

# A Note on the Perturbation of MF Algebras and **Quasidiagonal C\*-Algebras**

# Wenjuan Zhan, Liguang Wang

School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Qufu, China Email: zhanwenjuan0624@163.com, wangliguang0510@163.com

How to cite this paper: Zhan, W.J. and Wang, L.G. (2019) A Note on the Perturbation of MF Algebras and Quasidiagonal C\*-Algebras. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics, 7, 2026-2030. https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2019.79139

Received: August 5, 2019 Accepted: September 17, 2019 Published: September 20, 2019

Copyright © 2019 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ •

**Open Access** 

# Abstract

Perturbation problem of operator algebras was first introduced by Kadison and Kastler. In this short note, we consider the uniform perturbation of two classes of operator algebras, *i.e.*, MF algebras and quasidiagonal C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras. We show that the sets of MF algebras and quasidiagonal C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras of a given C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra are closed under the perturbation of uniform norm.

# **Keywords**

MF Algebra, Quasidiagonal C<sup>\*</sup>-Algebra

# **1. Introduction and Preliminaries**

Kadison and Kastler in [1] initiated the study of uniform perturbations of operator algebras. They considered a fixed C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra  $\mathscr{U}$  and equipped the set of all C<sup>\*</sup>-subalgebras of  $\mathscr{U}$  with a metric arising from Hausdorff distance between the unit balls of these subalgebras. We first recall the following definition of the metric *d* defined on the set of all C<sup>\*</sup>-subalgebras of a C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra  $\mathscr{U}$  (see [1]).

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}$  be C<sup>\*</sup>-subalgebras of a C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra  $\mathscr{U}$ . The Kadison-Kastler metric  $d(\mathscr{A},\mathscr{B})$  between  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}$  is defined by

$$d(\mathscr{A},\mathscr{B}) = \max\left\{\sup_{a\in(\mathscr{A})_1}\inf_{b\in(\mathscr{B})_1}\|a-b\|, \sup_{b\in(\mathscr{B})_1}\inf_{a\in(\mathscr{A})_1}\|a-b\|\right\}$$

where  $(\mathscr{A})_{1}$  and  $(\mathscr{B})_{1}$  denote the unit ball of  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}$  respectively.

Kadison and Kastler conjectured in [1] that sufficiently close von Neumann algebras (or C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras) are necessarily unitarily conjugate. The first positive answer to Kadison-Kastler's conjecture was given by Christensen [2] when either  $\mathscr{A}$  or  $\mathscr{B}$  is a von Neumann algebra of type I. Many results related to this conjecture have been obtained during the past 40 years ([3] [4] [5] [6]). One-sided version of Kadison-Kastler's conjecture was introduced and studied by Christensen in [4] as well. Christensen showed in [4] that a nuclear C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra that is nearly contained in an injective von Neumann algebra is unitarily conjugate to this von Neumann algebra. Christensen, Sinclair, Smith and White showed in [5] that the property of having a positive answer to Kadison's similarity problem transfers to close C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras. Very recently, Kadison-Kastler's conjecture has been proved for the class of separable nuclear C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras in the remarkable paper [6].

The problem we are going to consider is as follows: Suppose  $\mathscr{A},\mathscr{B}$  are C<sup>\*</sup>-subalgebras of a C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra  $\mathscr{U}$ . If  $d(\mathscr{A},\mathscr{B}) < \gamma$ , is  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}$  share similar properties?

In this short note, we show that the sets of matricial field algebras (MF algebras) and quasidiagonal  $C^*$ -algebras of a given  $C^*$ -algebra are closed under the perturbation of uniform norm.

#### 2. Main Results

In this section, we consider some topological properties of the set of all MF algebras and quasidiagonal C<sup>\*</sup>-subalgebras under the perturbation of uniform norm. For basics of C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras, we refer to [7] and [8]. We first recall the definition of MF algebras ([9]).

