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#### Abstract

Baseline is an important parameter of radar interferometry. Generally, it can be estimated by satellite orbital data or ground control points. In this paper, an adaptive method is proposed to estimate it with combination satellite orbital data, and the accuracy of baseline estimated can be improved without ground control points; actual data of ERS and ENVISAT ASAR have been used in algorithm development and the final obtained elevation shows that the precise orbit data is more accurate than original orbit data in estimating baseline.
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## 1. Introduction

Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) technology has been used frequently to monitoring tiny land surface deformation in recent years. Land target elevation can be obtained from phase information carried by radar signal. Couple of single look complex views of the same area obtained from two antennas simultaneously or two parallel observations from one antenna are used to produce the interferometric image; the geometric relation of two antennas and the observed target make interferometry turning into the truth. Combination with the orbit and sensor parameters, more accurate and higher resolution elevation can be obtained.

As an important factor in InSAR, baseline is used to describe the spatial or temporal geometric relations between the two antennas and the slant range; the high-accuracy baseline can enhance interferometry of receiving signal, but poor-quality baseline will cause interference loss and lead to the low precise elevation evaluation. In this study, the optimal baseline is also used, and the result is verified by the ERS and ENVISAT ASAR data and shows good consistency with the observations.

## 2. InSAR Imaging Theory

In general, the spatial location of antennas was different in twice repetition while receiving signal from the same land target, here $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ represented the first position and second position, respectively. The difference between the two location produce the interference baseline vector, and here $b$ represented its length, the angle with the horizon direction was represented by $\alpha$, the horizontal and vertical component of $b$ was represented by $b_{y}$ and $b_{z}$, respectively. The height difference between $A_{1}$ and reference plane was represented by $H$, the height of land target $T$ Was represented by $h(y)$ and its slant range was represented by $r, r+\delta$ represented the slant range between $A_{2}$ and land target $T$, all symbols are described in Figure 1.

Two complex images $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ were generated after processing echo signals of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ using SAR tools, respectively. The interference image with dark and bright strips can be obtained after the operation $S_{1} \cdot S_{2}^{*}$, here $S_{2}^{*}$ means the conjugation of $S_{2}$. the phase of each pixel in interference image is in proportion to the distance difference $\delta$ and has constant ratio $2 \pi / \lambda$ owing to the emission antenna is also echo receiver. in common, curvature of the earth can not be ignored in satellite-based processing. So the expressions concluded from Figure 1 are listed as follows [1]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta=\frac{\lambda \phi}{2 \pi}  \tag{1}\\
& \sin (\theta-\alpha)=\frac{\left[(r+\delta)^{2}-r^{2}-b^{2}\right]}{2 r b}  \tag{2}\\
& h(r, \theta)=\left[(a-r \cos \theta)^{2}+(r \sin \theta)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}-R_{E} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{E}$ indicated the earth radius and $a$ indicated the half of the major axis of satellite orbit, $r$ means the slant range and is bound up with echo delay, $\lambda, b, \alpha, r$ and $H$ are known parameters, $h, \theta$ and $\delta$ can be calculated by expression (1), (2) and (3) if given $\phi$ values.

## 3. Optimal Baseline

As an important parameter in InSAR processing, baseline is defined as the line between the different locations of twice satellite repetition. Its accuracy can determine the accuracy of land target elevation. according to the theory of InSAR, the phase difference, wave length and baseline are the determinants of computing land target elevation, that is to say, the orbit parameters are necessary when calculating the elevation, and the information includes the position of satellite, baseline and its azimuth when satellite scanning the target.

As it is known, the more longer the spatial baseline is, the more weaker the interference of two images is, increasing the spatial baseline can increase the accuracy of elevation, but the increment of baseline has its limitation, and the limitation can be represented by $B_{C}$ in the Equation (4).


Figure 1. Geometry of InSAR.

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{C}=\frac{\lambda r_{0}}{2 \rho_{y} \cos (\theta-\beta)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ represented the surface gradient and $r_{0}$ represented the distance between the antenna and the land target. Assumed that all the parameters are independent each other, the overall root mean square error $\sigma_{h}$ can be represented by the following equation [2].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{h}^{2}=\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \sigma_{r}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial b} \sigma_{b}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial \alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial H} \sigma_{H}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta} \sigma_{\theta}\right)^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{r}, \sigma_{b}, \sigma_{\alpha}, \sigma_{H}$ and $\sigma_{\theta}$ are root mean square error of $r, b, \alpha, H$ and $\theta$, respectively.
According to the error theory, $\sigma_{b}, \sigma_{\alpha}, \sigma_{H}$ are considered as system error as they are varied with different sensor system and have characters of common error, so they are ignored in the following computation. $\sigma_{r}$ is decided by ambiguity of timing system, vibration of sampling system and latency while electromagnetic wave passing through air and cosmic space. It can be expressed by the following equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{r}=\frac{\rho_{r I N}}{\sqrt{12}}  \tag{6}\\
& \rho_{r I N}=\frac{\rho_{y}}{1-B / B_{C}} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho_{\text {rIN }}$ indicates the resolution of slant range in interferometric image and it can be computed by the following equation:

