In silico tests on sequence motif significances for human tissue specific genes^{*}

Xiujun Gong, Hualin Xu

School of Computer Science and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin, China Email: gongxj@tju.edu.cn, lin_snowing@yahoo.com.cn

Received 13 February 2013; revised 3 April 2013; accepted 9 May 2013

Copyright © 2013 Xiujun Gong, Hualin Xu. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Identification and analysis of tissue-specific (TS) genes and their regulatory activities play an important role in understanding the mechanisms of the organism, disease diagnosis and drug design. Although so far we are not clear about the mechanisms totally, the sequence features of TS genes are becoming an important clue. In this paper we used an integrated pipeline to discover sequences motifs for the promoter regions of TS genes. To test the significances of those motifs in a specific tissue, we used hypotheses test approaches including Bayesian hypothesis, Binomial distribution and traditional z-test. We finally got 2784, 1204 and 703 motifs respectively out of 3244 motifs obtained in discovery phase using above three tests from 3954 TS genes across 83 human tissues. 52.7% of those motifs can be found in public databases available.

Keywords: Tissue Specific Genes; Hypothesis Test; Tissue Rich Motif; Tissue Even Motif

1. INTRODUCTION

Identification and analysis of tissue-specific (TS) genes and their regulatory activities play an important role in understanding mechanisms of the organism, disease diagnosis and drug design [1]. In last years, many research projects were performed to study expressions and regulatory mechanisms of TS genes including transcription factor and their binding sites, sequence features of promoter regions [2], alternative splicing [3] and Epigenetics features [4] of those genes.

Although until now we are not completely clear about the mechanisms of the gene tissue specificity, the sequence features of TS genes are becoming an important clue [2]. P. FitzGerald *et al.* calculated the statistics of Simple Sequence Repeats (SSR) and identified that the SSR could be an important factor to the tissue specificity [5]. F. Song *et al.* pointed that methylation changes during development are dynamic, involve demethylation and methylation, and may occur at late stages of embryonic development or even postnatally using mouse genome data [6]. C. Heber *et al.* showed that Nucleosome rotational setting is associated with transcriptional regulation in promoters of tissue-specific human genes [4].

With the completion of the whole human genome project, various algorithms have been developed for discovering patterns or motifs of huge volume genome sequences. Those typical algorithms include three phases: motif searching, redundant motif pruning and motif significance testing. The methods for motif discovery may be grouped into two categories [7]: enumerative methods and alignment-based methods. Enumerative methods typically involve exhaustive enumeration of words up to some maximum size in a dataset, and are thus best suited to consensus sequence motif models, like Consensus, PROJECTION, PDEM. Alignment methods take on a wide variety of forms, but often involve the development of a probabilistic model of the observed sequence data and optimization to find motifs common to all input sequences, such as MEME [8] program, the expectationmaximization (EM) algorithm and Gibbs sampling [9]. Each algorithm has its unique advantage on individual species or datasets. Tompa et al. [7] conducted a study that compares the performance of 13 different motif finders by using a variety of real and synthetic sequence sets covering a range of genomes. A common practice is to apply several such algorithms simultaneously to improve coverage at the cost of increased redundancy [10].

In this paper, we first applied an integrated motif searching approach to find motifs for TS genes. As we known, it is the first time to search sequence motifs for tissue specific genes. Then we merged the similar motifs using the method in literature [7]. To test the signifi-



^{*}This work is partly supported by the Natural Science Funding of China under grand number 61170177 and innovation funding of Tianjin University.

cances of those motifs in each tissue, we used three hypothesis test methods: Bayesian hypothesis, Binomial distribution and traditional *z*-test. We also distinguish two kinds of significant motifs: tissue rich motifs (TIM) and tissue even motifs (TEM). The former refer to motifs only showing significance in few tissues, and the later refer to motifs in most of the tissues. We finally got 2784, 1204 and 703 motifs respectively out of 3244 motifs obtained in discovery phase using above three tests from 3954 TS genes across 83 human tissues. 52.7% those motifs can be found available in databases public.

