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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the following problem $$
\left\{\begin{array}{l} -\Delta_{p} u+V(x)|u|^{p-2} u=K(x) f(u)+h(x) \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{*}\\ u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad u>0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \end{array}\right.
$$


where $1<p<N$, the potential $V(x)$ is a positive bounded function, $h \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{p}=1,1<p<N, h \geq 0$, $h \not \equiv 0, f(s)$ is nonlinearity asymptotical to $s^{p-1}$ at infinity, that is, $f(s) \sim O\left(s^{p-1}\right)$ as $s \rightarrow+\infty$. The aim of this paper is to discuss how to use the Mountain Pass theorem to show the existence of positive solutions of the present problem. Under appropriate assumptions on $V, K, h$ and $f$, we prove that problem $\left(^{*}\right)$ has at least two positive solutions even if the nonlinearity $f(s)$ does not satisfy the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type condition:

$$
0 \leq F(u) \leq \int_{0}^{u} f(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq \frac{1}{p+\theta} f(u) u, u>0, \theta>0
$$
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In this paper, we study the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u+V(x)|u|^{p-2} u=K(x) f(u)+h(x) \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1.1}\\
u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad u>0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{p} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right), h \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \\
& \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{p}=1,1<p<N, h \geq 0, h \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and the function $V, K$ and $f$ satisfy the following conditions:
$\left(V_{1}\right) V: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and there exist $a, \alpha, A, B>0$ such that

$$
\frac{a}{1+|x|^{\alpha}}+\beta \leq V(x) \leq A
$$

$\left(F_{1}\right) f(t) \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), \quad f(t) \equiv 0$ if $t \leq 0$.
$\left(F_{2}\right) \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(t)}{t^{p-1}}=0$.
$\left(F_{3}\right)$ There exists $q \in\left(p, p^{*}-1\right)$, such that
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t^{q}}=0$, where $p^{*}=\frac{N p}{N-p}$.
$\left(F_{4}\right) \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t^{p-1}}=l \in(1,+\infty)$.
$\left(K_{1}\right) K$ is a positive continuous bounded function and there exists $R_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\sup \left\{\frac{f(s)}{s^{p-1}}: s>0\right\}<\inf \left\{\frac{V(x)}{K(x)}:|x| \geq R_{0}\right\} .
$$

Throughout this paper, we define the following Weighted Sobolev space

$$
W=\left\{u \in \mathcal{D}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla u|^{p}+V(x) u^{p}\right] \mathrm{d} x<+\infty\right\}
$$

Clearly, $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \subset W . W$ is a Hilbert space with its scalar product and norm are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (u, v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v+V(x) u^{p-1} v\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& \text { and }\|u\|^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla u|^{\mathrm{p}}+V(x) u^{p}\right] \mathrm{d} x,
\end{aligned}
$$

because of $\left(V_{1}\right)$ it is equivalent to the standard $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ norm. So, We associate with (1.1) the functional $I: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u)= & \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla u|^{p}+V(x) u^{p}\right] \mathrm{d} x  \tag{1.2}\\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) F(u) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) u \mathrm{~d} x,
\end{align*}
$$

where $F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(t) \mathrm{d} t$. By $\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\left(K_{1}\right)$ there exists $C_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x) \leq C_{0} V(x), \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $I$ is well defined on $W$ and $I \in C^{1}(W, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v+V(x) u^{p-1} v\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) f(u) v \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) v \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $v \in W$. We also use the notation:

$$
|u|_{t}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{t} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \text { for all } t \in(1,+\infty)
$$

Under the conditions $\left(F_{1}\right)$ and $\left(F_{2}\right)$, we are able to prove $I$ has a Mountain Pass geometry. Namely setting

$$
\Gamma=\{\gamma \in C([0,1], W), \gamma(0)=0, \text { and } I(\gamma(1))<0\},
$$

we have $\Gamma \neq \varnothing$ and $c=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I(\gamma(t))>0$.
The value $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is called the Mountain Pass level for $I$. Ekeland's variational principle implies that there exists a Cerami sequence at $c$, namely a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \text { and }\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{W^{*}}\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0  \tag{1.4}\\
& \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty,
\end{align*}
$$

where $W^{*}$ denotes the dual space of $W$. At this point, to get an existence result, it clearly suffices to show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and then that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a strongly convergent subsequence whose limit is a non-trivial critical point of $I$. These two steps consist the heart of the proofs of Theorems 1.1 below.

