrasses in Globally Changing CO₂ Environments," *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, Vol. 141, 1996, pp. 199-204. doi:10.3354/meps141199
- [37] J. Flexas, M. Ribas-Carbó, A. Diaz-Espejo, J. Galmés and H. Medrano, "Mesophyll Conductance to CO₂: Current Knowledge and Future Prospects," *Plant, Cell and Environment*, Vol. 31, No. 5, 2008, pp. 602-621. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01757.x
- [38] T. Andersen, F. O. Andersen and O. Pedersen, "Increased CO₂ in the Water around *Littorella Uniflora* Raises the Sediment O₂ Concentration," *Aquatic Botany*, Vol. 84, No. 4, 2006, pp. 294-300. doi:10.1016/j.aquabot.2005.11.006
- [39] T. Andersen and F. O. Andersen, "Effects of CO₂ Concentration on Growth of Filamentous Algae and *Littorella Uniflora* in a Danish Softwater Lake," *Aquatic Botany*, Vol. 84, No. 3, 2006, pp. 267-271. doi:10.1016/j.aquabot.2005.09.009
- [40] J. E. Kubler, A. M. Johnston and J. A. Raven, "The Effects of Reduced and Elevated CO₂ and O₂ on the Seaweed *Lomentaria Articulate*," *Plant, Cell and Environment*, Vol. 22, No. 10, 1999, pp. 1303-1310. doi:10.1046/j.1365-3040.1999.00492.x
- [41] B. Tisserat, "Influence of Ultra-High Carbon Dioxide Concentrations on Growth and Morphogenesis of Lamiales Species in Soil," *Journal of Herbs, Spices & Medicinal Plants*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2002, pp. 81-89. doi:10.1300/J044v09n01_09
- [42] Y-S. Yun and J. Moon Park, "Development of Gas Recycling Photobioreactor System for Microalgal Carbon Dioxide Fixation," *Journal Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*, Vol. 14, No. 4, 1997, pp. 297-300. doi:10.1007/BF02706827
- [43] L. Yue and W. Chen, "Isolation and Determination of Cultural Characteristics of a New Highly CO₂ Tolerant Fresh Water Microalgae," *Energy Conversion and Management*, Vol. 46, No. 11-12, 2005, pp. 1868-1876. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2004.10.010
- [44] Y. Watanabe, N. Ohmura and H. Saiki, "Isolation and Determination of Cultural Characteristics of Microalgae Which Functions under CO₂ Enriched Atmosphere," *Energy Conversion and Management*, Vol. 33, No. 5-8, 1992, pp. 545-552. doi:10.1016/0196-8904(92)90054-Z
- [45] Y. Nakano, K. Hamasaki, S. Takenaka, K. Miyatake, A. Tani and I. Aiga, "Adaptation and the Mechanism of *Euglena gracilis* to High CO₂ Conditions," *CELSS Journal*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1995, pp. 7-12.
- [46] Y. Yang and K. Gao, "Effects of CO₂ Concentrations on the Freshwater Microalgae, *Chlamydomonas Reinhardtii*, *Chlorella Pyrenoidosa* and *Scenedesmus Obliquus* (Chlorophyta)," *Journal of Applied Phycology*, Vol. 15, No. 5, 2003, pp. 379-389. doi:10.1023/A:1026021021774
- [47] A. Papazia, P. Makridisb, P. Divanachb and K. Kotzabasisa, "Bioenergetic Changes in the Microalgal Photosynthetic Apparatus by Extremely High CO₂ Concentrations Induce an Intense Biomass Production," *Physiologia Plantarum*, Vol. 132, No. 3, 2008, pp. 338-349. doi:10.1111/j.1399-3054.2007.01015.x
- [48] T. Shiraiwa, "Mechanism for the Acclimation of Photosynthetic Machinery to Change in Environmental CO₂ Concentration in Eukaryotic Microalgae," 2005. http://www.biol.tsukuba.ac.jp/~ikawa/shiraiwaHP/hp04/home04E.html
- [49] D. O. Hessen, "Excess Carbon in Aquatic Organisms and Ecosystems: Physiological, Ecological, and Evolutionary Implications," *Limnology and Oceanography*, Vol. 53, No. 3, 2008, pp. 1685-1696. doi:10.4319/lo.2008.53.4.1685
- [50] M. Tsuzuki, M. Gantar, K. Aizawa and S. Miyachi, "Ultrastructure of *Dunaliella Tertiolecta* Cells Grown under Low and High CO₂ Concentrations," *Plant Cell Physiology*, Vol. 27, No. 4, 1986, pp. 737-739.