2. METHOD

2.1. Date Preparing

Tissue specific genes were obtained mainly by querying the tissue specific gene expression database TiGER [11] against the tissue names. Some of them came from Tis-GED [12] database. All of the TS genes with PubMed IDs were used in the experiment. We finally got 3954 human tissue specific genes across 83 human tissues. The gene's promoter sequences are downloaded from DBTSS [13] and EPD [14]. The promoter region with 1500 bp (-499 bp - 1000 bp around TSS) length is used for motif searching.

2.2. Motif Searching

2.2.1. Motif Searching

In this phase, we integrated three motif searching programs: MEME, AlignACE and Gibbs Sampler. The length of candidate motifs is fixed to 6 - 12 bp, other parameters as the default setting. In this phase, we get 6794 motifs.

2.2.2. PWM Representations of Motifs

Since different motif search programs have their own motif formats as outputs, we have to define a uniform format for motifs to compare their similarities in motif merging phase. A common used representation is the Position Specific Weight Matrix (PWM or PSWM) [15], which is a matrix of nucleotide frequencies in each position of the motif (*i.e.* the frequencies of the nucleotides A, C, G and T in each position). We transformed all the motifs to the PWM representation.

2.2.3. Motif Merging

In motif merging phase, we used the method similar with in literature [16] to remove motif redundancies. Because this step isn't the emphasis of this paper, we skip the details of the merging process. After motif merging, 3244 motifs were obtained.

2.3. Motif Tissue Significance Testing

To identify whether a motif is really related with tissue

specificity or not, we statistically distinguish two kinds of motifs: tissue rich motifs (TRM) and tissue even motifs (TEM). The former refer to motifs only showing statics significance in less than 3 tissues, and the later refer to motifs in more than 70 tissues. We used hypothesis approaches to test the significance of motifs in each tissue. To do the hypothesis test, the distributions of motifs in a given sequence must be estimated. Therefore, a key step is to calculate the statistic of a motif in a given sequence.

For a given motif *m* with length *w* from tissue T_0 , in which the motif is discovered, our purpose is to judge whether its occurrence in tissue T_1 is significant or not. Therefore we have to take a measure on the motif occurrences. Based on the requirements of different hypothesis tests, we applied scoring schemas.

Definition 1: for a given motif m, its matching Score with a Promoter sequence segment x of the gene from tissue $T_1 PMS1$ is defined:

$$PMS1(m,x) = \sum_{i=1}^{w} s(m,x[i])$$

where s(m[i], x[i]) is the score between *m* and *x* in position *i*, which can be calculated through the PWM of the motif.

Definition 2: for a given motif m, its matching Score with a Promoter Sequence S of the gene from tissue T_1 *PSS*1 is defined:

$$PSS1(m,s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} PMS1(m,s[i])$$

where s[i] with *PMS*1 more than a predefined threshold is a segment of *S* by sliding a widow with length *w*, n is the number of s[i].

*PSS*1 is used in classical *z*-test and binomial test.

Definition 3: for a given motif m, its matching Score with a Promoter sequence segMent x of the gene from tissue $T_1 PMS2$ is defined [16]:

$$PMS2(m,x) = \frac{\text{Current} - \text{Min}}{\text{Max} - \text{Min}},$$

where
$$\operatorname{Current} = \sum_{i=1}^{w} I(i) f_{i,B}$$
, $\operatorname{Min} = \sum_{i=1}^{w} I(i) f_{i}^{\min}$
 $\operatorname{Max} = \sum_{i=1}^{w} I(i) f_{i}^{\max}$.

 $f_{i,B}$ is the frequency of residue *B* at position *i*, which is from PWM; f_i^{\min}/f_i^{\max} is the smallest/largest frequency of the residue at position *i* and

 $I(i) = \sum_{B \in \{A,T,G,C\}} f_{i,B} \ln(4f_{i,B})$ describe the information

content of residue *B* at position *i*.

Definition 4: for a given motif m, its matching Score with a Promoter Sequence S of the gene from tissue T_1

574

PSS2 is defined:

$$PSS2(m,s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} PMS2(m,s[i])$$

where s[i] with *PMS2* more than a predefined threshold is a segment of *S* by sliding a widow with length *w*, *n* is the number of s[i].