For problems like (1.1) as $p=2$, in most works, the following superlinear condition of $f(t)$, the so-called Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type condition is assumed
$0 \leq F(u) \leq \int_{0}^{u} f(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq \frac{1}{p+\theta} f(u) u, u>0, \theta>0$. (1.5)
Our equation does not satisfy (1.5) under assumption
of $\left(F_{4}\right)$. The difficulty to prove that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded is linked to the fact that we are considering an nonlinearity asymptotically problem.

There are a few works on asymptotically linear problems on unbounded domains. The first result is due to Stuart and Zhou [1]. They study a problem of the type of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V(x) u=f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming that it has a radial symmetry. Thanks to this assumption, the problem is somehow set in $\mathbb{R}$ and possesses a stronger compactness. Moreover in [2], a problem of the form

$$
-\Delta u+K(x) u=f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

is studied, where $K>0$ is a constant and $f(x, s)$ is asymptotically linear in $s$ and periodic in $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Subsequently, taking advantages of some techniques introduced in [3], an extended study of radially symmetric problems on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ was done in [4]. Jeanjean et al. in [5] discussed (1.6) under some different conditions of $V(x)$ and $f(u)$, it gives results that (1.6) has a positive solution. Recently, under the assumptions $\left(V_{1}\right)$ as $\beta=0$ with $0<\alpha<2$ and $\left(K_{2}\right): K: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and there exist $k, \beta>0$ such that $0<K(x) \leq \frac{k}{1+|\mathrm{x}|^{\beta}}$, Ambrosetti et al. in [6] proved that problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u=K(x) f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{1.7}\\
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), u>0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 3
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a bound state for $f(u)=u^{p}$ with $\sigma<p<\frac{N+2}{N-2}$ and

$$
\sigma= \begin{cases}\frac{N+2}{N-2}-\frac{4 \beta}{\alpha(N-2)}, & 0<\beta<\alpha  \tag{1.8}\\ 1, & \beta \geq \alpha\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, it is also proved in [6] that, if $f(u)=u^{p}$
in (1.7), then the restriction of $\sigma<p<\frac{N+2}{N-2}$ is necessary to get a ground state (i.e. a least energy solution) of (1.7). Liu et al. in [7] showed that (1.7) has a bound state and ground state solution if $f$ is asymptotically linear at infinity and other assumptions of $V, K$ and $f$.

Similar to $[8,9]$ considered the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+u=K(x) f(u)+h(x) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1.9}\\
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad u>0, \quad N \geq 3,
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t}=l \leq+\infty$. It studied the problem by the case $l<+\infty$ and $l=+\infty$ to obtain the multiple positive solutions in these two cases.
Our result is motivated by some work on the existence of positive solutions for asymptotically linear Schrodinger equations as well as by some ideas used for bounded domain problems. Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems on a bounded domain have been much studied (see, for example [3,10,11,12]). But to our best knowledge, it seems that there few results about (1.1) which is a p-laplacian equation with nonlinearity asymptotic to $u^{p-1}$ at infinity in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. In this paper, we shall extend the results of [9] to the more general case. As is known, to seek a weak solution of (1.1) is equivalent to find a nonzero critical point of $I$ in $W$, so by the Ekeland's variational principle [13], we can get a weak solution $u_{0}$ for $h \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ suitably small easily. Moreover, $u_{0}$ is the local minimizer of $I$ and $I\left(u_{0}\right)<0$. However, under our assumptions it seems difficult to get a second solution (different from $u_{0}$ ) of (1.1) by applying the Mountain Pass theorem. Since we lose the (AR) condition, we must overcome the difficulty of the lack of a priori bound in $W$ for Palais-Smale sequences. On the other hand, once a (PS) sequence is bounded in $W$, it also has some difficulties to show this sequence converges to a different solution from $u_{0}$. When $l=\infty$, it seems difficult to get the boundness result of $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W$, so we only discuss the case $l<\infty$ and obtain Theorem 1.1:

