International Journal of Modern Nonlinear Theory and Application, 2014, 3, 221-229
Published Online December 2014 in SciRes. http://www.scirp.org/journal/ijmnta
http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ijmnta.2014.35024

The Global Attractors of the Solution for 2D Maxwell-Navier-Stokes with Extra Force Equations

Cuicui Tian, Meixia Wang, Guoguang Lin*

Department of Mathematics, Yunnan University, Kunming, China
Email: 880903tc@163.com, *gglin@ynu.edu.cn

Received 12 September 2014; revised 13 October 2014; accepted 26 October 2014

Abstract

In this paper, we studied the solution existence and uniqueness and the attractors of the 2D Maxwell-Navier-Stokes with extra force equations.

Keywords

Maxwell-Navier-Stokes Equations, Existence, Uniqueness, Attractor

1. Introduction

In recent years, the Maxwell-Navier-Stokes equations have been studied extensively, and the studies have obtained many achievements [1] [2]. The Maxwell-Navier-Stokes equations are a coupled system of equations consisting of the Navier-Stokes equations of fluid dynamics and Maxwell's equations of electromagnetism. The coupling comes from the Lorentz force in the fluid equation and the electric current in the Maxwell equations. In [1], the authors studied the non-resistive limit of the 2D Maxwell-Navier-Stokes equations and established the convergence rate of the non-resistive limit for vanishing resistance by using the Fourier localization technique. In [2], the author has proved the existence and uniqueness of global strong solutions to the non-resistive of the 2D Maxwell-Navier-Stokes equations for initial data $(v_0, E_0, B_0) \in \left(L^2\left(H^2\right)\right) \times \left(H^s\left(R^2\right)\right)^2$ with $s > 0$. The long time behaviors of the solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations also are seen in [3]-[10].

In this paper,we will study the 2D Maxwell-Navier-Stokes equations with extra force and dissipation in a bounded area under homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition problems:

*Corresponding author.

How to cite this paper: Tian, C.C., Wang, M.X. and Lin, G.G. (2014) The Global Attractors of the Solution for 2D Maxwell-Navier-Stokes with Extra Force Equations. *International Journal of Modern Nonlinear Theory and Application*, **3**, 221-229.
http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ijmnta.2014.35024

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t} + v\nabla v - \gamma\Delta v = j \times B + f(x), \Omega \times (0,T), \\ \dfrac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} - curlB - \varepsilon\Delta E = -j + g(x), \Omega \times (0,T), \\ \dfrac{\mathrm{d}B}{\mathrm{d}t} + curlE - \eta\Delta B = h(x), \Omega \times (0,T), \\ j = E + v \times B, \Omega \times (0,T), \\ divv = divB = 0, \Omega \times (0,T), \\ v(0,x) = v_0(x); E(0,x) \models E_0(x); B(0,x) \models B_0(x), \\ v(x,t)\big|_{\partial\Omega} = E(x,t)\big|_{\partial\Omega} = B(x,t)\big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

here $\Omega \subset R^2$ is bounded set, $\partial\Omega$ is the bound of Ω, v is the velocity of the fluid, γ is the viscosity, ε and η are resistive constants, j is the electric current which is given by Ohm's law, E is the electric field, B is the magnetic field and $j \times B$ is the Lorentz force.

Let $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ and $\|f\|^2 = (f, f) = \int_\Omega f^2(x)\mathrm{d}x$.

2. The Priori Estimate of Solution of Questions (1.1)

Lemma 1. Assume $f, g, h \in L^2(\Omega); \|v_0\| \le \rho_0, \|E_0\| \le \rho_0, \|B_0\| \le \rho_0$, so the solution (v, E, B) of the Dirichlet bound questions (1.1) satisfies

$$\|v\|^2 + \|E\|^2 + \|B\|^2 \le \begin{cases} \left(\|v_0\|^2 + \|E_0\|^2 + \|B_0\|^2\right)\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha t} + \dfrac{\|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2 + \|h\|^2}{\alpha^2}, & (t \ge 0), \\ \dfrac{2\left(\|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2 + \|h\|^2\right)}{\alpha^2}, & (t \ge t_0), \end{cases}$$

here $t_0 = \max\left\{0, \dfrac{1}{\alpha}\ln\left[\dfrac{\rho_0\alpha^2}{\|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2 + \|h\|^2}\right]\right\}$.