PSS2 is used in Bayesian hypothesis test.

2.3.1. Classical Z-Test

In the classical *z*-test, we estimated the mean and variance of the match score *PSS*1 in tissue T_1 , and then calculated the *z*-value:

$$z = \frac{\overline{PSS1} - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$$

where μ_0 and σ are the mean and variance of the *PSS*1 in tissue T_0 .

In the experiment, we set the confidence degree 0.05.

2.3.2. Bayes Hypothesis Test

Assumed that the *PSS2* of a motif at tissue T_0 follows a Gaussian distribution $N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$. To test that whether the motif is significant at tissue T_1 , we constructed two hypothesizes as the followings:

$$H_0: \mu_0 < x_1, H_1: \mu_0 \ge x_1$$

where x_1 is the mean of *PSS2* in tissue T_1 .

Assumed that $X \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$, where θ is unknown and σ^2 is known, $\pi(\theta) \sim N(\mu, \tau^2)$, where both μ and τ^2 are known. The post distribution of θ is followed $N(\mu(x), \rho^{-1})$ according [16], where

$$\rho = \tau^{-2} + \sigma^{-2} = \frac{\tau^2 + \sigma^2}{\tau^2 \sigma^2}$$
$$\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{\mu}{\tau^2} + \frac{x}{\sigma^2} \right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2} \mu + \frac{\tau^2}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2} x$$
$$= x - \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2} (x - \mu)$$

2.3.3. Binomial Distribution Test

In Binomial distribution test, instead of *PSS*1 value, we need the number of matches between the motif and the promoter sequence of a gene. A match between a motif and a sequence is defined if the *PMS*1 of the motif with a segment of the sequence is larger than a predefined value. We counted all the matches in tissue T_0 and T_1 , represented the numbers of matches by K_0 and K_1 respectively. The Binomial distribution test is to seek a value *K*-value holding:

$$\sum_{m=0}^{K-\text{value}} {\binom{n_1}{m}} p^m \left(1-p\right)^{n_1-m} = \sum_{m=0}^{K_0} {\binom{n_0}{m}} p^m \left(1-p\right)^{n_0-m}$$

where n_0 and n_1 are the numbers of promoter sequences

the experiment.

in tissue T_0 and T_1 respectively and p is fixed to 0.5 in

3. RESULT

3.1. Data Sources

The gene expression datasets, such as GNF, SAGE, and EST, are very widely used as data sources for the identifications of TS genes. However, because of the noise in expression datasets and human involvement in defining thresholds, the reliability of the identifications is often not high. In this paper, we use the specific genes obtained mainly by querying the tissue specific gene expression database TiGER against the tissue names. Some of them came from TisGED database. All of the TS genes with PubMed IDs were used in the experiment. We obtained 3954 TS genes across 83 human tissues. Because of the limitation of page size, the gene lists for all the tissues are available on request to the authors.

The gene's promoter sequences were downloaded from DBTSS and EPD. The promoter region with length 1500 bp (-499 bp - 1000 bp around TSS) is used for motif discovery.

3.2. Motifs Discovered by Three Test Methods

After merging phase, we get total 3244 motifs. The number of motifs in each tissue is shown in **Table 1**.

With Bayes Hypothesis Test method, we get 1534 TRMs and 1270 TEMs. With Classic *z*-test method, 539 TRMs and 164 TEMs are obtained. With Binomial Distribution test method, the numbers of two kinds of motifs are 270 and 925 respectively. For the details, see in **Figures 1** and **2**.

3.3. Overlap Motifs in Three Test Methods

In all the TRMs, 5 TRMs are covered by three methods, 150 TRMs are covered by two methods. In all the TEMs, 39 TEMs covered by three methods, 264 TEMs covered by two methods. For the details, see **Figure 3**.