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that
$h \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{p}=1,1<p<N, h \geq 0, h \not \equiv 0$. Let $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(F_{1}\right)-\left(F_{4}\right),\left(K_{1}\right)$ be hold and $l>\mu^{*}$ with

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu^{*}=\inf \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla u|^{p}+V(x) u^{p}\right] \mathrm{d} x: u \in W,\right.  \tag{1.10}\\
\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) u^{p} \mathrm{~d} x=1\right\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then there exists $d>0$ such that problem (1.1) has at least two positive solutions $u_{0}, u_{1} \in W$ satisfying $I\left(u_{0}\right)<0$ and $I\left(u_{1}\right)>0$ if $|h|_{p^{\prime}}<d$.

## 2. Existence of Minimum Positive Solution

In this section, we prove the existence of minimum positive solution for

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u+V(x)|u|^{p-2} u=K(x) f(u)+h(x) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{2.1}\\
u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad u>0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

by Ekeland's variational method. To this end, we need some lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Assume that $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(F_{1}\right)-\left(F_{4}\right),\left(K_{1}\right)$ with $l<\infty$ hold and $0<\alpha<p$. Let $h \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{p}=1$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W$ be a bounded (PS) sequence of $I$.Then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a strongly convergent subsequence in $W$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $R(\varepsilon)>R_{0} \quad\left(R_{0}\right.$ is given by $\left.\left(K_{1}\right)\right)$ and $n(\varepsilon)>0$ such that for all $R \geq R(\varepsilon)$ and $n \geq n(\varepsilon)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\{x:|x| \geq R\}}\left[|\nabla u|^{p}+V(x) u_{n}^{p}\right] \mathrm{d} x \leq \varepsilon . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $R_{0}$ given by $\left(K_{1}\right)$, define

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
C_{1}\left(R_{0}, \alpha, \beta, a\right) & :=\sup \left\{\frac{1+(2 R)^{\alpha}}{\left[\beta\left(1+(2 R)^{\alpha}\right)+a\right] R^{p}}: R \geq R_{0}\right\}, \\
& =\frac{1+\left(2 R_{0}\right)^{\alpha}}{\left[\beta\left(1+(2 R)^{\alpha}\right)+a\right]{R_{0}}^{p}}, \\
C_{2}\left(R_{0}, \alpha, \beta, a\right) & :=\sup \left\{\frac{1+(2 R)^{\alpha}}{\left[\beta\left(1+(2 R)^{\alpha}\right)+a\right] R^{\alpha}}: R \geq R_{0}\right\}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ and $a$ are given by $\left(V_{1}\right)$. Then, by $\left(V_{1}\right),(2.3)$ and (2.4), we have, for all $R>R_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / R^{p} \leq C_{1}\left(R_{0}, \alpha, \beta, a\right) V(x), \quad \text { for all }|x| \leq 2 R \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / R^{\alpha} \leq C_{2}\left(R_{0}, \alpha, \beta, a\right) V(x), \quad \text { for all }|x| \leq 2 R \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\xi_{R}(x): \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a smooth function such that

$$
\xi_{R}(x)= \begin{cases}0, & 0 \leq|x| \leq R  \tag{2.7}\\ 1, & |x| \geq 2 R\end{cases}
$$

