

# More Results on Singular Value Inequalities for Compact Operators

#### Wasim Audeh

Department of Basic Sciences, Petra University, Amman, Jordan Email: waudeh@uop.edu.jo

Received July 2, 2013; revised August 16, 2013; accepted September 3, 2013

Copyright © 2013 Wasim Audeh. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## **ABSTRACT**

The well-known arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for singular values, according to Bhatia and Kittaneh, says that if A and B are compact operators on a complex separable Hilbert space, then  $2s_j\left(AB^*\right) \leq s_j\left(A^*A + B^*B\right)$  for  $j=1,2,\cdots$  Hirzallah has proved that if  $A_1,A_2,A_3$ , and  $A_4$  are compact operators, then  $\sqrt{2}s_j\left(\left|A_1A_2^*+A_3A_4^*\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leq s_j\left(\left|A_1A_2^*-A_3A_4^*\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leq s_j\left(\left|A_1A_2^*-A_3A_4^*\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$  for  $j=1,2,\cdots$  We give inequality which is equivalent to and more general

than the above inequalities, which states that if  $A_i$ ,  $B_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are compact operators, then

$$2s_{j}\left(A_{1}B_{1}^{*}+A_{2}B_{2}^{*}+\cdots+A_{n}B_{n}^{*}\right) \leq s_{j} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} A_{1} & A_{2} & \cdots & A_{n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{vmatrix}^{2} + \begin{vmatrix} B_{1} & B_{2} & \cdots & B_{n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{vmatrix}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } j=1,2,\cdots$$

Keywords: Compact Operator; Inequality; Positive Operator; Self-Adjoint Operator; Singular Value

## 1. Introduction

Let B(H) denote the space of all bounded linear operators on a complex separable Hilbert space H, and let K(H) denote the two-sided ideal of compact operators in B(H). For  $T \in K(H)$ , the singular values of T, denoted by  $s_1(T), s_2(T), \cdots$  are the eigenvalues of the positive operator  $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$  as  $s_1(T) \ge s_2(T) \ge \cdots$  repeated according to multiplicity. Note that  $s_j(T) = s_j(T^*) = s_j(|T|)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$  It follows Weyl's monotonicity principle (see, e.g., [1, p. 63] or [2, p. 26]) that if  $S, T \in K(H)$  are positive and  $S \le T$ , then  $s_j(S) \le s_j(T)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$  Moreover, for  $S, T \in K(H)$ ,  $s_j(S) \le s_j(T)$  if and only if  $s_j(S \oplus S) \le s_j(T \oplus T)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$  The singular values of  $S \oplus T$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & T \\ S & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  are the same, and they

consist of those of S together with those of T. Here, we use the direct sum notation  $S \oplus T$  for the block-

diagonal operator 
$$\begin{bmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix}$$
 defined on  $H \oplus H$ .

The well-known arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for singular values, according to Bhatia and Kittaneh [3], says that if  $A, B \in K(H)$ , then

$$2s_{j}\left(AB^{*}\right) \leq s_{j}\left(A^{*}A + B^{*}B\right) \tag{1.1}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

Hirzallah has proved in [4] that if  $A_1, A_2, A_3$ , and  $A_4 \in K(H)$ , then

$$\sqrt{2}s_{j}\left(\left|A_{1}A_{2}^{*}+A_{3}A_{4}^{*}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leq s_{j}\left(\begin{bmatrix}A_{1} & A_{3} \\ A_{2} & A_{4}\end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (1.2)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

In this paper, we will give a new inequality which is equivalent to and more general than the inequalities (1.1) and (1.2):