Proof. For the system (1.1) multiply the first equation by v with both sides and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|v\|^2 + \gamma\|\nabla v\|^2 = (j \times B, v) + (f(x), v). \tag{2.1}$$

For the system (1.1) multiply the second equation by E with both sides and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|E\|^2 + (-curlB, E) + \varepsilon\|\nabla E\|^2 = (-j, E) + (g(x), E). \tag{2.2}$$

For the system (1.1) multiply the third equation by B with both sides and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|B\|^2 + (curlE, B) + \eta\|\nabla B\|^2 = (h(x), B). \tag{2.3}$$

Because $(curlE, B) = (curlB, E)$, so $(2.1) + (2.2) + (2.3)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\|v\|^2 + \|E\|^2 + \|B\|^2\right) + \gamma\|\nabla v\|^2 + \varepsilon\|\nabla E\|^2 + \eta\|\nabla B\|^2 \\ &= (j \times B, v) + (f(x), v) + (-j, E) + (g(x), E) + (h(x), B). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

According to Poincare's inequality, we obtain

$$\gamma\|\nabla v\|^2 \ge \gamma C_0\|v\|^2, \ \varepsilon\|\nabla E\|^2 \ge \varepsilon C_1\|E\|^2, \ \eta\|\nabla B\|^2 \ge \eta C_2\|B\|^2. \tag{2.5}$$

According to $j = E + v \times B$, we obtain

$$(j\times B,v)+(-j,E)=(j,B\times v)-(j,E)=-(j,v\times B)-(j,E)=-(j,E+v\times B)=-\|j\|^2. \tag{2.6}$$

According to Young's inequality, we obtain

$$(f(x),v)\le\|f(x)\|\|v\|\le\frac{\gamma C_0}{2}\|v\|^2+\frac{1}{2\gamma C_0}\|f\|^2, \tag{2.7}$$

$$(g(x),E)\le\|g(x)\|\|E\|\le\frac{\varepsilon C_1}{2}\|E\|^2+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon C_1}\|g\|^2, \tag{2.8}$$

$$(h(x),B)\le\|h(x)\|\|B\|\le\frac{\eta C_2}{2}\|B\|^2+\frac{1}{2\eta C_2}\|h\|^2. \tag{2.9}$$

From (2.4) (2.5) (2.6) (2.7) (2.8) (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\|v\|^2+\|E\|^2+\|B\|^2\right)+\frac{\gamma C_0}{2}\|v\|^2+\frac{\varepsilon C_1}{2}\|E\|^2+\frac{\eta C_2}{2}\|B\|^2+\|j\|^2\\&\le\frac{1}{2\gamma C_0}\|f\|^2+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon C_1}\|g\|^2+\frac{1}{2\eta C_2}\|h\|^2,\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\|v\|^2+\|E\|^2+\|B\|^2\right)+\frac{\gamma C_0}{2}\|v\|^2+\frac{\varepsilon C_1}{2}\|E\|^2+\frac{\eta C_2}{2}\|B\|^2\\&\le\frac{1}{2\gamma C_0}\|f\|^2+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon C_1}\|g\|^2+\frac{1}{2\eta C_2}\|h\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha=\min\{\gamma C_0,\varepsilon C_1,\eta C_2\}$, according that we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\|v\|^2+\|E\|^2+\|B\|^2\right)+\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\|v\|^2+\|E\|^2+\|B\|^2\right)\le\frac{1}{2\alpha}\left(\|f\|^2+\|g\|^2+\|h\|^2\right),$$

so

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\|v\|^2+\|E\|^2+\|B\|^2\right)+\alpha\left(\|v\|^2+\|E\|^2+\|B\|^2\right)\le\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\|f\|^2+\|g\|^2+\|h\|^2\right).$$

Using the Gronwall's inequality, the Lemma 1 is proved.