and, for some constant $C_{0}>0$ (independent of $R$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla \xi_{R}(x)\right| \leq \frac{C_{0}}{R}, \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (2.5), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \geq R_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n} \xi_{R}\right)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n} \cdot \xi_{R}\right|+\left|u_{n} \cdot \nabla \xi_{R}\right|\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq 2^{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla u_{n} \cdot \xi_{R}\right|^{p}+\left|u_{n} \cdot \nabla \xi_{R}\right|^{p}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq 2^{p-1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\{x: R \leq x \mid \leq 2 R\}}\left(\frac{C_{0}}{R}\right)^{p}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)  \tag{2.9}\\
& \leq 2^{p-1}\left[1+C_{1}\left(R_{0}, \alpha, \beta, a\right) \cdot C_{0}^{p}\right]\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n} \xi_{R}\right\| \leq\left[2^{p-1}+1+2^{p-1} C_{0}^{p} C_{1}\left(R_{0}, \alpha, \beta, a\right)\right]^{1 / p}\left\|u_{n}\right\| \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \geq R_{0}$. By $0<\alpha<p$, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $R(\varepsilon) \geq R_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\alpha-p} \leq \frac{\varepsilon / C(\varepsilon)}{C_{0}^{p} C_{2}\left(R_{0}, \alpha, \beta, a\right)}, \quad \text { for all } R \geq R(\varepsilon) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(\varepsilon)=\left(\varepsilon \frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{-(p-1)} p^{-1}$. By (1.4),
$\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{W^{*}}\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $n(\varepsilon)>0$ such that

$$
\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{w}\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\left[2^{p-1}+1+2^{p-1} C_{0}^{p} C_{1}\left(R_{0}, \alpha, \beta, a\right)\right]^{1 / p}}
$$

for all $n \geq n(\varepsilon)$.
Hence, it follows from (2.10) and (2.12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n} \xi_{R}\right\rangle\right| \leq\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{W^{*}}\left\|u_{n} \xi_{R}\right\| \leq \varepsilon \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq n(\varepsilon)$ and $R \geq R_{0}$. Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n} \xi_{R}\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-1} \nabla\left(u_{n} \xi_{R}\right)+V(x) u_{n}^{p} \xi_{R}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(K(x) f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \xi_{R}+h(x) u_{n} \xi_{R}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \xi_{R}+V(x) u_{n}^{p} \xi_{R}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-1} u_{n} \nabla \xi_{R} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(K(x) f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \xi_{R}+h(x) u_{n} \xi_{R}\right) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

For $R \geq R(\varepsilon)$, using (2.6) and (2.11), we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{C_{0}^{p} C_{2}\left(R_{0}, \alpha, \beta, a\right)}{R^{p}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon / C(\varepsilon)}{R^{\alpha}} \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{C(\varepsilon)} C_{2}\left(R_{0}, \alpha, \beta, a\right) V(x), \text { for all }|x| \leq 2 R
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C_{0}^{p}}{R^{p}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{C(\varepsilon)} V(x), \text { for all }|x| \leq 2 R \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from (2.8) and (2.15), we get, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \geq R(\varepsilon)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-1} u_{n} \nabla \xi_{R} \mid \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+C(\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{n}^{p}\left|\nabla \xi_{R}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+C(\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{C_{0}^{p}}{R^{p}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+C(\varepsilon) \frac{\varepsilon}{C(\varepsilon)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \varepsilon\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By $\left(F_{1}\right),\left(K_{1}\right)$ and (2.7), there exists $\eta \in(0,1)$ such
that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \geq R_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|K(x) f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \xi_{R}\right| \mathrm{d} x \leq \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{0} V(x) u_{n}^{p} \xi_{R} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq C$ for some constant $C>0$, it follows from (2.7) there exists $R(\varepsilon) \geq R_{0}$ such that
$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) u_{n} \xi_{R} d x \leq\left|h(x) \xi_{R}\right|_{p^{\prime}}\left|u_{n}\right|_{p} \leq \varepsilon$, for $R \geq R(\varepsilon)$.
Combining (2.13), (2.14) and (2.16)-(2.18), for all $n \geq n(\varepsilon)$ and $R \geq R(\varepsilon)$, we see that

$$
\varepsilon \geq \mid\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n} \xi_{R}\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \xi_{R}+V(x) u_{n}^{p} \xi_{R}\right] \mathrm{d} x
$$

$+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-1} u_{n} \nabla \xi_{R} \mathrm{~d} x$
$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) f(x) u_{n} \xi_{R} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) u_{n} \xi_{R} \mathrm{~d} x$
$\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \xi_{R}+V(x) u_{n}^{p} \xi_{R}\right] \mathrm{d} x-\varepsilon\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}$
$-\eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) u_{n}^{p} \xi_{R} \mathrm{~d} x-\varepsilon$.
That is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \xi_{R} \mathrm{~d} x+(1-\eta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) u_{n}^{p} \xi_{R} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{2.19}\\
& \leq 2 \varepsilon+\varepsilon\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq C_{3} \varepsilon .
\end{align*}
$$

From $\eta \in(0,1)$ and (2.7), it is easy to see that (2.19) implies (2.2).