Where $\rho_{y}$ indicates the range resolution and $B_{C}$ indicates the limitation of baseline. The measurement error $\sigma_{\theta}$ can be computed by the following equation [3]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{\theta}^{2}=\left(\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{S / N}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{0.6 W}{r}\right)^{2}  \tag{8}\\
& \varphi=\frac{\lambda}{2 b \cos (\theta-\alpha)} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $W$ indicates the land target effective extension in one unit range lobe which is perpendicular to the direction of electromagnetic wave. $\varphi$ indicates the half power width of each lobe produced by phase interferometry and can be expressed by the following equation:

Substitution Equations (6), (7), (8) and (9) to Equation (5), the estimated variance of land target height $\sigma_{h}$ can be approximately obtained while baseline is perpendicular to the range direction [4] [5]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{h}^{2}=\frac{\rho_{y}^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}{12\left(1-2 b \rho_{y} \cos \theta / \lambda r\right)^{2}}+\frac{\lambda^{2} r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}{4 b^{2} \cdot S / N}+0.36 W^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S / N$ is signal to noise ratio of the receiver.
Taking derivative to $b$ in Equation (10) and making the derivation is equal to zero, then the optimal baseline can be computed by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{o p t}=\frac{\lambda r}{2 \rho_{y} \cos (\theta-\alpha)} \cdot \frac{1}{1+\sqrt[3]{\frac{3 \frac{S}{N} \cos ^{2}(\theta-\beta)}{16 \tan ^{2} \theta \cos ^{2}(\theta-\alpha)}}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Equation (11) shows that the optimal baseline will increase while wave frequency decreases.

## 4. Algorithm Development

### 4.1. Original Satellite Data

The satellite orbit can be expressed by position vector $R_{s c}$ and velocity vector $V_{s c}$ at given time in ECR coor-
dinate system. Several vector $R_{s c}$ and $V_{s c}$ at specific time can be found in the meta data of radar products, such as ERS-1, ERS-2 and ENVISAT ASAR, they all provide five couples of $R_{s c}$ and $V_{s c}$ at given time. The pattern of recording $R_{s c}$ and $V_{s c}$ is nearly same in meta data: firstly, the orbit state vector number $N_{s}$ is recorded and the time $t_{0}$ which is corresponding to the first track, the time period $\Delta_{t}$ between the adjacent tracks; secondly, every track vector couple like $\left(X_{s}, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\left(X_{v}, Y_{v}, Z_{v}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ is recorded at specific time in increment order.

To resolving the position model, the amount $N_{s}$, the overall discrete pairs of $R_{s c}\left(t_{i}\right)$ and $V_{s c}\left(t_{i}\right)$, is used to calculate the pairs of $R_{s c}(t)$ and $V_{s c}(t)$ at any given time in interval $\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+N_{s} \Delta_{t}\right]$.

The position vector of satellite can be expressed by the following polynomial [6]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
X_{s} \\
Y_{s} \\
Z_{s}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{k=0}^{N_{k}} a_{k} k^{k} \\
\sum_{k=0}^{N_{k}} b_{k} t^{k} \\
\sum_{k=0}^{N_{k}} c_{k} k^{k}
\end{array}\right]}  \tag{12}\\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
X_{s} \\
Y_{s} \\
Z_{s}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{k=0}^{N_{k}} k a_{k} t^{k-1} \\
\sum_{k=0}^{N_{k}} k b_{k} t^{k-1} \\
\sum_{k=0}^{N_{k}} k c_{k} t^{k-1}
\end{array}\right]} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

The velocity vector can be obtained by taking differential to time $t$ in polynomial (12), and velocity will keep consistency with position at given time. The velocity can be expressed as polynomial (13). Where $N_{k}$ is the degree of polynomial and its value must be less than or equal to ( $N_{s}-1$ ). The vector series $N_{s}$ can be used to evaluating parameters of velocity using the least square method. In general, only the differential position to time polynomial is fitted.

### 4.2. Precise Orbit Data

The precise orbit data are provided by DEOS (Delft Institute for Earth-oriented Space Research), in which ERS-1, ERS-2 and ENVISAT ASAR are include. Running the program (getorb, version 2.2.0) provided by DEOS at given start time, step size and period, the geodetic or geocentric coordinates will be interpolated automatically after reading orbital data records, the program also can interpolate orbital profile every epoch and can print the UTC time, error, longitude, latitude, height of orbit (GRS80) and XYZ position values [7].