Lemma 2. Under the condition of Lemma 1, and $f,g,h\in H^1(\Omega)$; $v_0,E_0,B_0\in H^1(\Omega)$; $\gamma\ge\frac{9C_6}{4},\eta\ge\frac{3C_7}{2}$, so the solution (v,E,B) of the Dirichlet bound questions (1.1) satisfies

$$\|\nabla v\|^2+\|\nabla E\|^2+\|\nabla B\|^2\le\begin{cases}\left(\|\nabla v_0\|^2+\|\nabla E_0\|^2+\|\nabla B_0\|^2\right)\mathrm{e}^{-kt}+\dfrac{k}{C},\ (t\ge0),\\ \dfrac{k}{C},\ (t\ge t_0),\end{cases}$$

here $t_0=\max\left\{0,\ln\left[\dfrac{\rho_0 C}{k}\right]\right\}$.

Proof. For the system (1.1) multiply the first equation by $-\Delta v$ with both sides and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\nabla v\|^2+(v\nabla v,-\Delta v)+\gamma\|\Delta v\|^2=(j\times B,-\Delta v)+(f(x),-\Delta v). \tag{2.10}$$

For the system (1.1) multiply the second equation by $-\Delta E$ with both sides and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\nabla E\|^2-(curlB,-\Delta E)+\varepsilon\|\Delta E\|^2=(-j,-\Delta E)+(g(x),-\Delta E). \tag{2.11}$$

For the system (1.1) multiply the third equation by $-\Delta B$ with both sides and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\nabla B\|^2+(curlE,-\Delta B)+\eta\|\Delta B\|^2=(h(x),-\Delta B). \tag{2.12}$$

According $(curlB,-\Delta E)=-(curlB,\Delta E)=-(curlE,\Delta B)$ and (2.10) (2.11) (2.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\|\nabla v\|^2+\|\nabla E\|^2+\|\nabla B\|^2\right)-(v\nabla v,\Delta v)+\gamma\|\Delta v\|^2+\varepsilon\|\Delta E\|^2+\eta\|\Delta B\|^2\\&=(j\times B,-\Delta v)+(f(x),-\Delta v)+(-j,-\Delta E)+(g(x),-\Delta E)+(h(x),-\Delta B),\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

here

$$(v\nabla v,\Delta v)=-\left((\nabla v)^2+v\Delta v,\nabla v\right)=-\left((\nabla v)^2,\nabla v\right)-(v\nabla v,\Delta v),$$

so

$$(v\nabla v,\Delta v)=-\frac{1}{2}\left((\nabla v)^2,\nabla v\right)\le\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^3}^3.$$

According to the Sobolev's interpolation inequalities

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^3}^3\le C_3\|\Delta v\|^{\frac{2}{3}}\|v\|^{\frac{1}{3}}\le\frac{\gamma}{6}\|\Delta v\|^2+\frac{2C_3}{3\left(\frac{\gamma}{2C_3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\|v\|^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

so

$$(v\nabla v,\Delta v)\le\frac{\gamma}{6}\|\Delta v\|^2+\frac{2C_3}{3\left(\frac{\gamma}{2C_3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\|v\|^{\frac{1}{2}}; \tag{2.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left|(j\times B,-\Delta v)\right|&=\left|(E\times B+(v\times B)\times B,-\Delta v)\right|\\&\le\left|(E\times B,-\Delta v)\right|+\left|((v\times B)\times B,-\Delta v)\right|\le\|\Delta v\|\|E\|_{L^4}\|B\|_{L^4}+\left|((Bv)\cdot B-B^2\cdot v,-\Delta v)\right|.\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

According to the Sobolev's interpolation inequalities and Young's inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta v\|\|E\|_{L^4}\|B\|_{L^4}&\le\|\Delta v\|\|\Delta E\|^{\frac{1}{4}}\|E\|^{\frac{3}{4}}\|\Delta B\|^{\frac{1}{4}}\|B\|^{\frac{3}{4}}\le C_4\|\Delta v\|\|\Delta E\|^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\Delta B\|^{\frac{1}{4}}\le\frac{\gamma}{3}\|\Delta v\|^2+\frac{3C_4}{4\gamma}\|\Delta E\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\Delta B\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\\&\le\frac{\gamma}{3}\|\Delta v\|^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\|\Delta E\|^2+\frac{3}{4\left(\frac{4\varepsilon}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\left(\frac{3C_4^2}{4\gamma}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}\|\Delta B\|^{\frac{2}{3}}\\&\le\frac{\gamma}{3}\|\Delta v\|^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\|\Delta E\|^2+\frac{\eta}{3}\|\Delta B\|^2+\frac{2}{3\eta^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\frac{3}{4\left(\frac{4\varepsilon}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{3C_4^2}{4\gamma}\right)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