In the following, we give a property of variational functional $I$ defined by (1.1):

Lemma 2.2. If $(V 1),(F 1)-(F 3),\left(K_{1}\right)$ hold, $h(x) \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $K(x) \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Then there exist $\rho, \alpha, d>0$ such that $I(u)_{\|u\|=\rho} \geq \alpha>0$ for $|h|_{p^{\prime}}<d$.

Proof. It follows from $\left(F_{1}\right)-\left(F_{3}\right)$ that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $q \in\left(p, p^{*}-1\right)$ and $C(\varepsilon, q)>0$ such that for all $s>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s) \leq \frac{1}{p} \varepsilon s^{p}+C(\varepsilon, q) s^{q+1} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Sobolev embedding and (1.3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& I(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla u|^{p}+V(x) u^{p}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) F(u) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) u \mathrm{~d} x . \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x)\left(\frac{1}{p} \varepsilon u^{p}+C(\varepsilon, q) u^{q+1}\right) \mathrm{d} x-C|h|_{p^{\prime}}\|u\| \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\varepsilon \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} C_{0} V(x) u^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -C_{0} C(\varepsilon, q) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) u^{q+1} \mathrm{~d} x-C|h|_{p^{\prime}}\|u\| \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|^{p}-C_{4} \varepsilon\|u\|^{p}-C_{5}(\varepsilon, q)\|u\|^{q+1}-C|h|_{p^{\prime}}\|u\| \\
& =\|u\|\left[\left(\frac{1}{p}-C_{4} \varepsilon\right)\|u\|^{p-1}-C_{5}(\varepsilon, q)\|u\|^{q}-C|h|_{p^{\prime}}\right] \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{C_{4} \cdot 2 p}$ and setting
$g(t)=\frac{1}{2 p} t^{p-1}-C_{5}(\varepsilon, q) t^{q}$ for $t \geq 0$, we see that there exists $\rho>0$ such that $\max _{t>0} g(t)=g(\rho)=d$. Then it follows from (2.21) that there exists $\alpha>0$ such that $I(u)_{\|u\|=\rho} \geq \alpha>0$ for $|h|_{p^{\prime}}<d$.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(F_{1}\right)-\left(F_{4}\right),\left(K_{1}\right)$ hold, $h(x) \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), h(x) \geq 0, h(x) \not \equiv 0$. Let $B_{\rho}=\{u \in W:\|u\|<\rho\}$, if $|h|_{p^{\prime}}<d, d, \rho$ is given by Lemma 2.2, then there exists $u_{0} \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that

$$
I\left(u_{0}\right)=\inf \left\{I(u): u \in \bar{B}_{\rho}\right\}<0
$$

and $u_{0}$ is a positive solution of problem (1.1).
Proof. Since $h(x) \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), h(x) \geq 0$ and $h(x) \not \equiv 0$, we can choose a function $\varphi \in W$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) \varphi(x) \mathrm{d} x>0 . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(t \varphi)=\frac{t^{p}}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla \varphi|^{p}+V(x) \varphi^{p}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) F(t \varphi) \mathrm{d} x-t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) \varphi(x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{t^{p}}{p}\|\varphi\|^{p}-t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) \varphi(x) \mathrm{d} x<0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t>0$ small enough. Hence
$c_{0}:=\inf \left\{I(u): u \in \bar{B}_{\rho}\right\}<0$. By the Ekeland's variational principle, there exists $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \bar{B}_{\rho}$ such that

1) $c_{0}<I\left(u_{n}\right)<c_{0}+\frac{1}{n}$,
2) $\quad I(w) \geq I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{n}\left\|w-u_{n}\right\|$ forall $w \in \bar{B}_{\rho}$.