Inputting the original orbit profile and precise orbit profile to program(getorb), then using polynomial fitting or linear interpolation to calculating the coefficient of orbit, transforming the original image coordinates to orbit coordinates according to the Doppler equation, then computing the baseline and comparing it with the optimal baseline, if the biases of baseline computed by precise orbit are less than the biases computed by original orbit data, the elevation will be computed by the baseline produced by precise orbit, otherwise, the original orbit data will be used.

## 5. Result and Discussion

In this paper, ERS-1 and ERS-2 are used to calculating the orbit equation firstly, then original orbit and precise orbit are used to compute the elevation. Table 1 and Table 2 show the orbit data and the precise satellite data, respectively. Table 3 shows the elevations computed by the orbit data. From Table 3, we can see that the accuracy of elevation obtained by precise orbit is better than that obtained by original data, and the relative error computed by precise data is smaller than the original. Meanwhile, the comparison is consistent with the result obtained by the optimal baseline; the results have been verified by ERS and ENVISAT and show the consistency;

Table 1. Original satellite data.

| Type | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $11,725.872$ | $-2,484,054.95$ | $5,618,643.75$ | $3,677,802.95$ |
| Principal | $11,730.039$ | $-2,483,766.58$ | $5,635,945.89$ | $3,651,511.18$ |
| Original data | $11,734.206$ | $-2,483,421.15$ | $5,653,142.13$ | $3,625,150.41$ |
|  | $11,738.373$ | $-2,483,018.71$ | $5,670,232.12$ | $3,598,721.14$ |
| Auxiliary | $11,742.540$ | $-2,482,559.36$ | $5,687,215.50$ | $3,572,223.87$ |
| Original data | $11,727.669$ | $-2,484,045.48$ | $5,618,621.48$ | $3,677,850.21$ |
|  | $11,731.836$ | $-2,483,758.26$ | $5,635,923.44$ | $3,651,558.39$ |
|  | $11,736.003$ | $-2,483,413.97$ | $5,653,119.51$ | $3,625,197.56$ |
|  | $11,740.170$ | $-2,483,012.68$ | $5,670,209.32$ | $3,598,768.24$ |
|  | $11,744.337$ | $-2,482,554.47$ | $5,687,192.53$ | $3,572,270.91$ |

Table 2. Precise satellite data.

| Type | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal | $11,721.000$ | $-2,484,317.504$ | $5,598,281.532$ | $3,708,454.135$ |
| Precise data | $11,725.000$ | $-2,484,105.901$ | $5,615,011.087$ | $3,683,294.890$ |
|  | $11,729.000$ | $-2,483,841.638$ | $5,631,643.463$ | $3,658,071.510$ |
| Auxiliary | $11,733.000$ | $-2,483,524.777$ | $5,648,178.341$ | $3,632,784.436$ |
| Precise data | $11,737.000$ | $-2,483,155.380$ | $5,664,615.405$ | $3,607,434.105$ |
|  | $11,723.000$ | $-2,484,296.771$ | $5,599,106.843$ | $3,707,232.522$ |
|  | $11,727.000$ | $-2,484,083.605$ | $5,615,831.329$ | $3,682,069.976$ |
|  | $11,731.000$ | $-2,483,817.783$ | $5,632,458.621$ | $3,656,843.317$ |
|  | $11,735.000$ | $-2,483,499.366$ | $5,648,988.401$ | $3,631,552.984$ |
|  | $11,739.000$ | $-2,483,128.417$ | $5,665,420.351$ | $3,606,199.417$ |

Table 3. Computed elevation.

| Order | Theory $(\mathrm{m})$ | Original $(\mathrm{m})$ | Precise $(\mathrm{m})$ | Relative error <br> (original) | Relative error <br> (precise) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $11,879.23$ | $11,870.44$ | $11,877.35$ | 0.073967 | 0.015828 |
| 2 | $11,880.24$ | $11,871.45$ | $11,878.36$ | 0.074019 | 0.015811 |
| 3 | $11,881.25$ | $11,872.45$ | $11,879.37$ | 0.074071 | 0.015795 |
| 4 | $11,882.26$ | $11,873.45$ | $11,880.39$ | 0.074123 | 0.015778 |
| 5 | $11,883.27$ | $11,874.46$ | $11,881.40$ | 0.074175 | 0.015761 |
| 7 | $11,884.28$ | $11,875.46$ | $11,882.41$ | 0.074227 | 0.015745 |
| 7 | $11,885.29$ | $11,876.47$ | $11,883.43$ | 0.074279 | 0.015728 |
|  | $11,886.31$ | $11,877.47$ | $11,884.44$ | 0.07433 | 0.015711 |

it shows that the methodology used in the paper provides more accurate baseline estimation and can be an important reference for estimating baseline parameter.
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