If 
$$A_i$$
,  $B_i \in K(H)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then

$$2s_{j}\left(A_{1}B_{1}^{*}+A_{2}B_{2}^{*}+\cdots+A_{n}B_{n}^{*}\right) \leq s_{j}\begin{bmatrix} A_{1} & A_{2} & \cdots & A_{n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2} + \begin{vmatrix} B_{1} & B_{2} & \cdots & B_{n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2}$$

$$(1.3)$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

Audeh and Kittaneh have proved in [5] that if  $A, B \in K(H)$  such that A is self-adjoint,  $B \ge 0$ , and  $\pm A \leq B$ , then

$$2s_{j}(A) \le s_{j}((B+A) \oplus (B-A)) \tag{1.4}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$  On the other hand, Tao has proved in [6] that if  $A, B, C \in K(H)$  such that  $\begin{vmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{vmatrix} \ge 0$ , then

$$2s_{j}(B) \le s_{j} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^{*} & C \end{bmatrix} \tag{1.5}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$  Moreover, Zhan has proved in [7] that if  $A, B \in K(H)$  are positive, then

$$s_i(A-B) \le s_i(A \oplus B) \tag{1.6}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots$  We will give a new inequality which generalizes (1.5), and is equivalent to the inequalities (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), and (1.6):

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, D \in K(H)$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix} \ge 0, \text{ then}$$

$$2s_{j}(D) \leq s_{j} \begin{bmatrix} A_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^{*} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.7)

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$  Bhatia and Kittaneh have proved in [8] that if  $A, B \in K(H)$ , such that A is self-adjoint,  $B \ge 0$ , and  $\pm A \le B$ , then

$$s_{j}(A) \le s_{j}(B \oplus B) \tag{1.8}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$  Audeh and Kittaneh have proved in [5] that if  $A, B, C \in K(H)$  such that  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$ , then

$$s_j(B) \le s_j(A \oplus C)$$
 (1.9)

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$  We will prove a new inequality which generalizes (1.9), and is equivalent to the inequalities (1.8) and (1.9):

If  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, D \in K(H)$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix} \ge 0, \text{ then}$$

$$s_j(D) \le s_j(A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n)$$
 (1.10)

for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

## 2. Main Result

Our first singular value inequality is equivalent to and more general than the inequalities (1.1) and (1.2). **Theorem 2.1** Let  $A_{i,}, B_{i} \in K(H), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then

$$2s_{j}\left(A_{1}B_{1}^{*}+A_{2}B_{2}^{*}+\cdots+A_{n}B_{n}^{*}\right) \leq s_{j}\begin{bmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} A_{1} & A_{2} & \cdots & A_{n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{vmatrix}^{2} + \begin{vmatrix} B_{1} & B_{2} & \cdots & B_{n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{vmatrix}^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

**Proof.** Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 & \cdots & B_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Then

$$AB^* = A_1B_1^* + A_2B_2^* + \dots + A_nB_n^*$$
, and

ALAMT Open Access

$$A^*A + B^*B = \begin{bmatrix} A_1^*A_1 + B_1^*B_1 & \cdots & A_1^*A_n + B_1^*B_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_n^*A_1 + B_n^*B_1 & \cdots & A_n^*A_n + B_n^*n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 + \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 & \cdots & B_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2$$

Now, using (1.1) we get

$$2s_{j}\left(A_{1}B_{1}^{*}+A_{2}B_{2}^{*}+\cdots+A_{n}B_{n}^{*}\right) \leq s_{j}\begin{bmatrix} A_{1} & A_{2} & \cdots & A_{n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2} + \begin{vmatrix} B_{1} & B_{2} & \cdots & B_{n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

**Remark 1.** As a special case of (1.3), let Ai = Bi = 0 for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$  we get (1.1)

**Remark 2.** As a special case of (1.3), let Ai = Bi = 0

for  $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$ , we get (1.2), to see this: Replace Ai = Bi = 0 for  $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$ , in (1.3), we get

$$2s_{j}\left(A_{1}B_{1}^{*}+A_{2}B_{2}^{*}\right) \leq s_{j}\begin{bmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} A_{1} & A_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{vmatrix}^{2} + \begin{vmatrix} B_{1} & B_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2} \\ = s_{j}\begin{bmatrix} A_{1}^{*}A_{1}+B_{1}^{*}B_{1} & A_{1}^{*}A_{2}+B_{1}^{*}B_{2} \\ A_{2}^{*}A_{1}+B_{2}^{*}B_{1} & A_{2}^{*}A_{2}+B_{2}^{*}B_{2} \end{bmatrix} \\ = s_{j}\begin{bmatrix} A_{1} & A_{2} \\ B_{1} & B_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{2} \\ = s_{j}\begin{bmatrix} A_{1} & A_{2} \\ B_{1} & B_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{2} \\ = s_{j}\begin{bmatrix} A_{1} & A_{2} \\ B_{1} & B_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{2}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

Now, we prove that the inequalities (1.1) and (1.3) are equivalent.