Then by a standard procedure, see for example [14], we can show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded (PS) sequence of $I$. Hence Lemma 2.1 implies that there exists $u_{0} \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $I^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$ and $I\left(u_{0}\right)=c_{0}<0$.

## 3. Existence of Second Solution

Next we prove that problem (1.1) has a Mountain Pass type solution. For this purpose, we use a variant version of Mountain Pass theorem ([13] Chapter IV), which allows us to find a so-called Cerami type (PS) sequence. The properties of this kind of (PS) sequence are very helpful in showing its boundedness. The following lemma shows that I defied in (1.1) has the so-called Mountain Pass geometry.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(F_{1}\right)-\left(F_{4}\right),\left(K_{1}\right)$ be hold and
$l>\mu^{*}$ with $\mu^{*}$ given by (1.10). Then there exists $v \in W$ with $\|v\|>\rho, \rho$ is given by Lemma 2.2, such that $I(v)<0$.

Proof. By the definition of $\mu^{*}$ and $l>\mu^{*}$, we can choose a nonnegative function $\varphi \in W$ such that $\varphi \geq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) \varphi^{p} \mathrm{~d} x=1 \text { and } \\
& \mu^{*} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla \varphi|^{p}+V(x) \varphi^{p}\right] \mathrm{d} x<l .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by $\left(F_{4}\right)$ and Fatou's lemma, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{I(t \varphi)}{t^{p}}= & \frac{1}{p}\|\varphi\|^{p}-\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) \frac{F(t \varphi)}{t^{p}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) t \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & \frac{1}{p}\|\varphi\|^{p}-\frac{1}{p} l<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the lemma is proved by taking $v=t_{0} \varphi$ with $t_{0}>1$ large enough.

From Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.1, there is a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} I\left(u_{n}\right)=c \text { and } \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{W^{*}}\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, let $w_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}$.
Clearly, $w_{n}$ is bounded in $W$ and there is a $w \in W$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
w_{n} \rightarrow w & \text { weakly in } W, \\
w_{n} \rightarrow w & \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{3.2}\\
w_{n} \rightarrow w & \text { strongly in } L_{l o c}{ }^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { for } p \leq q<p^{*} .
\end{array}
$$

For the above $w$, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 3.2. Let $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(F_{1}\right)-\left(F_{4}\right),\left(K_{1}\right)$ hold, $\alpha \in(0, p], l>\mu^{*}$ for $\mu^{*}$ given by (1.10). If $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, then $w$ given by (3.2) is a nontrivial nonnegative solution of
$-\Delta_{p} u(x)+V(x)|u(x)|^{p-2} u(x)=l K(x) u^{p-1}, u \in W$.
Proof. The proof of this lemma is similar to that of ([7] Lemma 2.4). For the sake of completeness, we give a simple proof here.

Step 1. We claim that $w$ is nontrivial, that is $w \not \equiv 0$. By contradiction, if $w \equiv 0$, we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sup \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{p-1}} w_{n}^{p} \mathrm{~d} x<1 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (3.4) is true, then it leads to a contradiction immediately. Indeed, since $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$, it follows from (3.1) that

$$
\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle /\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}=o(1)
$$

that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& o(1)=\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{p-1}} w_{n}^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =1-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{p-1}} w_{n}^{p} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

where, and in what follows, $o(1)$ denotes a quantity which goes to zero as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Clearly, this contradicts with (3.4). Hence $w \not \equiv 0$ and Step 1 is proved. So we only need to prove (3.4) holds.

