

Bioremediation of Oil Contaminated Soil

Yabin Zhan¹, Xingling Tao¹, Li-An Ma¹, Tao Jiang²

¹College of Life Science, Yangtze University, Jingzhou, China ²College of Animal Science, Yangtze University, Jingzhou, China Email: 1766784675@qq.com, malian@yangtzeu.edu.cn, jiangtao@yangtzeu.edu.cn

How to cite this paper: Zhan, Y.B., Tao, X.L., Ma, L.-A. and Jiang, T. (2018) Bioremediation of Oil Contaminated Soil. *Open Access Library Journal*, **5**: e4305. https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1104305

Received: January 3, 2018 Accepted: January 20, 2018 Published: January 23, 2018

Copyright © 2018 by authors and Open Access Library Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Open Access

(cc)

Abstract

Objective: In order to study the microbial remediation of oil contaminated soil. **Methods:** The method of soil composting was adopted. G-40, G-94 and G-40 + G-94 were added into the soil with 2% oil, adding 20% bran and adding distilled water to keep the soil water content in 35%, and it was incubated at 35° C. After sampled, the alkali hydrolysable nitrogen, available phosphorus and oil content were measured. **Result**: The oil removal rates of G-40, G-94 and G-40 + G-94 treatment groups were 29.08%, 31.09% and 32.68% on 100th day, respectively. **Conclusion:** This study provides a reference for microbial remediation of petroleum contaminated soil.

Subject Areas

Environmental Science

Keywords

Brevibacillus laterosporus, Candida tropicalis, Oil Degrading

1. Introduction

Petroleum hydrocarbons are a major energy source. However, environmental contamination by petroleum hydrocarbons has become a serious problem all over the world. The leakage of petroleum hydrocarbons to nature causes the disruption of the natural ecosystem since petroleum hydrocarbons contain many kinds of toxic compounds [1]. Fortunately, the degradation of oils in the environment is possible through several techniques: physical, chemical or biological [2]. Compared with biological methods, physical and chemical methods may produce secondary pollution to repair oil contaminated soil [2]. There are a lot of reports about microbial remediation of oil contaminated soil [3] [4] [5]. Thus, the present study focused on the ability of G-40, G-94 and G-40 + G-94 to repair

oil contaminated soil.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Sample

Soil sample: From the experimental base of the Agricultural College of Yangtze University, the samples were collected, and after the air-dry, 40 mesh sieves were grinded, and the follow-up experiment was left to be used.

2.2. Media

Beef peptone liquid medium, potato sucrose liquid medium, according to reference [6].

2.3. Strain

Degrading microorganism: G-40 and G-94 were isolated from Qiangjiang Guanghua Oilfield on June 2015, stored in the laboratory of College of Life Science, Yangtze University [7] [8]. G-40 and G-94 were activated in beef peptone liquid medium and potato sucrose liquid medium, respectively.

2.4. Petroleum Contaminated Soil

The oil was dissolved in petroleum ether, and the soil was added to the soil to dry the 7 d, during which the petroleum ether was completely volatilized, that was, the soil containing 2% of the oil.

2.5. Alkali Hydrolysable Nitrogen

Alkaline solution diffusion method is for alkali hydrolysable nitrogen [9].

2.6. Available Phosphorus

NaHCO₃ extraction method is for available phosphorus [10].

2.7. Oil Removal Rate

The oil removal rate was determined by gravimetric method [11].

2.8. Experimental Design

In this experiment, 3 treatments and 1 control (no inoculation) were set up: G-40 (inoculation 8%), G-94 (inoculation amount 4%), G-40 (inoculation amount 8%) + G-94 (inoculation amount 4%). The experiment was carried out in tissue culture bottles. 200 g bottles of petroleum contaminated soil were added to each bottle, plus 20% bran. After adding the activated strains, the distilled water was added to make the soil moisture content reach 35%. After autoclaving, the degrading bacteria were inoculated and placed at 35°C incubator. The soil samples of 1th, 5th, 10th, 15th, 20th, 30th, 40th, 100th were used to determine soil alkali hydrolysable nitrogen, available phosphorus and oil content. The experimental design is shown in Table 1.

	Treatment	Soil (g)	Strain	Inoculation amount
-	1	200	G-40	8%
	2	200	G-94	4%
	3	200	G-40 + G-94	8% + 4%
	СК	200	-	-

 Table 1. Experimental design table.

-: no.

3. Results and Analysis

3.1. Alkali Hydrolysable Nitrogen

The content of alkali hydrolysable nitrogen is shown in **Figure 1**. As can be seen from **Figure 1**, with the increase of time, the content of alkali hydrolysable nitrogen in the 3 treatment groups increased from 1th to 10th d. The content of alkali hydrolysable nitrogen was 0.839, 0.832, 0.872, 0.968 g/kg of CK, G-40, G-94 and G-40 + G-94, on the 10th d, respectively. After that, the change of the content of alkali hydrolysable nitrogen was not obvious until 100th d. The content of alkali hydrolysable nitrogen reached 0.848, 0.905, 0.905, 0.980 g/kg on 100th d, which was 1.05%, 8.78%, 3.75%, 1.33% higher than that of CK, G-40, G-94 and G-40 + G-94, on the 1th d, respectively. From the beginning of 10th d, the content of alkali hydrolysable nitrogen of the G-40 + G-94 treatment group was higher than that of the G-40 and the G-94 treatment groups.