According to the Holder's inequalities and inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}\left|((Bv)\cdot B-B^2\cdot v,-\Delta v)\right|&\le2\int_\Omega|B|^2|v||\Delta v|\,\mathrm{d}x\le2\|v\|_\infty\int_\Omega|B|^2|\Delta v|\,\mathrm{d}x\le2\|v\|_\infty\|\Delta v\|\|B\|_{L^4}^2\\&\le2C_5\|v\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\Delta v\|^{\frac{3}{2}}\|B\|^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\Delta B\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\le\frac{3}{4}\|\Delta v\|^2\|B\|^2+\frac{C_5^2}{2}\|\Delta B\|^2\|v\|^2\\&\le\frac{3C_6}{4}\|\Delta v\|^2+\frac{C_7}{2}\|\Delta B\|^2\le\frac{\gamma}{3}\|\Delta v\|^2+\frac{\eta}{3}\|\Delta B\|^2,\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left(-j,-\Delta E\right)\right| &= \left|\left(E,\Delta E\right)+\left(v\times B,\Delta E\right)\right| \le \left\|\nabla E\right\|^2+\int_\Omega |v||B||\Delta E|\,\mathrm{d}x\\ &\le \left\|\nabla E\right\|^2+\left\|B\right\|_\infty \left\|\Delta E\right\|\left\|v\right\| \le \left\|\nabla E\right\|^2+C_8\left\|B\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\Delta B\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\Delta E\right\|\left\|v\right\|\\ &\le \left\|\nabla E\right\|^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{6}\left\|\Delta E\right\|^2+\frac{3C_8^2}{2\varepsilon}\left\|B\right\|\left\|\Delta B\right\|\left\|v\right\|^2\\ &\le \left\|\nabla E\right\|^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{6}\left\|\Delta E\right\|^2+\frac{\eta}{6}\left\|\Delta B\right\|^2+\frac{27C_9^4}{8\eta\varepsilon^2}\left\|B\right\|^2\left\|v\right\|^4.\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

According to the (2.13) (2.14) (2.15) (2.16) (2.17) (2.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\left\|\nabla v\right\|^2+\left\|\nabla E\right\|^2+\left\|\nabla B\right\|^2\right)+\frac{\gamma}{3}\left\|\Delta v\right\|^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\left\|\Delta E\right\|^2+\frac{\eta}{3}\left\|\Delta B\right\|^2\\ &\le\left(f\left(x\right),-\Delta v\right)+\left(g\left(x\right),-\Delta E\right)+\left(h\left(x\right),-\Delta B\right)+\left\|\nabla E\right\|^2+C_{10},\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

here

$$C_{10}\ge\frac{2}{3\eta^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\frac{3}{4\left(\frac{4\varepsilon}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{3C_4^2}{4\gamma}\right)^2+\frac{27C_9^4}{8\eta\varepsilon^2}\left\|B\right\|^2\left\|v\right\|^4-\frac{2C_3}{3\left(\frac{\gamma}{2C_3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\left\|v\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

According to the Poincare's inequalities

$$\frac{\gamma}{3}\left\|\Delta v\right\|^2\ge\frac{\gamma}{2}C_{11}\left\|\nabla v\right\|^2;\ \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\left\|\Delta E\right\|^2\ge\frac{\varepsilon}{2}C_{12}\left\|\nabla E\right\|^2;\ \frac{\eta}{3}\left\|\Delta B\right\|^2\ge\frac{\eta}{2}C_{13}\left\|\eta B\right\|^2. \tag{2.20}$$

According to the Young's inequalities

$$\left(f\left(x\right),-\Delta v\right)=\left(\nabla f\left(x\right),\nabla v\right)\le\left\|\nabla f\left(x\right)\right\|\left\|\nabla v\right\|\le\frac{\gamma}{2}\left\|\nabla v\right\|^2+\frac{1}{2\gamma}\left\|\nabla f\left(x\right)\right\|^2. \tag{2.21}$$