We also compared the overlapped 5 TRMs and 39 TEMotif with JASPAR [17]. 4 TRMs (see **Table 2**) out of 5 TRMs are found in the JASPAR. For an example, [CCCCNCCCCC] is a motif which was discovered by previous researches in JASPAR ID MA0079.2_SP1, and [GGGGAATCCCC] with JASPAR ID MA0105.1_NFKB1. 19 TEMs out of 39 TEMs are found in the JASPAR. For an example, the motif [NGNNGCRSCG] has JASPAR ID MA0123.1_abi4. For the details see **Table 3**.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Tissue specificity is the foundation for cells form specific

721 B lymphoblasts	22	FetalThyroid	50
Adipocyte	53	Fetallung	53
AdrenalCortex	32	GlobusPalidus	37
Adrenalgland	31	Heart	40
Amvgdala	35	Hypothalamus	32
Appendix	43	Kidney	62
AtrioventricularNode	47	Leukemia chronicMyelogenousK-562	19
BDCA4+ DentriticCells	38	Leukemia promyelocytic-HL-60	63
Bonemarrow	50	Leukemialyphoblastic(MOLT-4)	30
BronchialEpithelialCells	28	Liver	44
CardiacMvocvtes	20	Lung	12
Caudatenucleus	35	Lymphnode	45
CD4+ Tcells	41	Lymphoma burkitts(Daudi)	64
_ CD8+_Tcells	77	Lymphoma_burkitts(Raji)	10
CD14+_Monocytes	44	MedullaOblongata	13
CD19+_BCells(negsel.)	43	OccipitalLobe	10
CD33+_Myeloid	21	OlfactoryBulb	14
CD34+	24	Ovary	33
CD56+_NKCells	33	PancreaticIslet	22
CD71+_EarlyErythroid	39	Pancreas	19
CD105+_Endothelial	51	ParietalLobe	29
Cerebellum	53	pineal_day	50
CerebellumPeduncles	42	pineal_night	48
CiliaryGanglion	41	Pituitary	31
CingulateCortex	44	Placenta	33
colon	44	PrefrontalCortex	29
Colorectaladenocarcinoma	43	Pons	22
DorsalRootGanglion	34	Prostate	26
SkeletalMuscle	41	Tongue	53
Skin	54	Tonsil	13
small_intestine	48	TrigeminalGanglion	33
SmoothMuscle	42	Uterus	34
Spinalcord	46	UterusCorpus	49
SubthalamicNucleus	18	WholeBlood	40
SuperiorCervicalGanglion	39	Wholebrain	44
TemporalLobe	36	Fetalbrain	42
Testis	54	Fetalliver	65
TestisGermCell	53	retina	34
TestisIntersitial	63	Salivarygland	69
TestisLeydigCell	68	Thymus	49
TestisSeminiferousTubule	44	Thyroid	29
Thalamus	36		

 Table 1. Number of motifs in each tissue after motif merging.



Figure 1. Numbers of TEMs by three test methods (The green color represents binomial distribution method, red color represents bayes hypothesis test method, purple color represents classic hypothesis test method).



Figure 2. Numbers of TEMs by three test methods (The green color represents binomial distribution method, red color represents bayes hypothesis test method, purple color represents classic hypothesis test method).



Figure 3. (a) Venn diagram of numbers of tissue rich motif finding by three methods; (b) Venn diagram of numbers of tissue even motif finding by three methods.

tissues and functional organs. Identification and analysis of tissue-specific genes and their regulatory activities play an important role in understanding mechanisms of the organism, disease diagnosis and drug design. And finding accurate and meaningful motif with tissue specificity still remains a big challenge.

In this paper we used an integrated pipeline to discover sequence motifs for the promoter regions of TS genes. To test the significances of those motifs in a specific tissue, we used hypotheses test approaches including Bayesian hypothesis, Binomial distribution and traditional

Table 2. 4 matches of 5 TRMs and 19 matches of 39 TEMs found in JASPAR.

Motif Logo	Motif ID in JASPAR	Motif length	Motif match
	MA0079.2_SP1	10	1
	MA0105.1_NFKB1	11	1
	MA0011.1_br_Z2	8	1
	MA0008.1_HAT5	8	1

Table 3. TRMs (Motif Match item is the number of motifs in the database).