In fact, by $\left(K_{1}\right)$, there is a constant $\eta \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\frac{f(s)}{s^{p-1}}: s>0\right\}<\eta \inf \left\{\frac{V(x)}{K(x)}:|x| \geq R_{0}\right\} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This yields, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{x:|x| \geq R_{0}\right\}} K(x) \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{p-1}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{3.6}\\
& \leq \eta \int_{\left\{x:|x| \geq R_{0}\right\}} V(x)\left|w_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \eta<1 .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, since the embedding
$W^{1, p}\left(B_{R_{0}}\right) \leftrightarrow L^{p}\left(B_{R_{0}}\right)$ is compact, $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ strongly in $L^{p}\left(B_{R_{0}}\right)$. Passing to a subsequence, there exists $g \in L^{p}\left(B_{R_{0}}\right)$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left|w_{n}\right| \leq g(x) \quad \text { a.e. in } B_{R_{0}}
$$

By $\left(F_{1}\right),\left(F_{4}\right)$, there exists $C_{6}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(t)}{t^{p-1}} \leq C_{6} \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \leq K(x) \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{p-1}} w_{n}^{p} \leq C_{6} K(x)\left|w_{n}\right|^{p}  \tag{3.8}\\
& \leq C_{6}|K|_{\infty}|g|^{p} \leq C \text { a.e. in } B_{R_{0}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that $w_{n} \rightarrow w \equiv 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x) \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{p-1}} w_{n}^{p} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.e. in } B_{R_{0}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.8), (3.9) and the dominated convergence theorem that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\left\{x:|x|<R_{0}\right\}} K(x) \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{p}} w_{n}^{p} \mathrm{~d} x=0 . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (3.4) is deduced from (3.6) and (3.10).
Step 2. we show that $w$ is nonnegative, that is, $w \geq 0$.

Let $w_{n}^{-}(x)=-\min \left\{0, w_{n}(x)\right\}, w_{n}(x)$ is also bounded in $W$. If $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$
\frac{\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), w_{n}^{-}(x)\right\rangle}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p-1}}=o(1)
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left\|w_{n}^{-}\right\|^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p-1}}\left|w_{n}^{-}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+o(1) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(F_{1}\right), f(t) \equiv 0$ for all $t \leq 0$. It follows from (3.11) that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|w_{n}^{-}\right\|^{p}=o(1)$. Thus $w^{-}=0$ a.e. in $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $w \geq 0$.

Step 3. We prove $w$ solves (3.3).
By Lemma 3.1, it is sufficient to prove that for any $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \nabla \varphi+V(x)|w|^{p-1} \varphi\right] \mathrm{d} x  \tag{3.12}\\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} l K(x) w^{p-1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.1) and $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$, we have

$$
\frac{\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \varphi\right\rangle}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p-1}}=o(1) \text { for any } \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla w \nabla \varphi+V(x) w_{n}^{p-1} \varphi\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{p-1}} w_{n}^{p-1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x+o(1) . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ weakly in $W$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \nabla \varphi+V(x) w^{p-1} \varphi\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{p-1}} w_{n}^{p-1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x+o(1) . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

So, Step 3 is complete provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{p-1}} w_{n}^{p-1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} l K(x) w^{p-1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, by (3.7) and (1.3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|K^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(x) \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{p-1}} w_{n}^{p-1}\right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{3.16}\\
& \leq C_{7} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) w_{n}^{p-1} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C_{8}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p} \leq C_{9} .
\end{align*}
$$

that is, $\left\{K^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(x) \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{p-1}} w_{n}^{p-1}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{+}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: w(x)>0\right\} \text { and } \\
& \Omega_{0}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: w(x)=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that

$$
w_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow w \text { a.e. and }\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty
$$

then $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$ a.e. in $x \in \Omega_{+}$. Hence by $\left(F_{4}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(x) \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{p-1}} w_{n}^{p-1} \rightarrow K^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(x) l w^{p-1}  \tag{3.17}\\
& \text { a.e. in } x \in \Omega_{+} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $w_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in $x \in \Omega_{0}$, it follows from (3.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(x) \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{p-1}} w_{n}^{p-1} \rightarrow 0 \equiv K^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(x) l w^{p-1} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

a.e. in $x \in \Omega_{0}$.

Thus, (3.16)-(3.18) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(x) \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{p-1}} w_{n}^{p-1} \rightarrow K^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(x) l w^{p-1} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

weakly in $L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
From $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $K \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we know that $K^{1 / p} \varphi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, then (3.19) leads to (3.15).