In a similar way,we can obtain

$$\left(g\left(x\right),-\Delta E\right)\le\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left\|\nabla E\right\|^2+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\left\|\nabla g\left(x\right)\right\|^2, \tag{2.22}$$

$$\left(h\left(x\right),-\Delta B\right)\le\frac{\eta}{2}\left\|\nabla B\right\|^2+\frac{1}{2\eta}\left\|\nabla h\left(x\right)\right\|^2. \tag{2.23}$$

From (2.19)-(2.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\left\|\nabla v\right\|^2+\left\|\nabla E\right\|^2+\left\|\nabla B\right\|^2\right)+\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(C_{11}-1\right)\left\|\nabla v\right\|^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(C_{12}-1-\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)\left\|\nabla E\right\|^2+\frac{\eta}{2}\left(C_{13}-1\right)\left\|\nabla B\right\|^2\\ &\le\frac{1}{2\gamma}\left\|\nabla f\left(x\right)\right\|^2+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\left\|\nabla g\left(x\right)\right\|^2+\frac{1}{2\eta}\left\|\nabla h\left(x\right)\right\|^2+C_{10}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $k=\min\left\{\gamma\left(C_{11}-1\right),\varepsilon\left(C_{12}-1-\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right),\eta\left(C_{13}-1\right)\right\}$, because $f,g,h\in H^1\left(\Omega\right)$, so existing C satisfied

$$\frac{1}{2}C\ge\frac{1}{2\gamma}\left\|\nabla f\left(x\right)\right\|^2+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\left\|\nabla g\left(x\right)\right\|^2+\frac{1}{2\eta}\left\|\nabla h\left(x\right)\right\|^2+C_{10}.$$

So

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\left\|\nabla v\right\|^2+\left\|\nabla E\right\|^2+\left\|\nabla B\right\|^2\right)+k\left(\left\|\nabla v\right\|^2+\left\|\nabla E\right\|^2+\left\|\nabla B\right\|^2\right)\le C.$$

According to the Gronwall's inequality,we can get the Lemma 2.

3. Solution's Existence and Uniqueness and Attractor of Questions (1.1)

Theorem 1. Assume that $f,g,h\in L^2\left(R^+;H^1(\Omega)\right)$, and $v_0,E_0B_0\in H^1(\Omega)$, so questions (1.1) exist a unique solution $w(v,E,B)\in L^\infty\left(R^+;H^1(\Omega)\right)$.

Proof. By the method of Galerkin and Lemma 1 - Lemma 2, we can easily obtain the existence of solutions. Next, we prove the uniqueness of solutions in detail.

Assume $w_1(v_1,E_1,B_1),w_2(v_2,E_2,B_2)$ are two solutions of questions (1.1), let $w(v,E,B)=w_1(v_1,E_1,B_1)-w_2(v_2,E_2,B_2)$. Here $v=v_1-v_2,E=E_1-E_2,B=B_1-B_2,j=j_1-j_2$, so the difference of the two solution satisfies

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{\mathrm{d}v_1}{\mathrm{d}t}+v_1\nabla v_1-\gamma\Delta v_1=j_1\times B_1+f(x),\Omega\times(0,T).\\ \dfrac{\mathrm{d}E_1}{\mathrm{d}t}-curlB_1-\varepsilon\Delta E_1=-j_1+g(x),\Omega\times(0,T).\\ \dfrac{\mathrm{d}B_1}{\mathrm{d}t}+curlE_1-\eta\Delta B_1=h(x),\Omega\times(0,T).\\ j_1=E_1+v_1\times B_1,\Omega\times(0,T).\\ divv_1=divB_1=0,\Omega\times(0,T).\\ v_1(0,x)=v_{10}(x);E_1(0,x)\big|_{t=0}=E_{10}(x);B_1(0,x)\mid=B_{10}(x).\\ v_1(x,t)\big|_{\partial\Omega}=E_1(x,t)\big|_{\partial\Omega}=B_1(x,t)\big|_{\partial\Omega}=0.\end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{\mathrm{d}v_2}{\mathrm{d}t}+v_2\nabla v_2-\gamma\Delta v_2=j_2\times B_2+f(x),\Omega\times(0,T).\\ \dfrac{\mathrm{d}E_2}{\mathrm{d}t}-curlB_2-\varepsilon\Delta E_2=-j_2+g(x),\Omega\times(0,T).\\ \dfrac{\mathrm{d}B_2}{\mathrm{d}t}+curlE_2-\eta\Delta B_2=h(x),\Omega\times(0,T).\\ j_2=E_2+v_2\times B_2,\Omega\times(0,T).\\ divv_2=divB_2=0,\Omega\times(0,T).\\ v_2(0,x)=v_{20}(x);E_2(0,x)=E_{20}(x);B_2(0,x)=B_{20}(x).\\ v_2(x,t)\big|_{\partial\Omega}=E_2(x,t)\big|_{\partial\Omega}=B_2(x,t)\big|_{\partial\Omega}=0.\end{cases}$$