Theorem 2.2. The following statements are equiva-

lent:

(i) If  $A, B \in K(H)$ , then  $2s_j(AB^*) \le s_j(A^*A + B^*B)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ 

(ii) Let  $A \cdot B \in K(H), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then

$$2s_{j}\left(A_{1}B_{1}^{*}+A_{2}B_{2}^{*}+\cdots+A_{n}B_{n}^{*}\right) \leq s_{j}\begin{bmatrix} A_{1} & A_{2} & \cdots & A_{n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2} + \begin{vmatrix} B_{1} & B_{2} & \cdots & B_{n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

Proof.  $(i) \rightarrow (ii)$  This implication follows from the proof of Theorem 2.1.

 $(ii) \rightarrow (i)$  This implication follows from Remark 1.

**Remark 3.** It can be shown trivially that (1.1) and (1.2) are equivalent. By using this with Theorem 2.2, we conclude that the inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) are equivalent.

Chaining this with results in [5], we get that the inequalities (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), and (1.6) are equivalent.

Our second singular value inequality is equivalent to the inequality (1.4).

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, D \in K(H)$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix} \ge 0. \text{ Then } 2s_j(D) \le s_j \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \cdots$$

**Proof.** Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix} \ge 0,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -D \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -D^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix} \ge 0.$$

In fact, if 
$$U = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \cdots & I & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -I \end{bmatrix}$$
, then  $U$  is unitary and

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -D \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -D^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix} = U \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix} U^* \ge 0$$

Thus

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix} \ge \pm \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and so by applying the inequality (1.4), we get

$$2s_{j}\left(D \oplus D^{*}\right) \leq s_{j}\left(\begin{bmatrix}A_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D\\ 0 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ D^{*} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{n}\end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix}A_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -D\\ 0 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ -D^{*} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{n}\end{bmatrix}\right)$$

for  $j=1,2,\cdots$  This is equivalent to saying that  $2s_{j}(D) \le s_{j} \begin{vmatrix} A_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^{*} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A \end{vmatrix}$  for  $j=1,2,\cdots$ 

**Remark 4.** While the proof of the inequality (1.7), given in Theorem 2.3 is based on the inequality (1.4), it can be obtained by applying the inequality (1.6) to the positive operators

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -D \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -D^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (i) Let  $B \ge 0$ , and  $C = 0$  for  $C = 0$  for  $C = 0$  for  $C = 0$ .

Now, we prove that the inequalities (1.4) and (1.7) are equivalent.

Theorem 2.4. The following statements are equiva-

(i) Let  $A, B \in K(H)$  such that A is self-adjoint,  $B \ge 0$ , and  $\pm A \le B$ . Then

$$2s_{j}(A) \leq s_{j}((B+A) \oplus (B-A))$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

(ii) Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, D \in K(H)$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix} \ge 0.$$

Then 
$$2s_{j}(D) \leq s_{j}\begin{bmatrix} A_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^{*} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ 

**Proof.**  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  This implication follows from the proof of Theorem 2.3.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$  Let  $A, B \in K(H)$  such that A is self-adjoint,  $B \ge 0$ , and  $\pm A \le B$ . Then the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} B & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ A & 0 & \cdots & 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \ge 0.$$

In fact, if 
$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -I \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \cdots & \sqrt{2}I & \vdots \\ I & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$
, then

U is unitary and

$$\begin{bmatrix} B & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ A & 0 & \cdots & 0 & B \end{bmatrix} = U^* \begin{bmatrix} B - A & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & B + A \end{bmatrix} U \ge 0.$$

Thus, by applying (ii) we get

$$2s_{j}(A) \leq s_{j}\begin{bmatrix} B & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ A & 0 & \cdots & 0 & B \end{bmatrix} = s_{j}\begin{bmatrix} B+A & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & B-A \end{bmatrix} = s_{j}((B+A) \oplus (B-A))$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

**Remark 5.** From equivalence of inequalities (1.4) and (1.7) in Theorem 2.4, and equivalence of the inequalities (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), and (1.6) in Remark 3, we get that the inequalities (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7) are equivalent.