3.2. Available Phosphorus

The content of available phosphorus is shown in **Figure 2**. P standard curve can be seen from the **Figure 3**. As can be seen from **Figure 2**, the content of available phosphorus in the 3 treated groups did not change basically from 1th to 40th d. After 40th d, the content of available phosphorus rose sharply. The content of available phosphorus was 6.105, 6.924, 2.569, 2.870 g/kg of CK, G-40, G-94 and G-40 + G-94, on the 1th d, respectively. The content of available phosphorus reached 27.158, 30.247, 31.887, 31.997 g/kg on 100th d, which was 344.85%, 336.84%, 1141.22%, 1014.88% higher than that of CK, G-40, G-94 and G-40 + G-94 on the 1th d, respectively.

3.3. Oil Removal Rate

The result of oil removal rate is shown in **Figure 4**. As can be seen from **Figure 4**, microbes have a strong adaptability to the environment. At the time of 5^{th} d, the oil removal rates of 3 treatments were 4.85%, 4.95% and 5.23%, respectively. The oil removal rate of G-40 reached 5.04% on 15^{th} d, which was 3.92% higher than that of 5^{th} d. The oil removal rate of G-94 reached 6.86% on 15^{th} d, which was 38.59% higher than that of 5^{th} d, which was 161.57% higher than that of 5^{th} d. The oil removal rate of the 3 treatments is increasing, and the oil removal rate of the



Figure 1. Alkali hydrolysable nitrogen content on different times.



Figure 2. Available phosphorus content on different times.







Figure 4. The oil degrade rate affected by different times.

G-40 + G-94 treatment group is higher than that of the G-40 and the G-94 treatment group from 15^{th} to 100^{th} d. The oil removal rates of G-40, G-94 and G-40 + G-94 treatment groups were 29.08%, 31.09% and 32.68% on 100^{th} d, respectively.

4. Discussion and Conclusion

4.1. Discussion

The process of oil removal rate on microorganism is very complex. Its oil removal rate depends not only on the microbial community and composition, but also on the number and status of TPH, the surrounding environment and many other factors. Soil structure, parent material and moisture content also have great influence on the oil removal rate of microorganism. Appropriate nutrients can be added, and proper amount of water is added to ensure the rapid growth and propagation of microorganisms, so as to achieve high oil removal rate [12].

The composition of oil is complex, and it cannot be restored by one or two microbes alone. In this experiment, microbial degradation of petroleum efficiency is low, and can be considered to increase the degradation of petroleum by different kinds of microorganisms in order to achieve higher degradation efficiency [2].

4.2. Conclusion

The oil removal rate of G-40 + G-94 (32.68%) treatment was higher than that of G-40 (29.08%) and G-94 (31.09%), but the synergistic degradation of oil between G-40 and G-94 is not obvious.

References

 Alvarez, P.J. and Vogel, T.M. (1991) Substrate Interactions of Benzene, Toluene, and Para-Xylene during Microbial Degradation by Pure Cultures and Mixed Culture Aquifer Slurries. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 57, 2981-2985.

- Zhan, Y.B. and Ma, L.A. (2017) Research Progress on Bioremediation of Petroleum Contaminated Soil. *Journal of Yangtze University (Natural Science Edition)*, 13, 52-56.
- [3] April, T.M., Foght, J.M. and Currah, R.S. (2000) Hydrocarbon-Degrading Filamentous Fungi Isolated from Flare Pit Soils in Northern and Western Canada. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, 46, 38-49.
- [4] Alsayegh, A., Alwahaibi, Y., Albahry, S., Elshafie, A., Albemani, A. and Joshi, S. (2015) Microbial Enhanced Heavy Crude Oil Recovery through Biodegradation Using Bacterial Isolates from an Omani Oil Field. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 14, 141-151. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-015-0330-5
- [5] Leahy, J.G. and Colwell, R.R. (1990) Microbial Degradation of Hydrocarbons in the Environment. *Microbiological Reviews*, **54**, 305-315.
- [6] Zhao, B. and He, S.J. (2002) Microbiology Experiment. Science Press, Beijing.
- Zhan, Y.B., Tao, X.L., He, S.W., Song, S.F., Xing, J.J., Li, F.M., Jiang, T. and Ma, L. (2017) Isolation, Identification and Degradation Characteristics of Oil Degrading Bacterial Strain. *Open Access Library Journal*, 4, e4016. https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1104016
- [8] Zhan, Y.B., Li, F.M., Yu, W.C., Jiang, T. and Ma, L.A. (2017) Degradation Characteristics of Oil Degrading *Candida tropicalis. Open Access Library Journal*, **4**, e4090.
- [9] Zhou, J.Q. and Jia, H.T. (2016) Effects of Long-Term Fenced Enclosure on Spatial Variability of Nutrient in Subalpine Grassland. *Southwest China Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 29, 1729-1734.
- [10] Zhao, Q., Hou, Z.A., Li, S.X., Liu, L.P., Huang, T. and Zhang, Y. (2014) Effects of P Rate on Soil Available P, Yield and Nutrient Uptake of Maize. *Journal of Maize Sciences*, 22, 123-128.
- [11] Zhan, Y.B., Zhang, Q., Chen, K.L., Li, F.M. and Ma, L.A. (2017) Isolation and Construction of Petroleum-Degrading Flora and Their Degrading Characteristics. *Environmental Pollution and Control*, **39**, 860-864.
- [12] Mishra, S., Sarma, P.M. and Lal, B. (2004) Crude Oil Degradation Efficiency of a Recombinant Acinetobacter baumannii Strain and Its Survival in Crude Oil-Contaminated Soil Microcosm. FEMS Microbiology Letter, 235, 323-331. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2004.tb09606.x