The two above formulae subtract and obtain

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t}+v_2\nabla v+v\nabla v_1-\gamma\Delta v=j_1\times B+j\times B_2,\Omega\times(0,T).\\ \dfrac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t}-curlB-\varepsilon\Delta E=-j,\Omega\times(0,T).\\ \dfrac{\mathrm{d}B}{\mathrm{d}t}+curlE-\eta\Delta B=0,\Omega\times(0,T).\\ j=E+v_1\times B+v\times B_2,\Omega\times(0,T).\\ divv=divB=0,\Omega\times(0,T).\\ v(0,x)=v_0(x);E(0,x)=E_0(x);B(0,x)=B_0(x).\\ v(x,t)\big|_{\partial\Omega}=E(x,t)\big|_{\partial\Omega}=B(x,t)\big|_{\partial\Omega}=0.\end{cases}\tag{3.1}$$

For the system (3.1) multiply the first equation by v with both sides and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|v\|^2+(v_2\nabla v+v\nabla v_1,v)+\gamma\|\nabla v\|^2=(j_1\times B+j\times B_2,v). \tag{3.2}$$

For the system (3.1) multiply the second equation by E with both sides and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|E\|^2+(-curlB,E)+\varepsilon\|\nabla E\|^2=(-j,E). \tag{3.3}$$

For the system (3.1) multiply the third equation by B with both sides and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|B\|^2+(curlE,B)+\eta\|\nabla B\|^2=0. \tag{3.4}$$

According to (3.2) + (3.3) + (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\|v\|^2+\|E\|^2+\|B\|^2\right)+(v_2\nabla v+v\nabla v_1,v)+\gamma\|\nabla v\|^2+\varepsilon\|\nabla E\|^2+\eta\|\nabla B\|^2\\&=(j_1\times B+j\times B_2,v)+(-j,E),\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

here $(v_2\nabla v+v\nabla v_1,v)=(v_2\nabla v,v)+(v\nabla v_1,v)$, and $(v_2\nabla v,v)=-(\nabla v_2,v^2)-(\nabla v_2,v^2)$, so $(v_2\nabla v,v)=-\frac{1}{2}(\nabla v_2,v^2)$. From that, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|(v_2\nabla v+v\nabla v_1,v)|&\le|(v\nabla v_1,v)|+\left|-\frac{1}{2}(\nabla v_2,v^2)\right|\le\|\nabla v_1\|\|v\|_{L^4}^2+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v_2\|\|v\|_{L^4}^2\\&\le\left(\|\nabla v_1\|+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v_2\|\right)C_{14}\|v\|\|\nabla v\|\le\frac{\gamma}{2}\|\nabla v\|^2+\frac{1}{2\gamma}\left(\|\nabla v_1\|+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v_2\|\right)^2C_{14}^2\|v\|^2\\&\le\frac{\gamma}{2}\|\nabla v\|^2+\frac{1}{2\gamma}C_{15}\|v\|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(j_1\times B+j\times B_2,v)+(-j,E)\\&=(j_1,B\times v)+(j,B_2\times v)+(-j,E)\\&=(j_1,B\times v)-(j,v\times B_2)-(j,v_1\times B)-(j,E)+(j,v_1\times B)\\&=(j_1,B\times v)+(j,v_1\times B)-\|j\|^2\\&\le\|j_1\|_{L^4}\|B\|_{L^4}\|v\|+\|j\|\|B\|_{L^4}\|v_1\|_{L^4}-\|j\|^2\\&\le C_{16}\|j_1\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|B\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla j_1\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla B\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|v\|+C_{17}\|j\|\|B\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla B\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|v_1\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla v_1\|^{\frac{1}{2}}-\|j\|^2\\&\le\frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2+\frac{1}{2}C_{16}^2\|j_1\|\|B\|\|\nabla j_1\|\|\nabla B\|+\frac{1}{2}\|j\|^2+\frac{1}{2}C_{17}^2\|B\|\|\nabla B\|\|v_1\|\|\nabla v_1\|-\|j\|^2\\&\le\frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\|\nabla B\|^2+\frac{1}{2\eta}C_{16}^4\|j_1\|^2\|B\|^2\|\nabla j_1\|^2\right)\\&\quad+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\|\nabla B\|^2+\frac{1}{2\eta}C_{17}^4\|B\|^2\|v_1\|^2\|\nabla v_1\|^2\right)-\frac{1}{2}\|j\|^2\\&\le\frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2+\frac{\eta}{2}\|\nabla B\|^2+\frac{1}{2\eta}C_{18}\|B\|^2-\frac{1}{2}\|j\|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Notice that