- [51] E. W. Becker, "Microalgae: Biotechnology and Microbiology," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [52] H. E. Glover and I. Morris, "Photosynthetic Characteristics of *Cocoid* Marine *Cyanobacteria*," *Archives of Microbiology*, Vol. 129, No. 1, 1981, pp. 42-46. doi:10.1007/BF00417177
- [53] D. H. Pope, "Effects of Light Intensity, Oxygen Concentration, and Carbon Dioxide Concentration on Photosynthesis in Algae," *Microbial Ecology*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1975, pp. 1-16. doi:10.1007/BF02010377
- [54] Y. C. Jeon, C. W. Cho and Y. S. Yu, "Measurement of Microalgal Photosynthetic Activity Depending on Light Intensity and Quality," *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, Vol. 27, No. 2, 2005, pp. 127-131. doi:10.1016/j.bej.2005.08.017
- [55] J. Kondo, "Growth of Photosynthetic Algae *Euglena* in High CO₂ Conditions and Its Photosynthetic Characteris-

- tics,” *Acta Horticulturae*, Vol. 440, No. 9, 1996, pp. 49-54.
- [56] H. T. Hsueh, H. Chu and S. T. Yu, “A Batch Study on the Bio-Fixation of Carbon Dioxide in the Absorbed Solution from a Chemical Wet Scrubber by Hot Spring and Marine Algae,” *Chemosphere*, Vol. 66, No. 5, 2007, pp. 878-886. [doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.06.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.06.022)
- [57] P. J. McGinn, K. E. Dickinson, S. Bhatti, J. Frigon, S. R. Guiot and S. J. B. O’Leary, “Integration of Microalgae Cultivation with Industrial Waste Remediation for Bio-fuel and Bioenergy Production: Opportunities and Limitations,” *Photosynthesis Research*, 2011, (in Press). [doi:10.1007/s11120-011-9638-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-011-9638-0)
- [58] R. A. Andersen, “Algal Culturing Techniques,” Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington, 2005.
- [59] A. P. Carvalho, L. A. Meireles and F. Xavier, “Microalgal Reactors: A Review of Enclosed System Designs and Performances,” *Biotechnology Progress*, Vol. 22, No. 6, 2006, pp. 1490-1506. [doi:10.1002/bp060065r](https://doi.org/10.1002/bp060065r)
- [60] D. A. Caron, J. C. Goldman and M. R. Dennet, “Effect of Temperature on Growth, Respiration, and Nutrient Regeneration by an Omnivorous Microflagellate,” *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, Vol. 52, No. 6, 1986, pp. 1340-1347.
- [61] L. Brennan and P. Owend, “Biofuels from Microalgae—A Review of Technologies for Production, Processing, and Extractions of Biofuels and Co-Products,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2010, pp. 557-577. [doi:10.1016/j.rser.2009.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2009.10.009)
- [62] A. Dauta, J. Devaux, F. Piquemal and L. Boumnick, “Growth Rate of Four Freshwater Algae in Relation to Light and Temperature,” *Hydrobiologia*, Vol. 207, No. 1, 1990, pp. 221-226. [doi:10.1007/BF00041459](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00041459)