Lemma 3.3. If $0<\alpha \leq p, l>\mu^{*}$ and $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(K_{1}\right)$, hold, then problem (3.3) has no any nontrivial nonnegative solutions.

Proof. Since $l>\mu^{*}$, there is a constant $\delta>0$ such that $\mu^{*}<\mu^{*}+\delta<l$.

By the definition of $\mu^{*}$ in (1.10), there exists a $v_{\delta} \in W$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) v_{\delta}^{p} \mathrm{~d} x=1$ and

$$
\mu^{*}<\left\|v_{\delta}\right\|^{p}<\mu^{*}+\delta
$$

Since $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is dense in $W$, we may assume $v_{\delta} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ Now, let $R>0$ be such that $\sup \mathrm{p} v_{\delta} \subset B_{R}$ and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{R}=\inf & \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla u|^{\mathrm{p}}+V(x) u^{p}\right] \mathrm{d} x\right. \\
& \left.: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) u^{p} \mathrm{~d} x=1, u \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(B_{R}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $v_{\delta} \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(B_{R}\right)$. and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{R}<\left\|v_{\delta}\right\|^{p}<\mu^{*}+\delta<l . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the compactness of the embedding
$W_{0}^{1, p}\left(B_{R}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{p}\left(B_{R}\right)$, it is not difficult to see that there
exists $w_{R} \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(B_{R}\right)$ with $w_{R} \geq 0$ and
$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) w_{R}^{p} \mathrm{~d} x=1$ such that

$$
-\Delta_{p} w_{R}+V(x)\left|w_{R}\right|^{p-2} w_{R}=\mu_{R} K(x) w_{R}^{p-1}, x \in B_{R}
$$

Since $w_{R} \geq 0$ and $K(x)$ is a positive continuous function, by the definition of $\mu_{R}$, we have that

$$
-\Delta_{p} w_{R}+V(x)\left|w_{R}\right|^{p-2} w_{R} \geq 0
$$

From $\left(V_{1}\right)$, we get $V(x)\left|w_{R}\right|^{p-2} w_{R}>0$ for all $w_{R}>0$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left(V(x)\left|w_{R}\right|^{p-2} w_{R} w_{R}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \mathrm{~d} w_{R}=\infty
$$

Thus by the strong maximum principle in [15], we have

$$
w_{R}>0, \forall x \in B_{R} ; \frac{\partial w_{R}}{\partial n}<0, \forall|x| \in R .
$$

If $0 \not \equiv u \in W$ is a nonnegative solution of (3.3), then integrating by parts.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{R} \int_{B_{R}} K(x) w_{R}^{p-1} u \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\int_{B_{R}}\left[-\Delta_{p} w_{R}+V(x)\left|w_{R}\right|^{p-2} w_{R}\right] u \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\int_{B_{R}}\left|\nabla w_{R}\right|^{p-1} \nabla u \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\int_{B_{R}}\left|\frac{\partial w_{R}}{\partial n}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial w_{R}}{\partial n} u \mathrm{~d} S+\int_{B_{R}} V(x)\left|w_{R}\right|^{p-2} w_{R} u \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\int_{B_{R}} l K(x) w_{R}^{p-1} u \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{B_{R}}\left|\frac{\partial w_{R}}{\partial n}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial w_{R}}{\partial n} u \mathrm{~d} S \\
& \geq \int_{B_{R}} l K(x) w_{R}^{p-1} u \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $u \in W, u \geq 0$ and $u \not \equiv 0$, we may choose $R>0$ large enough such that $\int_{B_{R}} l K(x) w_{R}^{p-1} u \mathrm{~d} x>0$, thus the above calculation shows that $\mu_{R} \geq l$ in contradiction with (3.20). This complete the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Clearly, if $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 we get a contradiction. Hence, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W$. Then by Lemma 2.1 we see that problem (2.1) has a positive solution $u_{1} \in W$ with $I\left(u_{1}\right)>0$. So, the proof is complete by Theorem 2.1.
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