$$\gamma\|\nabla v\|^2\ge\gamma C_0\|v\|^2,\ \varepsilon\|\nabla E\|^2\ge\varepsilon C_1\|E\|^2,\ \eta\|\nabla B\|^2\ge\eta C_2\|B\|^2. \tag{3.8}$$

From the (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we can obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\|v\|^2+\|E\|^2+\|B\|^2\right)+\left(\frac{\gamma C_0}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\|v\|^2+\varepsilon C_1\|E\|^2+\left(\frac{\eta C_2}{2}-\frac{C_{18}}{2\eta}\right)\|B\|^2\le-\frac{1}{2}\|j\|^2.$$

Let

$$-\frac{m}{2}=\max\left\{\frac{\gamma C_0}{2}-\frac{1}{2},\varepsilon C_1,\frac{\eta C_2}{2}-\frac{C_{18}}{2\eta}\right\},$$

so, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\|v\|^2+\|E\|^2+\|B\|^2\right)\le m\left(\|v\|^2+\|E\|^2+\|B\|^2\right).$$

According to the consistent Gronwall inequality, the uniqueness is proved.

Theorem 2. [8] Let X be a Banach space, and $\{S(t)\}(t\ge 0)$ are the semigroup operators on X. $S(t):X\to X, S(t)\cdot S(\tau)=S(t+\tau), S(0)=I,$ here I is a unit operator. Set $S(t)$ satisfy the follow conditions.

1) $S(t)$ is bounded. Namely $\forall R>0,\|u\|_X\le R$, it exists a constant $C(R)$, so that $\|S(t)u\|_X\le C(R)\left(t\in[0,+\infty)\right)$;

2) It exists a bounded absorbing set $M_0\subset X$, namely $\forall M\subset X$, it exists a constant t_0, so that $S(t)M\subset M_0\ (t>t_0)$;

3) When $t>0, S(t)$ is a completely continuous operator A.

Therefor, the semigroup operators $S(t)$ exist a compact global attractor.

Theorem 3. Under the assume of Theorem 1, questions (1.1) have global attractor $A=w(M_0)=\bigcap_{s\ge 0}\overline{\bigcup_{t\ge s}S(t)M_0}$, M_0 is the bounded absorbing set of $H^1(\Omega)$ and satisfies

1) $S(t)A=A, t>0$;

2) $\lim_{t\to\infty} dist\left(S(t)M,A\right)=0,$ here $\forall M\subset L^2(\Omega)$ and it is a bounded set, $dist(X,Y)=\sup_{x\in X}\inf_{y\in Y}\|x-y\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

Proof. Under the conditions of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, it exists the solution semigroup $S(t)$ of questions (1.1), $S(t):H(\Omega)\to H(\Omega)$.