Suppose  $\left\{\mathcal{M}_{k_n}\left(\mathbb{C}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of complex matrix algebras. We can introduce the full C\*-direct product  $\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_m}\left(\mathbb{C}\right)$  of  $\left\{\mathcal{M}_{k_n}\left(\mathbb{C}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  as follows:

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}\left(\mathbb{C}\right) = \left\{ \left(Y_n\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \mid \forall n \ge 1, Y_n \in \mathcal{M}_{k_n}\left(C\right) \text{ and } \sup_{n\ge 1} \left\|Y_n\right\| < \infty \right\}.$$
(1)

Furthermore, we can introduce a norm closed two sided ideal in  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$  as follows,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}\left(\mathbb{C}\right) = \left\{ \left(Y_n\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}\left(\mathbb{C}\right) : \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\|Y_n\right\| = 0 \right\}.$$
(2)

Let  $\pi$  be the quotient map from  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$  to

 $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}).$  It is known that  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$  is a unital C\*-algebra. If we denote  $\pi((Y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty})$  by  $\lceil (Y_n)_n \rceil$ , then

$$\left\| \left[ \left( Y_n \right)_n \right] \right\| = \limsup_{n \to 1} \left\| Y_n \right\|.$$
(3)

Now we are ready to recall an equivalent definition of MF algebras which is given by Blackadar and Kirchberg ([9]).

**Definition 2.1.** (Theorem 3.2.2, [9]) Let  $\mathscr{U}$  be a separable C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra. If  $\mathscr{U}$  can be embedded as a C<sup>\*</sup>-subalgebra of  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$  for a sequence  $\{k_n\}_{n=1}$  of integers, then  $\mathscr{U}$  is called an MF algebra.

**Lemma 2.2.** ([10] Lemma 2.12) Suppose that  $\mathscr{U}$  is a separable C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra.

Assume for every finite family of elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in  $\mathscr{U}$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an MF algebra  $\mathscr{U}_1$  such that  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset_{\varepsilon} \mathscr{U}_1$ , (in the sense of Definition 2.3 in [10]). Then  $\mathscr{U}$  is also an MF algebra.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $\mathscr{U}$  be a C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra and  $\mathfrak{F}$  be the subset of all separable MF algebras contained in  $\mathscr{U}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is closed under the metric *d*.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathscr{A} \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}$ . Then there exist  $\mathscr{A}_n \in F$  such that  $d(\mathscr{A}_n, \mathscr{A}) \to 0$ . For any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in \mathscr{A}$ ,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $n_0$  such that

$$d\left(\mathscr{A}_{n_{0}},\mathscr{A}\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\sum_{i=1}^{m} \|x_{i}\| + 1}. \text{ Then there exist } y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{m} \in \mathscr{A}_{n_{0}} \text{ such that}$$
$$\|x_{i} - y_{i}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\sum_{i=1}^{m} \|x_{i}\| + 1} \|x_{i}\| < \varepsilon \tag{4}$$

for all *i*. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that *ℳ* is also a MF algebra.

We will recall some results about quasidiagonal C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras for the reader's convenience. We refer the reader to [11] for a comprehensive treatment of this important class of C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras.

**Definition 2.4.** A subset  $\Omega \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is called a quasidiagonal set of operators if for each finite set  $\omega \subset \Omega$ , finite set  $\chi \subset \mathcal{H}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite rank projection  $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $||TP - PT|| \le \varepsilon$  and  $||P(x) - x|| \le \varepsilon$  for all  $T \in \omega$  and  $x \in \chi$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra  $\mathscr{U}$  is called quasidiagonal (QD) if there exists a faithful representation  $\pi : \mathscr{U} \to B(\mathscr{H})$  such that  $\pi(\mathscr{U})$  is a quasidiagonal set of operators.

The following result is Lemma 7.1.3 in [11] which is useful to determine whether a  $C^{*}$ -algebra is guasidiagonal or not.

**Lemma 2.6.** A C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra  $\mathscr{U}$  is quasidiagonal if and only if for each finite set  $F \subset \mathscr{U}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a completely positive map  $\phi : \mathscr{U} \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\left\|\phi(ab) - \phi(a)\phi(b)\right\| < \epsilon \tag{5}$$

and

$$\left|\phi(a)\right| > \left\|a\right\| - \epsilon \tag{6}$$

for all  $a, b \in F$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** Let  $\mathscr{U}$  be a separable C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra. Let  $\mathfrak{F} = QD(\mathscr{U})$  be the set of all quasidiagonal C<sup>\*</sup>-subalgebras of  $\mathscr{U}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is closed under the metric *d*.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathscr{A} \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}$  and choose  $\mathscr{A}_n \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $d(\mathscr{A}_n, \mathscr{A}) \to 0$ . Given finite subset  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$  of the unit ball of  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . There is a  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(\mathscr{A}_N, \mathscr{A}) < \frac{\epsilon}{6}$ . Choose  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$  in the unit ball of  $\mathscr{A}_N$  such that  $||x_i - y_i|| < \frac{\epsilon}{6}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Since  $\mathscr{A}_N$  is QD, it follows from Lemma 2.6 that there is a c.c.p. map  $\phi : \mathscr{A}_N \to M_i(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\left\|\phi\left(y_{i}y_{j}\right)-\phi\left(y_{i}\right)\phi\left(y_{j}\right)\right\|\leq\frac{\varepsilon}{6}$$
(7)