Motif logo	Motif ID in JASPAR	Motif length	Match count
	MA0017.1_NR2F1	14	1
	MA0079.2_SP1	10	5
	MA0123.1_abi4	10	2
	MA0039.2_Klf4	10	2
	MA0327.1_MATA1	7	2
	MA0436.1_YPR022C	6	1
	MA0029.1_Evi1	12	1
	MA0368.1_RIM101	7	2
	MA0443.1_btd	10	1
	MA0267.1_ACE2	6	2

z-test. We finally got 2784, 1204 and 703 motifs respectively out of 3244 motifs obtained in discovery phase using above three tests from 3954 TS genes across 83 human tissues. 52.7% of those motifs can be found available in databases public.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dezso, Z., *et al.* (2008) A comprehensive functional analysis of tissue specificity of human gene expression. *BMC Biology*, **6**, 49.
- [2] Kuzmin, D., et al. (2010) Novel strong tissue specific promoter for gene expression in human germ cells. BMC Biotechnology, 10, 58. doi:10.1186/1472-6750-10-58
- [3] Grosso, A., Gomes, A. and Barbosa, N. (2008) Tissuespecific splicing factor gene expression signatures. *Nucleic Acids*, 36, 4823-4832. <u>doi:10.1093/nar/gkn463</u>
- [4] Hebert, C. (2010) Nucleosome rotational setting is associated with transcriptional regulation in promoters of tissue-specific human genes. *Genome Biology*, **11**, R51. <u>doi:10.1186/gb-2010-11-5-r51</u>
- [5] Lawson, M.J. and Zhang, L. (2008) Housekeeping and tissue-specific genes differ in simple sequence repeats in the 5'-UTR region. *Gene*, 407, 54-62. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2007.09.017
- [6] Song, F., et al. (2009) Tissue specific differentially methylated regions (TDMR): Changes in DNA methylation during development. Genomics, 93, 130-139. doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2008.09.003
- [7] Tompa, M., et al. (2005) Assessing computational tools for the discovery of transcription factor binding sites. *Nature Biotechnology*, 23, 137-144. doi:10.1038/nbt1053
- [8] Bailey, T.L., et al. (2009) MEME SUITE: Tools for motif discovery and searching. Nucleic Acids Research, 37,

W202-W208.

- [9] Neuwald, F., Liu, J.S. and Lawrence, C.E. (1995) Gibbs motif sampling detection of bacterial outer membrane protein repeats. *Protein Science: A Publication of the Protein Society*, 4, 1618-1632.
- [10] Clements, M. (2007) Creating motifs with LocoMotif. *Scanning*.
- [11] Liu, X., Yu, X., Zack, D.J., Zhu, H. and Qian, J. (2008) TiGER: A database for tissue-specific gene expression and regulation. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 9, 271. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-271
- [12] Xiao, S.J., Zhang, C. and Zou, Q. (2010) TiSGeD: A database for tissue-specific genes. *Bioinformatics*, 26, 1273-1275. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq109
- [13] Suzuki, Y., Yamashita, R., Nakai, K. and Sugano, S. (2002) DBTSS: DataBase of human transcriptional start sites and full-length cDNAs. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **30**, 328-331. doi:10.1093/nar/30.1.328
- [14] Périer, R.C., Praz, V., Junier, T., Bonnard, C. and Bucher, P. (2000) The eukaryotic promoter database (EPD). *Nucleic Acids Research*, 28, 302-303.
- [15] Zare-Mirakabad, F., Ahrabian, H., Sadeghi, M., Hashemifar, S., Nowzari-Dalini, A. and Goliaei, B. (2009) Genetic algorithm for dyad pattern finding in DNA sequences. *Genes & Genetic Systems*, 84, 81-93. doi:10.1266/ggs.84.81
- [16] Habib, N., Kaplan, T., Margalit, H. and Friedman, N. (2008) A novel bayesian DNA motif comparison method for clustering and retrieval. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 4. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000010
- [17] Sandelin, A., Alkema, W., Engström, P., Wasserman, W.W. and Lenhard, B. (2004) JASPAR: An open-access database for eukaryotic transcription factor binding profiles. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **32**, D91-D94.