From Lemma 1 - Lemma 2, to $\forall M\subset H^1(\Omega)$ is a bounded set that includes in the ball $\{\|v\|_{H^1}+\|E\|_{H^1}+\|B\|_{H^1}\le R\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|S(t)v_0\|_{H^1}^2+\|S(t)E_0\|_{H^1}^2+\|S(t)B_0\|_{H^1}^2=\|v\|_{H^1}^2+\|E\|_{H^1}^2+\|B\|_{H^1}^2\\&\le\|v_0\|_{H^1}^2+\|E_0\|_{H^1}^2+\|B_0\|_{H^1}^2+C_1\left(\|f\|^2+\|g\|^2+\|h\|^2\right)+C_2\\&\le R^2+C',\ \left(t\ge 0, v_0,E_0,B_0\in M\right).\end{aligned}$$

This shows $\{S(t)\}(t\ge 0)$ is uniformly bounded in $H^1(\Omega)$.

Furthermore, when $t\ge t_0=t_0\left(R,\|f\|+\|g\|+\|h\|\right)$, there is

$$\|S(t)v_0\|_{H^1}^2+\|S(t)E_0\|_{H^1}^2+\|S(t)B_0\|_{H^1}^2=\|v\|_{H^1}^2+\|E\|_{H^1}^2+\|B\|_{H^1}^2\le 2\left(\frac{\|f\|^2+\|g\|^2+\|h\|^2}{\alpha^2}+\frac{k}{C}\right),$$

therefore,

$$\left\{v,E,B\in H^1(\Omega),\|v\|_{H^1}+\|E\|_{H^1}+\|B\|_{H^1}\le\sqrt{2\left(\frac{\|f\|^2+\|g\|^2+\|h\|^2}{\alpha^2}+\frac{k}{C}\right)}\right\}\subset M_0,$$

is the bounded absorbing set of semigroup $S(t)$.

Since $H^1(\Omega)\to H(\Omega)$ is tightly embedded, which is that the bounded set in $H(\Omega)$ is the tight set in

$H^1(\Omega)$, so the semigroup operator $S(t): H(\Omega) \to H(\Omega)$ to $t > 0$ is completely continuous.

4. Discussion

If we want to estimate the Hausdorff and fractal dimension of the attractor A of question (1.1), we need proof of the solution of question (1.1) that is differentiable. We are studying the solution's differentiability hardly and positively. Over a time, we will get some results.

Acknowlegements

This work is supported by the National Natural Sciences Foundation of People's Republic of China under Grant 11161057.

References

[1] Zhang, Q. (2013) On the Non-Resistive Limit of the 2D Maxwell-Navier-Stokes Equations. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **404**, 150-160. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2013.03.011

[2] Masmoudi, N. (2010) Global Well Posedness for the Maxwell-Navier-Stokes System in 2D. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, **93**, 559-571. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpur.2009.08.007

[3] Tian, L.X. (1994) Attractor of the Dissipated and Isolated Wave-Equation. *Journal of Applied Mathmatics and Mechanics*, **15**, 539-547.

[4] Dai, Z.D., Guo, B.L. and Lin, G.G. (1998) Breakdown Structure of Generalized Kuramoto-Sivashinsky Equation Attractor. *Applied Mathmatics and Mechanics*, **19**, 243-255.

[5] Du, X.Y. and Dai, Z.D. (2000) Global Attractor of Dissipative KDV Equation about Cauchy Problem. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, **20**, 289-295.

[6] Guo, B.L. (2000) The Infinite Dimension System. National Defense Industry Press, Beijing.

[7] Wu, J.Z., Zhao, P. and Lin, G.G. (2010) An Inertial Manifold of the Damped Boussinesq Equation. *Journal of Yunnan University*, **32**, 310-314.

[8] Lin, G.G. (2011) Nonlinear Evolution Equations. Yunnan University Press, Kunming.

[9] Tian, L.X. and Ding, D.P. (2000) Local Property or Weakly Damped Forced *KDV* Equation in 2-D Thin Domin. *Journal of Jiangsu University of Sciencend Technology*, **21**, 106-110.

[10] Dang, J.B., Wang, L. and Lin, G.G. (2010) Global Attractor for Weekly Damped Generalized Equation in the Long Time. *Journal of Mathematical Study*, **43**, 1-11.

Scientific Research Publishing (SCIRP) is one of the largest Open Access journal publishers. It is currently publishing more than 200 open access, online, peer-reviewed journals covering a wide range of academic disciplines. SCIRP serves the worldwide academic communities and contributes to the progress and application of science with its publication.

Other selected journals from SCIRP are listed as below. Submit your manuscript to us via either submit@scirp.org or Online Submission Portal.