and

$$\left\|\phi\left(y_{j}\right)\right\| \ge \left\|y_{j}\right\| - \frac{\epsilon}{6} \tag{8}$$

for all  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Now use Arveson's extension theorem ([11]) to extend  $\phi$  to a c.c.p. map  $\tilde{\phi}$  from  $\mathcal{U}$  to  $M_t(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $\psi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}_t(\mathbb{C})$  be the restriction of  $\tilde{\phi}$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then for each  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \psi\left(x_{i}x_{j}\right) - \psi\left(x_{i}\right)\psi\left(x_{j}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \psi\left(x_{i}x_{j}\right) - \psi\left(x_{i}y_{j}\right) \right\| + \left\| \psi\left(x_{i}y_{j}\right) - \psi\left(y_{i}y_{j}\right) \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \psi\left(y_{i}y_{j}\right) - \psi\left(y_{i}\right)\psi\left(y_{j}\right) \right\| + \left\| \psi\left(y_{i}\right)\psi\left(y_{j}\right) - \psi\left(x_{i}\right)\psi\left(y_{j}\right) \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \psi\left(x_{i}\right)\psi\left(y_{j}\right) - \psi\left(x_{i}\right)\psi\left(x_{j}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$(9)$$

and

$$\left\|\psi\left(x_{i}\right)\right\| = \left\|\psi\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)+\psi\left(y_{i}\right)\right\| \ge \left\|\psi\left(y_{i}\right)\right\| - \frac{\varepsilon}{6} > \left\|y_{i}\right\| - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \ge \left\|x_{i}\right\| - \varepsilon.$$
(10)

Use Lemma 2.6 again we have that *S* is quasidiagonal.■

# **3. Conclusion**

In this paper, we use some characterizations of MF algebras and quasidiagonal  $C^*$ -algebras to show that these two sets of  $C^*$ -subalgebras of a given  $C^*$ -algebras are closed with respect to the topology induced by the Kadison-Kastler metric.

#### Founding

Partially supported by NSFC (11871303 and 11671133) and NSF of Shandong Province (ZR2019MA039).

## **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

# References

- Kadison, R.V. and Kastler, D. (1972) Perturbations of von Neumann Algebras. I. Stability of Type. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 94, 38-54. https://doi.org/10.2307/2373592
- [2] Christensen, E. (1974) Perturbations of Type I von Neumann Algebras. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 9, 395-405. https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-9.3.395
- [3] Christensen, E. (1977) Perturbation of Operator Algebras. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 43, 1-13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01390201</u>
- [4] Christensen, E. (1980) Near Inclusions of C<sup>\*</sup>-Algebras. Acta Mathematica, 144, 249-265.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02392125

- [5] Christensen, E., Sinclair, A.M., Smith, R.R. and White, S.A. (2010) Perturbations of C<sup>\*</sup>-Algebraic Invariants. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 20, 368-397. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00039-010-0070-y
- [6] Christensen, E., Sinclair, A.M., Smith, R.R., White, S.A. and Winter, W. (2012) Perturbations of Nuclear C-Algebras. *Acta Mathematica*, 208, 93-150. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11511-012-0075-5</u>
- [7] Kadison, R.V. and Ringrose, J. (1983 and 1986) Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras, Vol. I and II. Academic Press, Orlando.
- [8] Takesaki, M. (1979) Theory of Operator Algebras I. Springer Verlag, New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-6188-9
- Blackadar, B. and Kirchberg, E. (1997) Generalized Inductive Limits of Finite Dimensional C-Algebras. *Mathematische Annalen*, 307, 343-380. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002080050039
- [10] Hadwin, D., Li, J.K., Shen, J.H. and Wang, L.G. (2012) Reduced Free Products of Unital AH Algebras and Blackadar and Kirchberg's MF Algebras. *Journal of Operator Theory*, **69**, 275-302.
- [11] Brown, N.P. and Ozawa, N. (2008) C-Algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations, Graduate Studies in Mathematics. Vol. 88, American Mathematical Society, Providence. <u>https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/088</u>