Our third singular value inequality is equivalent to the inequalities (1.8) and (1.9).

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, D \in K(H)$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix} \ge 0. \text{ Then}$$

$$s_1(D) \le s_2(A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n)$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

**Proof.** As in the proof of Theorem 2.3., we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix} \ge \pm \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and so by applying the inequality (1.8), we get

$$s_j(D \oplus D^*) \le s_j((A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n) \oplus (A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n))$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$  This is equivalent to saying that  $s_j(D) \le s_j(A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

**Remark 6.** While the proof of the inequality (1.10), given in Theorem 2.5 is based on the inequality (1.8), it can be obtained by employing the inequality (1.7) as follows:

If 
$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^{*} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{n} \end{vmatrix} \ge 0. \text{ Then}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -D \\ 0 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -D^{*} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{n} \end{vmatrix} \ge 0, \text{ and so}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^{*} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{n} \end{bmatrix} \le \begin{bmatrix} A_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^{*} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} A_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -D \\ 0 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -D^{*} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= 2 \begin{bmatrix} A_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Following Weyl's monotonicity principle, we have

$$\begin{vmatrix}
A_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\
0 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
D^{*} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{n}
\end{vmatrix} \leq 2s_{j} \begin{vmatrix}
A_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{n}
\end{vmatrix} = 2s_{j} (A_{1} \oplus A_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{n})$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$  Chaining this with the inequality (1.7), yields the inequality (1.10).

Now, we prove that the inequalities (1.8) and (1.10) are equivalent.

**Theorem 2.6.** The following statements are equivalent:

(i) Let  $A, B \in K(H)$ , such that A is self-adjoint,  $B \ge 0$ , and  $\pm A \le B$ , then

$$s_j(A) \le s_j(B \oplus B)$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

(ii) Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, D \in K(H)$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix} \ge 0. \text{ Then}$$

$$s_i(D) \le s_i(A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n)$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

**Proof.**  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  This implication follows the proof of Theorem 2.5.

 $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  As in the proof of Theorem 2.4, if A is self-adjoint,  $B \ge 0$ , and  $\pm A \le B$ . Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} B & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ A & 0 & \cdots & 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

Thus, by (ii) we have  $s_j(A) \le s_j(B \oplus B)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ 

**Remark 7.** From equivalence of inequalities (1.8) and (1.10) in Theorem 2.6, and equivalence of inequalities (1.8) and (1.9) in [5], we get that the inequalities (1.8), (1.9), and (1.10) are equivalent.

#### REFERENCES

- R. Bhatia, "Matrix Analysis, GTM169," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0653-8">http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0653-8</a>
- [2] I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Krein, "Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators," American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1969.
- [3] R. Bhatia and F. Kittaneh, "The Matrix Arithmetic-Geometric Mean Inequality Revisited," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, Vol. 428, 2008, pp. 2177-2191. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2007.11.030
- [4] O. Hirzallah, "Inequalities for Sums and Products of Operators," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, Vol. 407, 2005, pp. 32-42. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2005.04.017
- [5] W. Audeh and F. Kittaneh, "Singular Value Inequalities for Compact Operators," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, Vol. 437, 2012, pp. 2516-2522. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2012.06.032">http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2012.06.032</a>
- [6] Y. Tao, "More Results on Singular Value Inequalities of Matrices," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, Vol. 416,

2006, pp. 724-729. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2005.12.017

- [7] X. Zhan, "Singular Values of Differences of Positive Semidefinite Matrices," SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, Vol. 22, No. 3, 2000, pp. 819-823. http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/S0895479800369840
- [8] R. Bhatia and F. Kittaneh, "The Matrix Arithmetic-Geo-

metric Mean Inequality Revisited," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, Vol. 428, 2008, pp. 2177-2191. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2007.11.030